

# SBP

Sustainable Biomass Partnership

## DNV GL Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab Evaluation of Biomasse Børsen ApS Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

[www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org](http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org)



## Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.0

*For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see  
[www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org](http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org)*

### *Document history*

*Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015*

*© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Partnership Limited 2015*

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Overview</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Specific objective</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>SBP Standards utilised</b> .....	<b>4</b>
4.1	SBP Standards utilised .....	4
4.2	SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment .....	4
<b>5</b>	<b>Description of Biomass Producer, Supply Base and Forest Management</b> .....	<b>5</b>
5.1	Description of Biomass Producer .....	5
5.2	Description of Biomass Producer's Supply Base .....	5
5.3	Detailed description of Supply Base .....	6
5.4	Chain of Custody system .....	6
<b>6</b>	<b>Evaluation process</b> .....	<b>7</b>
6.1	Timing of evaluation activities .....	7
6.2	Description of evaluation activities .....	7
6.3	Process for consultation with stakeholders .....	8
<b>7</b>	<b>Results</b> .....	<b>9</b>
7.1	Main strengths and weaknesses .....	9
7.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation .....	9
7.3	Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions .....	9
7.4	Competency of involved personnel .....	10
7.5	Stakeholder feedback .....	10
7.6	Preconditions .....	10
<b>8</b>	<b>Review of Biomass Producer's Risk Assessments</b> .....	<b>11</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Review of Biomass Producer's mitigation measures</b> .....	<b>14</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Non-conformities and observations</b> .....	<b>17</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Certification decision</b> .....	<b>19</b>

# 1 Overview

CB Name and contact: DNV GL Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab, Espoo, Finland.

Primary contact for SBP: Martti Kuusinen (martti.kuusinen@dnvgl.com)

Report completion date: 31/Mar/2017

Report authors: Karina Seeberg Kitnaes

Certificate Holder: Biomasse Børsen ApS, Stavnagervej 2, DK-6760 Ribe, Denmark

Producer contact for SBP: Paul Lillelund ([pl@biomasseborsen.dk](mailto:pl@biomasseborsen.dk))

Certified Supply Base: Denmark (biomass chipping and trade)

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-05-06

Date of certificate issue: 02/Jun/2017

Date of certificate expiry: 01/Jun/2022

Indicate where the current audit fits within the certification cycle				
Main (Initial) Audit	First Surveillance Audit	Second Surveillance Audit	Third Surveillance Audit	Fourth Surveillance Audit
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## 2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

### **Introduction**

Biomasse Børsen ApS is a biomass trader and producer of wood chips based in Denmark. In the context of SBP, Biomasse Børsen ApS purchases primary feedstock or wood chips at roadside in Danish forests or delivered by truck at two log yards/storages, where Biomasse Børsen ApS produces and/or store wood chips until the biomass is loaded onto trucks to delivery in Denmark.

The period of ownership begins when the feedstock is either 1) transported from the forest to the log yards of the company or 2) offloaded at the log yards. The period of ownership ends when the biomass (wood chips) is offloaded at the customer.

The company has been audited up against SBP standards 1, 2, 4 and 5.

### **Scope**

The company with company office and two storages, purchase of roundwood and woodchips, mobile chipping, trade and transport of wood chips from Danish forests for use in energy production in Denmark. The scope of the certificate does include Supply Base Evaluation for the Supply Base Denmark.

### 3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

## 4 SBP Standards utilised

### 4.1 SBP Standards utilised

The SBP system implemented by the applicant was assessed against the following SBP standards:

- Standard 1: Feedstock compliance (Standard version: 1.0 March 2015).
- Standard 2: Verification of feedstock (Standard version: 1.0, March 2015).
- Standard 4: Chain of Custody (Standard version: 1.0, March 2015).
- Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data (Standard version: 1.0, March 2015)

The latest versions of SBP standards are available at:

<http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org/documents/standards-documents/standards>.

### 4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment

At the time of the IA audit, a draft version of a national Risk Assessment (RRA) were available for Denmark, which is the supply base of Biomasse Børsen ApS. This draft Risk Assessment has been in consultation for Danish stakeholders but at the time of the audit, the draft RRA for Denmark was not submitted to SBP for approval. The draft RRA for Denmark has been prepared with a number of Danish organisations supporting the process economically. Biomasse Børsen ApS has contributed to this and has through a sector representative followed the elaboration process.

The company has therefore used the draft RRA as the basis for their own RA as part of the SBE.

## 5 Description of Biomass Producer, Supply Base and Forest Management

### 5.1 Description of Biomass Producer

Biomasse Børsen ApS is a Danish company, which purchases roundwood and wood chips from Danish forests and surrounding landscape. The company produces and trades wood chips. The company office located near Ribe in Denmark is responsible for the trading, chain-of-custody and wood chipping. In the context of SBP, the company has two storage facilities, one located next to the office and one rather close to the office in Denmark.

The raw materials are primary feedstock (roundwood) originating from Danish forests and surrounding landscape, which are either chipped in the forest as part of the harvest operation and placed at roadside or transported to the company' two permanent storage facilities, where the wood is then chipped. The wood chips are sold and transported to the Danish energy sector, where the buyer takes over the responsibilities.

The company holds valid PEFC COC certificate. The feedstock is either PEFC certified or non-certified, which is controlled through the company SBE including RA and SVP.

### 5.2 Description of Biomass Producer's Supply Base

The feedstock to the company is sourced from the supply Base: Denmark. The feedstock is supplied as either Roundwood or Wood chips produced in the forest of origin or at two storage facilities within Denmark.

The harvest and chipping operations are performed by Danish contractors under the monitoring of the forest managers or performed by other Danish contractors/forest owners. In the latter case, Biomasse Børsen purchases the wood chips from the Danish contractors.

Forest management practices are based on the country specific forestry laws, forestry guidelines, and forest management planning practices. Even-aged forestry is the dominant method. The forest rotation period is 60-100 years, containing mostly tending of the young seedling stands, two thinnings, a final harvesting and regeneration of a mature stand. Planting or natural seeding can be used in regeneration. Recently, un-even-aged forestry has become more popular and applied to the extent possible.

The total number of forest properties in Denmark is estimated to 28,000. The size of the Danish FMUs range from between 2 to 1,000 hectares.

There is limited variation in terms of ownership within the supply base. In Denmark, approx. 74 % of the forest area is owned by private persons or companies, while the remaining 26% is state-owned or owned by the municipalities.

The company has conducted a supply base evaluation (SBE) with SVP and RA (SBR Annex 1), which after approval will be uploaded on the webpage of Biomasse Børsen. The Public SBR) has been elaborated in Danish and English and will be uploaded on the webpage of Biomasse Børsen after approval ([www.biomasseborsen.dk](http://www.biomasseborsen.dk)).



## 5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

Total Supply Base area (ha):	Danish forest area: 600,000 ha (approx. 14.3 pct. of the land area) Other woodland area: 44,000 ha (approx. 1 pct. of the land area)
Total volume of Feedstock:	0-50,000 tons
Volume of primary feedstock:	90% of 50,000 tons
Volume of secondary feedstock:	9% of 50,000 tons
Volume of tertiary feedstock:	1% wood chips from residues from wood processing industry in Denmark.

A further detailed description of the Supply Base are found in the biomass producer' SBR.

## 5.4 Chain of Custody system

All feedstock sourced is covered by Biomasse Børsens own wood traceability system, which is third party certified according to PEFC Chain of Custody. All feedstock is sourced through the PEFC COC system of the company, which covers wood chips as a product group. The company maintains volume accounts and calculations for all inputs and outputs.

## 6 Evaluation process

### 6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Persons involved</b>	<b>Duration</b>
<i>Audit planning, document review</i>	<i>Jan-Feb 2017</i>	<i>Home office and DNV office, Espoo Finland</i>	<i>Lead Auditor, DNV staff responsible for contracting</i>	<i>½ person-day</i>
<i>Pre-assessment</i>	<i>10/01-2017</i>	<i>Company Office of Biomasse Børsen</i>	<i>Lead Auditor, SBP responsible, COC systems responsible, managing director</i>	<i>1½ person-day</i>
<i>Main (IA) Audit On-Site audit</i>	<i>28/02-02/03 2017; 16/03 2017</i>	<i>Company Office of Biomasse Børsen, forest site visits and one of the two storage and chipping facilities.</i>	<i>Lead Auditor, SBP responsible, COC systems responsible, managing director, accounting responsible</i>	<i>3½ person-days</i>
<i>Off-site audit (system and procedures review, assessment of corrective actions, reporting, technical review)</i>	<i>Feb-April 2017.</i>	<i>Home office and DNV office, Espoo Finland</i>	<i>Lead auditor, Technical reviewer, Certification decision maker</i>	<i>4 person-days</i>

\* Justification for visiting one storage: The two storages are located in same region close to the office and under control of the staff at the office. The storages are in terms of processes and facilities the same. The mobile chipper is the same. No other processing takes place than chipping and loading. At the time of the audit, there was no roundwood on the second storage.

### 6.2 Description of evaluation activities

The pre-assessment consisted of document review and interviews regarding the management system descriptions, calculations and invoicing arrangements and Supply Base evaluation. The pre-assessment resulted in a short list of nonconformities for the company to deal with before the Main (IA) Audit.

The Main (IA) Audit contained document reviews, record reviews, interviews of responsible personnel, calculation verifications, site inspection at storage and chipping facility, two forest site visits and tracking of timber batches. Critical control points included verification of feedstock classification and category (SBP Compliant; PEFC certified) within the defined supply base and checking the chain-of-custody volume accounting thoroughly, as well as the data available as specified in the Instruction note 5A, 5B and 5C on collection and communication of data.

The Main (IA) Audit also included the thorough review of the SBE with document and procedures review, record review, interviews of responsible personnel, verification of SBE including RA and SVP, stakeholder consultation and mitigation measures developed by the company, as well as two field visits to forest sites with ongoing activities.

The Main (IA) Audit resulted in closure of all major nonconformities from the pre-assessment and identification of a short list of minor nonconformities and observations.

### 6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

As part of the Main Audit (IA), an e-mail consultation was sent to a total of 24 Danish stakeholder organisations encouraging the stakeholders to raise their concerns related to the SBP certification of Biomasse Børsen and the elaborated SBE RA for Denmark. The list of stakeholders was based on the list of stakeholders also consulted as part of the draft national RRA for Denmark.

No stakeholder comments received. This process revealed that the stakeholders are generally not concerned about the company's forest management, sourcing of feedstock, SBE nor risk mitigation measures.

## 7 Results

### 7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

The pre-assessment revealed several minor non-conformities of the system, e.g. mainly related to documenting and reporting data and documentation and to properly describing and implementing the mitigation measures related to the RA for the supply base. Most of these were closed during the Main (IA) Audit. As presented in the List of Findings and the section 10 of this report, most of the minor non-conforming issued during the Main (IA) Audit relate to the compilation of data and the reporting.

As the main strengths of the BP, there is proven competency and experience of trading and forest management in the management team of Biomasse Børsen ApS.

During the review and evaluation of the company' SBE with RA and SVP, the strengths of the company include the clear track of feedstock and flows from the forest to the energy sector, the full overview of sets of suppliers, the well-developed SVP and RA with identification of four indicators with specified risk and the well-developed and clear risk mitigation measures to get these four specified risk indicators down to low risk, including supplier training programme and system setup, procedures, control and monitoring of forest operations.

The audits did not identify any significant weaknesses.

### 7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

The Company has conducted a rigorous Supply Base Evaluation of the defined Supply Base. For the risk assessment (RA), the risk was designated low for all indicators of the SBP Standard 1 apart from four: 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.4.

The Company has built the developed mitigation measures for these four indicators into its procedures and feedstock sourcing programmes and has sufficient knowledge and procedures in place to demonstrate also low risk in practise for all indicators.

For the four indicators resulting in specified risk in the RA, the company has developed clear risk mitigation measures, including supplier training for each defined set of similar suppliers in their SVP, and procedures, routines, apps, documents and records and control mechanisms for suppliers and own staff performing control of the forest operations conducted by forest contractors. The evaluation found that the mitigation measures are sufficient to bring the risk down to low for the four indicators.

### 7.3 Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions

Since the scope of the SBP system is rather limited to purchase of roundwood, chipping and transport and as the feedstock originates from 90% primary feedstock, 9% secondary feedstock with detailed records on forest of origin of all feedstock, the GHG profiling data can be obtained through a quite simple routine and by use of reference values (BioGrace). The baseline and general procedures are in line with the Document 5A, 5B and 5C requirements and procedures.

## 7.4 Competency of involved personnel

The company has two active owners, one full time employee and one bookkeeper on part-time basis. The personnel responsible for the management and control system has a long experience of system management, professional control of forest management and forest operations. The knowledge and experience of the responsible personnel relating to GHG data profiling procedures is also found to be on a suitable level.

## 7.5 Stakeholder feedback

Neither the stakeholder consultation performed by the company nor the stakeholder consultation performed by the auditor resulted in any stakeholder comments.

## 7.6 Preconditions

No preconditions issued during the Main (IA) Audit.

During the Pre-Assessments, a number of non-conformities were identified, which was closed at the main audit.

## 8 Review of Biomass Producer’s Risk Assessments

The lead auditor reviewed the risk assessment developed by the biomass producer and audited the biomass producer up against each indicator in the SBP Std. 1 to confirm any sensitive or missing elements to the company approach for the RA and to review if the biomass producer had sufficient knowledge and documentation in place as verification and/or mitigation leading to low risk.

Beneath, the CB’s final risk ratings are provided in Table 1, together with the Biomass Producer’s final risk ratings. This summary show the risk ratings after the SVP has been performed and after implemented mitigation measures.

Table 1. Final risk ratings of Indicators as determined after the SVP and any mitigation measures.

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)		Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB		Producer	CB
1.1.1	Low	Low	2.3.3	Low	Low
1.1.2	Low	Low	2.4.1	Low	Low
1.1.3	Low	Low	2.4.2	Low	Low
1.2.1	Low	Low	2.4.3	Low	Low
1.3.1	Low	Low	2.5.1	Low	Low
1.4.1	Low	Low	2.5.2	Low	Low
1.5.1	Low	Low	2.6.1	Low	Low
1.6.1	Low	Low	2.7.1	Low	Low
2.1.1	Low	Low	2.7.2	Low	Low
2.1.2	Low	Low	2.7.3	Low	Low
2.1.3	Low	Low	2.7.4	Low	Low
2.2.1	Low	Low	2.7.5	Low	Low
2.2.2	Low	Low	2.8.1	Low	Low
2.2.3	Low	Low	2.9.1	Low	Low
2.2.4	Low	Low	2.9.2	Low	Low
2.2.5	Low	Low	2.10.1	Low	Low
2.2.6	Low	Low			
2.2.7	Low	Low			
2.2.8	Low	Low			
2.2.9	Low	Low			
2.3.1	Low	Low			
2.3.2	Low	Low			

The basis for the above is explained in the beneath, where first the Biomass Producers Risk Assessment is provided, followed by a summary of the indicators with specified risk and how the BP has defined and implemented risk mitigation measures to arrive at low risk.

As the basis for its own RA, Biomasse Børsen ApS has used the draft national RRA. Biomasse Børsen has contributed with a share of the costs, i.e. paid the consultancy for preparing the draft RRA. Since the draft national RRA has not yet been approved by SBP, Biomasse Børsen ApS has completed a SBE with RA (see section 4-9 in the SBR and Annex 1 to SBR) and SVP (see section 8 in summary of the SBR) for the Supply Base Denmark. Specified risk was identified for four indicators as part of the RRA, while all other indicators were identified as low risk indicators. Biomasse Børsen ApS has reviewed each indicator in its own RA and has come to the same conclusion.

Table 1a. Overview of the results from the risk assessment of all Indicators (prior to SVP)

Indicator	Initial Risk Rating		
	Specified	Low	Unspecified
1.1.1		X	
1.1.2		X	
1.1.3		X	
1.2.1		X	
1.3.1		X	
1.4.1		X	
1.5.1		X	
1.6.1		X	
2.1.1	X		
2.1.2	X		
2.1.3		X	
2.2.1		X	
2.2.2		X	
2.2.3	X		
2.2.4	X		
2.2.5		X	
2.2.6		X	
2.2.7		X	
2.2.8		X	
2.2.9		X	
2.3.1		X	
2.3.2		X	
2.3.3		X	

Indicator	Initial Risk Rating		
	Specified	Low	Unspecified
2.4.1		X	
2.4.2		X	
2.4.3		X	
2.5.1		X	
2.5.2		X	
2.6.1		X	
2.7.1		X	
2.7.2		X	
2.7.3		X	
2.7.4		X	
2.7.5		X	
2.8.1		X	
2.9.1		X	
2.9.2		X	
2.10.1		X	

The resulting four indicators with specified risk are:

- 2.1.1 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that forests and other areas with high conservation value in the Supply Base are identified and mapped.

- 2.1.2 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to identify and address potential threats to forests and other areas with high conservation values from forest management activities.
- 2.2.3 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that key ecosystems and habitats are conserved or set aside in their natural state (CPET S8b).
- 2.2.3 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that biodiversity is protected (CPET S5b).

For this purpose, Biomasse Børsen ApS has developed appropriate and clear systems and procedures as risk mitigation measures to ensure all indicators are low. The four specified risk indicators are all related to appropriate control systems and procedures to identify, address potential threats and avoid damage to nature values during forest operations. See section 9 beneath on risk mitigation measures.

As part of the SBE, Biomasse Børsen ApS has setup the SVP including risk rating, identification of risk factors, listing suppliers, defining sets of suppliers, developing tools, procedures and training for all suppliers (forest contractors under own control and other suppliers).



## 9 Review of Biomass Producer's mitigation measures

Biomasse Børsen ApS has developed clear risk mitigation measures for the four indicators with specified risk in the RA in order to arrive at low-risk for them as well. During the Main (IA) Audit, Biomasse Børsen ApS had developed clear tools and well-documented procedures for the implementation of the measures and tested the measures.

- 2.1.1 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that forests and other areas with high conservation value in the Supply Base are identified and mapped.
- 2.1.2 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to identify and address potential threats to forests and other areas with high conservation values from forest management activities.
- 2.2.3 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that key ecosystems and habitats are conserved or set aside in their natural state (CPET S8b).
- 2.2.3 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that biodiversity is protected (CPET S5b).

The four specified risk indicators are all related to appropriate control systems and procedures to identify nature values, address potential threats and avoid damage to nature values during forest operations.

The risk mitigation measures covering all four indicators are targeting both:

- Forest operations controlled by Biomasse Børsen and performed by forest contractors.
- Forest operations where Biomasse Børsen receives the wood chips from suppliers with indirect control of the forest operations.

### **Risk mitigation measures:**

For each new project, i.e. assignment to purchase roundwood or wood chips, a risk assessment is carried out with screening of the forest of origin from where biomass is harvested. This screening is conducted to clarify whether the site on the basis of the indicators: 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2.3, 2.2.4, has to be classified as a risk area. The risk assessment is based on available national maps and databases accessible on internet or via a nature portal maintained by the Danish authorities, as well as a physical examination of the forest area before the forest operation is started.

The screening and the resulting implementation of the risk mitigation measures contribute to minimizing any negative impacts on ecosystems, biodiversity and conservation areas and thereby converted the risk into low risk.

The procedure for mapping and checking the forest area, where the feedstock will be harvested includes the following.

- 1) Each project is assigned a unique project ID that recur in the short, job description, weight slips and invoices etc.

2) Site map developed based on relevant map applications, incl. "Arealinformation" and "Miljøgis" with HNV (high nature value) forest or DM & E map program with relevant map layers.

- Map and detailed maps indicating the workspace and protected zones, if any,
- FSC and PEFC certified FMUs and/or green forest management plan involved "account card" in the planning process, so of values can be secured. These cards attached to the project.

3) Completion of checklist

- Map Screening, the affected items in the checklist ticked
- description of any remedial action
- definition of product status
- presentation of the person responsible for screening
- Physical screening / review of the felling area to be implemented by
- Broadleaf stands
- Uneven Aging stands
- Areas with HNV value from 10 and up
- Areas of Conservation, monuments, etc.

4) Physical screening with identifying any key habitats and valuable nature areas.

5) Physical screening may be omitted if the screening of existing maps and databases does not reveal any risks and the forest operation is:

- thinning of afforestation / 1st generation forest site
- thinning in even-aged coniferous forest stand
- the work area is outside the forest and the operation performed is not impacting any nature values.

6) For each project, a work instruction is prepared, which describes how the forest operation/task is to be solved and which measures must be taken on site.

#### **SVP and implemented training programme:**

Biomasse Børsen has developed and implemented a SVP including a training programme of forest contractors/suppliers of feedstock. The suppliers have been listed and categorised and a contractors handbook developed, which clearly explains how the suppliers/contractors are screened and trained. And how the supplier/contractor have to apply the work instruction and maps resulting from the screening of the individual forest area. Biomasse Børsen then controls that the supplier/contractor has implemented the screening, the mapping, the work instruction and the forest operation without negative impact on any nature values on site.

Next to the contractor handbook, Biomasse Børsen has developed a Tradenda app, where all information is available and shared between Biomasse Børsen and the supplier/contractor.

#### **Guide to perform the screening of the forest area before forest operations**

The company has developed a guide to Danish contractors and suppliers on how they must screen the forest or landscape area before any harvest operations and how to use the Tradenda app. The guide includes the obligatory desk based and field screening to be performed and how the results must be made available to the

company, so that the company will hold records proving implementation of risk mitigation measures securing low risk of negative impact on nature values.

**Monitoring of implementation of the risk mitigation measures:**

The Biomasse Børsen has prepared a monitoring plan by sampling of the suppliers of Roundwood and wood chips respectively, which include clear sampling rules and how to monitor that the required mitigation measures are being implemented, records are being kept and whether the measures were shown to be effective in addressing the identified risks.

The review of the lead auditor included checking forest operation sites, interviewing contractors of the suppliers, checking training programme implemented and checking the Tradenda app information and examples of maps with known nature values, project work instructions, documentation and company evaluation.

## 10 Non-conformities and observations

### Non-conformities and observations during the IA:

No Major non-conformities were issued.

### **Minor Non-conformities (to be closed within 12 months after the audit):**

- IA-01-2017 The BP has described the system for monitoring suppliers, has performed monitoring of the current two approved contractors and has written down the results. But the company did not have a checklist or alike to be used during the monitoring, including defining the criteria to be monitored during verification according to supplier characteristics, risk factors and local circumstances, and implementing a plan to monitor the effectiveness of the mitigation measures, at least annually (i.e. every 12 months) (ref. SBP STD 2\_16.3; Instruction Note 2a\_1.2).
- IA-02-2017 The BP has set up system to record all results of the SVP, including any non-compliances. System in excel with sheets for each set of suppliers and suppliers lists in annex 4 to the procedures manual. Apart from testing and monitoring the two current contractors, the company has not performed supplier monitoring, including recording all results of the SVP and any cases of non-compliance identified during its visits and monitor the implementation of any mitigation measures required (ref. SBP STD 2\_16.3; Instruction Note 2a\_1.7).
- IA-04-2017 For feedstock inputs, the BP has not yet recorded the following information: f) the certificate numbers of any certified suppliers and the products FSC/PEFC/SBP category. For Biomass sold with an SBP claim, the BP has not yet record the following information: e) Specific batch data, f) SBP certificate code and SBP claim) (ref. SBP STD 4\_5.2.6; 5.4.1).
- IA-06-2017 The BP has not yet made data available using the templates specified in Instruction Documents 5a, 5b and 5c to Standard 5 to the customers. The BP has not yet agreed with the customers the medium to communicate the data (ref. SBP STD 5\_Instruction Note 5A\_2.1.5).
- IA-09-2017 The BP is only just started up operating a log book/electronic code/card system to allocate the use of fossil fuel to processing (biomass production) or transport. The BP has not yet justified fully the methodology used for reporting energy use to the CB, while recording estimated data in the SAR (ref. SBP STD 5\_Instruction Note 5B\_5.1.1-5.1.4).
- IA-10-2017 Specifically for transport, the BP has only just set up system and therefore not yet reported the following data for transport: place of departure; place of arrival; transportation mode and type of vehicle; type of fuel used, for feedstock: average and maximum travel distance; and for biomass: total travel distance (ref. SBP STD 5\_Instruction Note 5B\_6.1.2).
- IA-11-2017 The BP has not yet provided the CB with an annual overview of the quantity of biomass handled at the different storages, handling and trans-shipment locations within the scope of its certification, including data on biomass inputs and outputs. The BP has not yet fully justified

the approach followed for the above and the values used to the CB to be recorded in the SAR (ref. SBP STD 5\_Instruction Note 5B\_6.2).

**Observations:**

- IA-03-2017 The BP has not yet made the Supply Base Report (SBR) accessible on the BP's website, while the requirement is to do this after approval within 90 days in English, and at least one official language of the country in which the BP is located (ref. SBP STD 2\_7.1; Instruction Note 2c\_2.1).
- IA-05-2017 In order to use the SBP trademarks, the BP has not yet signed the SBP trademark licence agreement (ref. SBP STD 4\_Instruction Note 4B\_1.2).
- IA-07-2017 The BP has not yet the final Static Data Identifier to refer only to a single Reporting Period. A new Static Data Identifier to be allocated for each Reporting Period. The Static Data Identifiers to be in the form: SBP-→XX-→YY-→ZZ (ref. SBP STD 5\_Instruction Note 5A\_2.2.4; 2.2.5).
- IA-08-2017 The BP has not yet accompanied the production batch with Static Biomass Profiling data, dynamic batch sustainability data and transaction claims. Each Production Batch to be allocated a unique Production Batch ID. The Production Batch ID to be in the form: SBP-→XX-→YY-→ZZ-→AA (ref. SBP STD 5\_Instruction Note 5A\_3.2.1-3.2.5).
- IA-12-2017 The BP has set up system but has not yet recorded data specified in the Instruction Document 5C in the SBP Static Biomass Profiling Data sheet using the latest version of the template from the SBP website. The BP has set up system but not yet fully operated a management system to ensure that data recorded is consistently compliant with the requirements specified in the Instruction Document (ref. SBP Std 5 Instruction Note 5C\_2.1.1-3.1.3).

## 11 Certification decision

DNV GL Personnel involved in the certification process:

- The IA audit was conducted by Karina Seeberg Kitnaes, qualified SBP lead auditor.
- The Technical Review was conducted by Martti Kuusinen, qualified SBP lead auditor.
- The Certification Decision was made by Technical Manager Kimmo Haarala, acting as the DNV GL Management representative.

Based on the assessment process, it has been shown that the system implemented by Biomasse Børsen ApS meets the requirements of the applicable SBP standards and a certificate can therefore be issued. For the certificate to remain valid, necessary corrective actions resulting from the minor non-conformities shall be initiated and implemented within 12 months following the Initial Audit.

Date of certification: 02/Jun/2017

Date of expiry of the certificate: 01/Jun/2022.