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1 Overview

CB Name and contact: NEPCon OÜ, Filosoofi 31, 50108 Tartu, Estonia

Primary contact for SBP: Ondrej Tarabus ot@nepcon.net, +420 606 730 382

Report completion date: 04/Jul/2016

Report authors: Nikolai Tochilov, Natalia Zaladinova

Certificate Holder Lesresurs LLC, 293/7, of.601, Baikalskaya street, Irkutsk, 664050, Russian Federation - East Europe

Producer contact for SBP: Nadezhda Ovchinnikova, certification specialist, phone: +73952780920; Email:onn@lesresurs.com

Certified Supply Base: Sourcing from Russia, Irkutsk region

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-01-28

Date of certificate issue: 15/Aug/2016

Date of certificate expiry: 14/Aug/2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main (Initial) Audit</th>
<th>First Surveillance Audit</th>
<th>Second Surveillance Audit</th>
<th>Third Surveillance Audit</th>
<th>Fourth Surveillance Audit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

The certificate scope covers the production site and office in Irkutsk, Irkutsk region.

The BP holds valid FSC Chain of Custody and FSC Controlled wood certificate, covering pellet production.

The input material used by the Organisation for biomass production contains only secondary feedstock (wood chips and sawdust for pellet production and bark for dryer).

65% of input materials delivered to the pellet production plant is FSC certified, and about 35% of input material is included into the Organisation’s FSC Controlled wood verification system. Feedstock used in the biomass production originates from Russia, Irkutsk region.

Supply Base Evaluation is not included into the scope of the evaluation.

Scope of the evaluation is indicated in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scope Item</th>
<th>Check all that apply to the Certificate Scope</th>
<th>Change in Scope (N/A for Assessments)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved Standards:</td>
<td>SBP Standard #2 V1.0  SBP Standard #4 V1.0  SBP Standard #5 V1.0 <a href="http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org/documents">http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org/documents</a></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Activity:</td>
<td>Pellet producer</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Material Categories:</td>
<td>☐ SBP-Compliant Primary Feedstock ☒ SBP-Compliant Secondary Feedstock ☒ Controlled Feedstock ☐ SBP non-Compliant Feedstock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ SBP-Compliant Tertiary biomass ☐ Post-consumer Tertiary Feedstock</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ SBP-approved Recycled Claim ☐ Post-consumer Tertiary Feedstock</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chain of custody system implemented:</td>
<td>☒ FSC ☐ PEFC ☐ SFI ☐ GGL ☒ Transfer ☐ Percentage ☒ Credit</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Points of sales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provide name of all points of sales</th>
<th>Harbour (including own handling of material)</th>
<th>Harbour (e.g. FOB incoterms) legal owner is not responsible for handling of material at the harbor</th>
<th>Other point of sale (e.g. gate of the BP, boarder, railway station etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>S.Petersburg (harbour)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Use of SBP claim:

- [x] Yes
- [ ] No

### SBE Verification Program:

- [ ] Low risk sources only
- [ ] Sources with unspecified/specified risk

New districts approved for SBP-Compliant inputs: SBE not applicable

### Sub-scopes

- [ ]

### Specify SBP Product Groups added or removed:

Comments:
3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer’s management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP’s management procedures;
- Review of the production processes, production site visit;
- Review of FSC system control points, analysis of the existing FSC CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients;
- GHG data collection analysis.
4 SBP Standards utilised

4.1 SBP Standards utilised
Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock, SBP Standard 2, Version 1.0, March 2015

Chain of Custody, SBP Standard 4, Version 1.0, March 2015

Collection and Communication of Data, SBP Standard 5, Version 1.0, March 2015

Instruction document 5A Collection and Communication of Data version 1.0. March 2015 was utilised for the evaluation as well.

http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org/documents

4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment
not applicable
5 Description of Biomass Producer, Supply Base and Forest Management

5.1 Description of Biomass Producer

BP is a pellet producer located in Irkutsk, Irkutsk region. BP may produce about 30,000 tones of wood pellets annually. Incoming feedstock is sawdust and wood chips from its own sawmilling located at the same production site. Final product is transported in big bags by railway to S. Petersburg harbor. Round wood with FSC 100% claim is delivered from FSC certified forest management units in Irkutsk region, its share is about 65% in total supplies. The rest 35% of supplies are non-certified and included into BP’s own program of field verification of controlled material sources under FSC certification. There are no non-controlled inputs of the feedstock.

The BP has implemented FSC credit system and produced biomass shall be sold with FSC Mix Credit claim (SBP-compliant biomass) or FSC Controlled Wood claim (SBP-controlled biomass).

5.2 Description of Biomass Producer’s Supply Base

The total area of forest land in Russia is 764 million hectares, accounting for about 21% of the world reserves of standing timber. Area under main forest species remain fairly stable over the past decades. Coniferous makes up 68.4%, hard-wooded broadleaved species – 2.4%, soft-wooded broadleaved species – 19.3%. Other tree species make up less than 1% of forests.

Geographically, supply base of Lesresurs LLC is located in Irkutsk region of Siberian Federal District (SFD), Russian Federation. Irkutsk region ranks third amongst Russian regions and first in Siberian Federal District by forest resources. It also occupies the second place by annual distribution of timber stand to leaseholders in SFD.

Forest resources of Irkutsk region include:

- forest lands – 68.5 million hectares, incl. wooded lands - 62.9 million hectares,
- total timber stand - 9 billion m3, incl. 7.7 billion m3 of coniferous.

Since May 2011, Lesresurs has been a lessee of following forest areas in Irkutsk region:

- Kirenk district, Kirensk lesnichestvo, Karelskoe forest unit, Nebelskaya dacha, Kirenskoe forest unit, Kirenskaya dacha - 101 436 ha.
- Kazachinsko-Lensk district, Kazachinsko-Lensk lesnichestvo, Magistralnoe forest unit, Martynovskaya dacha - 39 203 ha.

Lease forest area is characterized by high percentage of forest land (98.1%) and wooded land (92.2%). Coniferous stands account for 94% of wooded land with pine (Pinus sylvestris) accounting for 63% of total wooded land and spruce (Picea), fir tree (Abies), larch (Larix sibirica) and Siberian stone pine (Pinus sibirica) – 8%, 1%, 15% and 8% correspondingly. Average age of coniferous forest stands is 148 years, soft broadleaved – 61 year.

The forest area is predominated by wooded lands (93.7%) covered mostly by forests of natural origin. In general, coniferous stands predominate (91%) with pine (Pinus Sylvestris) accounting for 67% of total coniferous area and
Siberian stone pine (Pinus sibirica), larch (Larix sibirica), spruce (Picea) and fir tree (Abies) – 13%, 10%, 9% and 1% correspondingly. Mature and over-mature stands prevail.

Average age of coniferous forest stands is 122 years, soft broadleaved – 60 years.

Lease forest areas of total 140,693 ha are FSC certified.

Company has valid certificates:
- FC-FM/COC-643064 (Licence code FSC-C109107)
- FC-COC-643053 / FC-CW-643053 (licence code FSC-C104427).

In October 2015 Lesresurs LLC acquired subsidiary company PFK Kirensklesinvest LLC which has a lease of the forest land area of 20 494 ha, located Irkutsk region, Kirensky district, Kirensk lesnichestvo, Karelinskoe forest district, Nevelskaya dacha and included it in the scope of its FSC certificate.

The forest area is predominated by coniferous stands (98%) with pine (Pinus sylvestris) accounting for 54%, larch (Larix sibirica) – 21%, Siberian stone pine (Pinus sibirica) – 14%, spruce (Picea) – 6%, fir (Abies) – 3%. The average age of the coniferous forest stands 159 years, soft-wooded broadleaf - 75 years. The most productive pine stands are of the highest site index, the average quality class which is 2.9. The average yield class by the area of the lease is equal to 3.2, the average completeness of 0.69. The largest average stock of mature and overmature pine stands have the highest site index (305 m3/ha) and aspen (Populus tremula) (245 m3/ha) stands. Lowest performance are different stands of larch (Larix) (130 m3/ha). The average species composition described by formula:
- Pinus sylvestris – 43%
- Larix – 19%
- Pinus sibirica – 11%
- Picea – 10%
- Abies – 5%
- Betula – 9%
- Populus tremula – 3%

The harvesting operations will be scheduled by Lesresurs on a contractual basis.

Total lease area is 161 133 ha.

There are no CITES species in the lease forest areas. Round wood used in production:
- Pine (Pinus sylvestris)
- Siberian larch (Larix sibirica).

Lease area is dominated by boreal forest, classified as commercial. There are no virgin forests on the lease area, indigenous peoples do not live.

The areas climate is sharply continental, and their territory equated with the far North.

On the lease area is being conducted clear cutting, reforestation work, high-quality reproduction of forest resources and protective measures.
Socio-economic environment of districts where lease forest areas are located.

Kazachinsko-Lensk district

Kazachinsko-Lensk district belongs to a group of Prilensk districts equated to the Far North districts. It borders Buryat Republic on the east, Kirensk district (Irkutsk region) on the north, Ust-Kut and Zhigalov districts (Irkutsk region) on the west and Kachug district of Irkutsk region on the south. This relatively small for periphery district occupies 4.3% of the region’s territory (embracing the area of 33.3 thousand km2). The district has relatively young population of 18 thousand: working-age population makes up 65.5% of the total population and under-age population makes up 26.6%.

Kirensk district

Kirensk district is one of the northern districts of Irkutsk region equated to the Far North areas with the limited period of load deliveries. The district located in the northeastern part of Irkutsk region and borders Mamsko-Chuisk district (Irkutsk region) on the east, Katang district (Irkutsk region) on the northwest, Republic Sakha (Yakutia) on the north, Ust-Kut district (Irkutsk region) on the west and Kazachinsko-Lensk district (Irkutsk district) and Buryat Republic on the south. In 2013 the district had population of 19.3 thousand of which working-age population accounted for 72% and under-age population made up 6.8%.

Lesresurs LLC purchases additional timber harvested in Nizhneilimsk and Ust-Kut forest units (lesnichestvo), Irkutsk region. In prospect, there is possibility of purchasing timber from Bratsk and Ust-Ilim districts of the region.

Proportion of SBP feedstock product groups in 2015

For the 12 months of 2015 Lesresurs LLC purchases additional timber harvested in Nizhneilimskoe and Ust-Kutkoe lesnichestvo, Irkutsk Region. This wood is included in the audit programme of suppliers of controlled material within CoC FSC certification of Lesresurs LLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary feedstock source</th>
<th>FSC standard</th>
<th>SBP standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FSC certified</td>
<td>SBP-compliant feedstock (primary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FSC Controlled Wood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from certification base</td>
<td>217 842.05</td>
<td>217 842.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>217 842.05</td>
<td>217 842.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>334 029.18</td>
<td>334 029.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All primary production is transported to the plant for the production of sawnwood. Pellets from primary material are not produced. They are produced from residues of wood processing. Residues are a secondary feedstock.

As the company use credit control system chain of custody FSC, the ratio of SBP-compliant feedstock and SBP-controlled feedstock is maintained in the same proportion.

Detailed information about the supply base region (general description of the forest resources and forest management practices within the Supply Base) is publically available at the BP’s homepage:

5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Supply Base area (ha):</td>
<td>1279849 ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure by type (ha):</td>
<td>100% state owned, 100% private management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest by type (ha):</td>
<td>Boreal 1279849 ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest by management type (ha):</td>
<td>100% Natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified forest by scheme (ha):</td>
<td>1214443 ha (specifically, FSC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4 Chain of Custody system


BP is implementing FSC credit system. FSC Credit system is used for materials received as FSC certified, FSC Controlled wood and feedstock verified according to the BP’s own Controlled wood verification system. The Controlled wood system is covering the whole Russia (according to Russian National Risk Assessment) however in fact the controlled material is coming occasionally from neighbouring suppliers located in Irkutsk region. Relevant supplier list is maintained.

After the reception, incoming primary feedstock (saw logs) is registered in BP’s database and processed at sawmilling facilities. Relevant credit accounts are maintained for all FSC product groups (sawn material, wood chips, sawdust, pellets). Conversion factors are established and regularly revised based on actual production data. Pellets are produced of the secondary feedstock (sawdust and wood chips).

In case of the FSC and/ or SBP sales the volume of sold pellets shall be withdrawn from the credit account.
6 Evaluation process

6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

Onsite assessment was conducted on January 14-15, 2016 (16h). Assessment activities included documents review at office, inspection of production facilities and staff interviews.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date/time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening meeting*</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>14/01/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>09.00-09.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documents and procedures review. Inputs review</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>14/01/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>09:30-12:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
<td>14/01/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12:15-13:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG calculation review</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>14/01/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13:00-17:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation of the results of the first day</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>14/01/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td>17:00-17:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening meeting</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>15/01/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>08:00-08:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chain of custody review (site tour); interview</td>
<td>Production facilities</td>
<td>15/01/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with the chief of pellet production</td>
<td></td>
<td>8:15 – 10:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview with chief accountant</td>
<td>Accounting department</td>
<td>15/01/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10:00-10:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documents and procedures review; staff</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>15/01/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interview.</td>
<td></td>
<td>10:30 – 16:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2 Description of evaluation activities

Composition of audit team:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auditor(s), roles</th>
<th>Qualifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nikolai Tochilov</td>
<td>NEPCon SBP lead auditor. He passed SBP auditor training in Tallinn in January 2015; previous experience with several SBP assessments in Russia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalia Zaladinova</td>
<td>NEPCon FSC CoC lead auditor. Participated in assessment as observer for training purposes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The assessment visit was focused on management system evaluation: division of the responsibilities, document and system, input material classification (reception and registration), analysis of the existing FSC system and FSC system control points as well as GHG data availability.

Description of the assessment evaluation:

All SBP related documentation connected to the SBP as well as FSC CoC system of the organisation, including SBP Procedure, GHG data calculations/ data sheet, Supply Base Report and FSC system description was provided by the company prior to the assessment. Audit started with an opening meeting attended by the SBP responsible person and the management of the organization.

Auditor introduced himself, provided information about audit plan, methodology, auditor qualification, confidentiality issues, and assessment methodology and clarified certification scope. During the opening meeting the auditor explained CB’s approval related issues.

After that auditor went through all applicable requirements of the SBP standards nr.2, 4, 5 and instruction document 5a covering input clarification, existing chain of custody system, management system, CoC, recordkeeping/mass balance requirements, emission and energy data and categorisation of input and verification of SBP compliant biomass. During the process overall responsible person for SBP system and other staff were interviewed.

After a roundtrip around BP’s pellet production was undertaken. During the site tour, reception process was observed, applicable records were reviewed, staff was interviewed and FSC system critical control points were analysed.
At the end of the audit finding were summarised and audit conclusion based on use of 3 angle evaluation method were provided to the management and SBP responsible person.

6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

The stakeholder consultation was carried out on December 14, 2015 by sending direct email to different stakeholder categories. The announcement was also published at NEPCon homepage http://www.nepcon.net/ru/%D0%BD%D0%BE%D0%B2%D0%BE%D1%81%D1%82%D0%B8%D0%BF%D1%83%D0%B1%D0%BB%D0%B8%D1%87%D0%BD%D0%BE%D0%B5-%D1%83%D0%B2%D0%BE%D0%BC%D0%BB%D0%BD%D0%B8%D0%B5-14122015

No comments from the stakeholders were received.
7 Results

7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Strength: Use of the FSC credit system. Effective recordkeeping system. Clearly designated responsibilities within the staff members. Robust justifications for energy use data.

Weaknesses: see non-conformity reports below in this report.

7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

Not applicable.

7.3 Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions

The BP has involved external consultant who helped with implementation of the system for collection of the emission and energy data. Some of the energy use data is based on actual information, whereas calculation of some data was conducted with implementation of theoretical approaches.

7.4 Competency of involved personnel

The SBP responsible person was supported by external consultant who was closely involved in preparation of internal procedures and helping to set up the management system. The SBP responsible staff has shown good understanding of the requirements in relation to SBP certification and of the already implemented FSC CoC system.

7.5 Stakeholder feedback

No stakeholder comments are received

7.6 Preconditions

None.
8 Review of Biomass Producer’s Risk Assessments

Not applicable.
9 Review of Biomass Producer’s mitigation measures

Not applicable.
10 Non-conformities and observations

**NCR: 01/16**  
**NC Classification:** minor  
**Standard & Requirement:** SBP Standard # 2, requirement 7.3, requirement 2C 4.1

**Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:**
BP used the previous version of Supply Base Report template when preparing to SBP assessment. During assessment report preparation, new version of Supply Base Report (version 1.1) have been developed by SBP. Организация использовала предыдущую форму отчета о ресурсной базе при подготовке к оценке SBP. Во время подготовки отчета об оценке SBP была разработана новая версия (1.1) отчета о ресурсной базе.

**Corrective action request:** Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.

**Timeline for Conformance:** By the next surveillance audit

**Evidence Provided by Organisation:** PENDING

**Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:** PENDING

**NCR Status:** OPEN

**Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?** Yes ☐  No ☑

---

**NCR: 02/16**  
**NC Classification:** minor  
**Standard & Requirement:** SBP Standard # 2, requirement 2C, 4.1

**Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:**
Close of last CB audit (Section 1, Overview) is not specified in Supply Base Report. В разделе 1 (Обзор) Отчета о ресурсной базе не указана дата закрытия последнего аудита, проведенного органом сертификации.

**Corrective action request:** Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.

**Timeline for Conformance:** By the next surveillance audit

**Evidence Provided by Organisation:** PENDING

**Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:** PENDING

**NCR Status:** OPEN

**Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?** Yes ☐  No ☑
### Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:

BP determined one product group - Other Feedstock (Group 7, includes all feedstock not included in 1-6 above.). However according to SBP classification, the feedstock with FSC claims (100% or Mix Credit) falls to Group 6 – SBP-compliant secondary feedstock (excluding anything in Product Groups 4 and 5). And the feedstock with FSC Controlled Wood claim falls to Group 4 – Secondary Feedstock supplier under a claim under an SBP approved controlled feedstock claim (specifically FSC).

Организация определила одну группу продукции - Other Feedstock (Группа 7). Однако, согласно классификации SBP, материал с заявлениями FSC (100% или Mix Credit) относится к Группе 6 – SBP-compliant secondary feedstock. Материал с заявлением FSC Controlled Wood относится к Группе 4 – Secondary Feedstock supplier under a claim under an SBP approved controlled feedstock claim (specifically FSC).

### Corrective action request:

Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.

### Timeline for Conformance:

By the next surveillance audit

### Evidence Provided by Organisation:

PENDING

### Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:

PENDING

### NCR Status:

OPEN

---

### Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:

It is not specified in SBP procedure that energy and carbon data are collected using the latest version of SBP Standard 5

В процедуре SBP не указано, что информация о затратах энергии должна собираться с использованием самой последней версии стандарта SBP № 5.

### Corrective action request:

Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.

### Timeline for Conformance:

By the next surveillance audit

### Evidence Provided by Organisation:

PENDING

### Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:

PENDING

### NCR Status:

OPEN
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?  
Yes  
No  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBS: 01/16</th>
<th>Standard &amp; Requirement:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description of findings leading to observation:</td>
<td>SBP Instruction 5A, requirement 4.1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Section</td>
<td>Appendix C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of wood shavings is delivered to pellet production by pipeline. Weight of the material is not measured. BP identifies this weight by deducting of the weight of sawdust from the total weight of produced pellets.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Часть стружки доставляется на пеллетное производство по аспирационной трубо-трассе. Вес доставленного материала не определяется. Организация рассчитывает вес обратным путем, вычитая вес всего остального использованного материала и общего веса произведенных пеллет.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation / Наблюдение:</td>
<td>Where material is transported to site by pipe or conveyor belt (continuous delivery) from a neighbouring location, its weight should be measured by in-line measuring devices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>В тех случаях, когда материал доставляется на производственный участок по трубе или конвейеру с соседнего участка, его вес рекомендуется измерять встроенными в трубу или конвейер измерительными устройствами.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11 Certification decision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Based on Organisation’s conformance with SBP requirements, the auditor makes the following recommendation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ Certification approved:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upon acceptance of NCR(s) issued above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Certification not approved:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on auditor’s recommendation and NEPCon quality review following certification decision is taken:

**NEPCon certification decision:**
The Biomass Producer has been certified by NEPCon as meeting the requirements of the specified SBP Standard, the certificate can be issued immediately after NEPCon will obtain the recognition as SBP certification body. The expiration of the certificate will be then 5 years.

**Certification decision by:** Asko Lust

**Date of decision:** 4.07.2016
12 Surveillance updates

Not applicable.
### 13 Evaluation details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Responsible Person: (Responsible for control system at site(s))</th>
<th>Nadezhda Ovchinnikova, certification responsible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auditor(s):</td>
<td>Nikolai Tochilov, Natalia Zaladinova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People Interviewed, Titles:</td>
<td>Nadezhda Ovchinnikova, certification responsible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anna Pogodaeva, dispatcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valentina Yuferova, dispatcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mikhail Zezyulin, chief power engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sergey Mazanko, economist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Konstantin Vorobiev, chief operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andrey Kuzmin, chief of pellet production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irina Ivanova, chief accountant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nadezhda Vysotina, accountant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Angelina Vorobieva, chief of sales department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief Overview of Audit Process for this Location:</td>
<td>See section 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td>No comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>