

# Control Union Certifications B.V. Evaluation of Engie Energie Management SCRL Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

[www.sbp-cert.org](http://www.sbp-cert.org)



## Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.1

*For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see  
[www.sbp-cert.org](http://www.sbp-cert.org)*

### *Document history*

*Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015*

*Version 1.1: published 30 January 2018*

*© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2018*

# Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Overview</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Specific objective</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>SBP Standards utilised</b>
4.1	SBP Standards utilised
4.2	SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment
<b>5</b>	<b>Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management</b>
5.1	Description of Company
5.2	Description of Company's Supply Base
5.3	Detailed description of Supply Base
5.4	Chain of Custody system
<b>6</b>	<b>Evaluation process</b>
6.1	Timing of evaluation activities
6.2	Description of evaluation activities
6.3	Process for consultation with stakeholders
<b>7</b>	<b>Results</b>
7.1	Main strengths and weaknesses
7.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation
7.3	Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions
7.4	Competency of involved personnel
7.5	Stakeholder feedback
7.6	Preconditions
<b>8</b>	<b>Review of Company's Risk Assessments</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Review of Company's mitigation measures</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Non-conformities and observations</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Certification recommendation</b>

# 1 Overview

CB Name and contact:	Control Union Certifications B.V.
Primary contact for SBP:	Mr. L.J. Verwijst <a href="mailto:verwijst@controlunion.com">verwijst@controlunion.com</a>
Current report completion date:	01/Mar/2018
Report authors:	Kees Stuij (lead auditor)
Name of the Company:	Engie Energie Management SCRL
Company contact for SBP:	Martijn Mik
Certified Supply Base:	N/A Trader
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-06-14
Date of certificate issue:	08/Mar/2018
Date of certificate expiry:	07/Mar/2018

This report relates to the Main (Initial) audit.

## 2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

The scope of this evaluation includes trade of wood pellets. The scope is matching with the application form and only includes standards 4 and 5 of SBP. During the audit no non-conformities were found.

### 3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Traders management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

## 4 SBP Standards utilised

### SBP Standards utilised

Engie Energy Management SCRL is an SBP applicant organization with the following SBP standards in the scope of the Main audit:

<b><i>SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody</i></b> <b><i>Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015</i></b>
<b><i>SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data</i></b> <b><i>Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015</i></b>

### 4.1 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment

Not applicable

## 5 Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management

### 5.1 Description of Company

Engie Energy Management SCRL is a biomass trader. The company is located in Belgium and is part of a world wide energy group. The company specialises in trading of fuels to supply power production stations. Biomass is part of it. The biomass is currently focused on wood pellets. The trade includes supply to Engie owned power stations but sales to others is possible. Delivery takes place normally by ocean or sea going vessels. The company is FSC certified.

### 5.2 Description of Company's Supply Base

Not applicable, the company is a biomass trader

### 5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

Not applicable, the company is a biomass trader

### 5.4 Chain of Custody system

Not applicable, the company is a biomass trader



## 6 Evaluation process

### 6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Executed by</b>
Preparation	30-06-2017 (email on scope and planning)	Loek Verwijst (certifier)
Desktop review	21 and 22-02-2018	Kees Stuij (lead auditor)
Head office audit	22-02-2018	Kees Stuij
GHG paper audit and evidence review.	22-02-2018	Kees Stuij

#### Names and affiliations of people interviewed

Name:

Mr Johan Mertens

Mr Toon Bosmans

Mr Yves Rijckmans

Affiliation:

Biomass Sourcing Trader

Biomass Sourcing

Laborelec, Chief Technology OfficerLogistic manager

### 6.2 Description of evaluation activities

*The following activities were performed:*

<b>Evaluation step</b>	<b>Audited</b>	<b>Method used</b>
Preparation	Scope	Email, documents, telephone
Main audit	Office visit, in depth review of procedures, documents and templates, random check suppliers documentation	Visual inspection, Interview, documents, records
Reporting and review		Email, documents

### 6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

Not applicable, the company is a biomass trader

## 7 Results

### 7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

The audit was conducted in an open and positive environment. The company was well prepared for the audit both in knowledge as in manual and management system. No issues were found that could be raised as non-compliance to the requirements of the standards. The depth procedures and record keeping are thought to be sufficient for the size and complexity of the company.

### 7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

Not applicable, the company is a biomass trader.

### 7.3 Collection and Communication of Data

The company has in depth procedures for this. The company is involved in trades including vessel and barge transport, calculations are prepared and confirmed by independent parties. Further on the company has just to assure the completeness of the incoming documentation to forward this to their buyers.

### 7.4 Competency of involved personnel

The company consists of a biofuel trading desk which has the main responsibility related to the SBP system. During the audit the auditee showed clear and in depth understanding of SBP, its procedures and the proper execution of those. Considering the size of the company, there were no risks detected related to the competency of the involved personnel.

### 7.5 Stakeholder feedback

Not applicable, the company is a biomass trader

### 7.6 Preconditions

There were no non-conformities detected during the audit, and therefore also no pre-conditions before certification.

## 8 Review of Company's Risk Assessments

**Table 1. Final risk ratings of Indicators as determined after the SVP and any mitigation measures.**

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
1.1.1	Low	Low
1.1.2	Low	Low
1.1.3	Low	Low
1.2.1	Low	Low
1.3.1	Low	Low
1.4.1	Low	Low
1.5.1	Low	Low
1.6.1	Low	Low
2.1.1	Low	Low
2.1.2	Low	Low
2.1.3	Low	Low
2.2.1	Low	Low
2.2.2	Low	Low
2.2.3	Low	Low
2.2.4	Low	Low
2.2.5	Low	Low
2.2.6	Low	Low
2.2.7	Low	Low
2.2.8	Low	Low
2.2.9	Low	Low
2.3.1	Low	Low
2.3.2	Low	Low

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
2.3.3	Low	Low
2.4.1	Low	Low
2.4.2	Low	Low
2.4.3	Low	Low
2.5.1	Low	Low
2.5.2	Low	Low
2.6.1	Low	Low
2.7.1	Low	Low
2.7.2	Low	Low
2.7.3	Low	Low
2.7.4	Low	Low
2.7.5	Low	Low
2.8.1	Low	Low
2.9.1	Low	Low
2.9.2	Low	Low
2.10.1	Low	Low

## 9 Review of Company's mitigation measures

Not applicable, the company is a biomass trader.

## 10 Non-conformities and observations

*There were no non-conformities detected during this audit.*

<b>NC number</b>	<b>NC Grading:</b> <i>Choose grading.</i>	
<b>Standard &amp; Requirement:</b>	<i>Click to enter SBP standard and requirement reference</i>	
<b>Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:</b>		
<i>Click or tap here to enter NC description.</i>		
<b>Timeline for Conformance:</b>	<i>Choose NC timeline.</i>	
<b>Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:</b>	<i>Click or tap here to enter description provided by Company to close the NC.</i>	
<b>Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:</b>	<i>Click or tap here to enter findings for evaluation of evidence by the auditor.</i>	
<b>NC Status:</b>	<i>Choose status.</i>	

## 11 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's quality review, the following certification decision is taken:	
<b>Certification decision:</b>	Certification approved
<b>Certification decision by (name of the person):</b>	Loek Werijst
<b>Date of decision:</b>	08 March 2018
<b>Other comments:</b>	No further comments