

SBP

Sustainable Biomass Partnership

NEPCon Evaluation of Belarustorg (Branch Mulyarovka) State Enterprise Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.0

*For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see
www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org*

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Partnership Limited 2015

Contents

1	Overview	1
2	Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate	2
3	Specific objective	4
4	SBP Standards utilised	5
4.1	SBP Standards utilised	5
4.2	SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment	5
5	Description of Biomass Producer, Supply Base and Forest Management	6
5.1	Description of Biomass Producer	6
5.2	Description of Biomass Producer's Supply Base	6
5.3	Detailed description of Supply Base	7
5.4	Chain of Custody system	7
6	Evaluation process	8
6.1	Timing of evaluation activities	8
6.2	Description of evaluation activities	9
6.3	Process for consultation with stakeholders	10
7	Results	11
7.1	Main strengths and weaknesses	11
7.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation	11
7.3	Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions	11
7.4	Competency of involved personnel	11
7.5	Stakeholder feedback	11
7.6	Preconditions	11
8	Review of Biomass Producer's Risk Assessments	12
9	Review of Biomass Producer's mitigation measures	13
10	Non-conformities and observations	14
11	Certification decision	20
12	Surveillance updates	21
13	Evaluation details	22

1 Overview

CB Name and contact: NEPCon OÜ, Filosoofi 31, 50108 Tartu, Estonia

Primary contact for SBP: Ondrej Tarabus ot@nepcon.net, +420 606 730 382

Report completion date: 17/Aug/16

Report authors: Aliaksandr Zubkevich

Certificate Holder: Belarustorg (branch Mulyarovka), Republican Production Trade Unitary Enterprise, 247930 v. Mulyarovka, Petrikov district, Gomel region The Republic of Belarus

Producer contact for SBP: Kalitko Sergei, Director, +375 2350 203-07/ s.kalitsko@mail.ru

Certified Supply Base: sourcing from Republic of Belarus

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-01-40

Date of certificate issue: 04/Oct/2016

Date of certificate expiry: 03/Oct/2021

Indicate where the current audit fits within the certification cycle				
Main (Initial) Audit	First Surveillance Audit	Second Surveillance Audit	Third Surveillance Audit	Fourth Surveillance Audit
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

The certificate scope covers the production site and office in Mulyarovka, Republic of Belarus. The organization produce only pellets.

The Organisation holds valid FSC Chain of Custody certificate with FSC transfer system in the scope. The input material used by the Organisation for biomass production contains only secondary feedstock - sawdust (for pellet production and for dryer). Secondary feedstock (sawdust) is sourced from external suppliers (sawmills).

Approximately 21% of input materials delivered to the pellet production plant is FSC certified, and about 79% of input material is not certified. The organization has the segregation system in place.

Feedstock used in the biomass production originates only from Belarus.

Supply Base Evaluation is not included into the scope of the evaluation.

Scope of the evaluation is indicated in the table below:

Scope Item	Check all that apply to the Certificate Scope			Change in Scope (N/A for Assessments)	
Approved Standards:	SBP Standard #2 V1.0 SBP Standard #4 V1.0 ; SBP Standard #5 V1.0 http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org/documents			<input type="checkbox"/>	
Primary Activity:	Pellet producer			<input type="checkbox"/>	
Input Material Categories:	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Primary Feedstock	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Secondary Feedstock		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Controlled Feedstock	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP non-Compliant Feedstock			
	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Tertiary biomass	<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-consumer Tertiary Feedstock			
		<input type="checkbox"/> Post-consumer Tertiary Feedstock			
<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-approved Recycled Claim					
Chain of custody system implemented:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FSC	<input type="checkbox"/> PEFC	<input type="checkbox"/> SFI	<input type="checkbox"/> GGL	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> Percentage		<input type="checkbox"/> Credit	<input type="checkbox"/>
Points of sales	<input type="checkbox"/> Harbour (including own handling of material)	<input type="checkbox"/> Harbour (e.g. FOB incoterms) legal owner is not responsible for handling of material at the harbor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other point of sale (e.g. gate of the BP, boarder, railway station etc.)		

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions

Provide name of all points of sales			DAF Bigosovo	
Use of SBP claim:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No		<input type="checkbox"/>
SBE Verification Program:	<input type="checkbox"/> Low risk sources only	<input type="checkbox"/> Sources with unspecified/ specified risk		<input type="checkbox"/>
	New districts approved for SBP-Compliant inputs:			<input type="checkbox"/>
Sub-scopes				<input type="checkbox"/>
Specify SBP Product Groups added or removed:				
Comments:				

3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of the production processes, production site visit;
- Review of FSC system control points, analysis of the existing FSC CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients;
- GHG data collection analysis;

4 SBP Standards utilised

4.1 SBP Standards utilised

Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock, SBP Standard 2, Version 1.0, March 2015

Chain of Custody, SBP Standard 4, Version 1.0, March 2015

Collection and Communication of Data, SBP Standard 5, Version 1.0, March 2015

Instruction document 5A Collection and Communication of Data version 1.0. March 2015 was utilised for the evaluation as well.

<http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org/documents>

4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment

Not applicable. Supply Base Evaluation is not covered by the Scope of the Evaluation.

5 Description of Biomass Producer, Supply Base and Forest Management

5.1 Description of Biomass Producer

BP is a pellet producer located in Mulyarovka, Gomel region. BP may produce about 7000 tons of wood pellets annually. Incoming feedstock is sawdust from external sawmill located in the same region, Belarus. Final product is transported in big bags to railway station and then is transported in bulk by railway to Belarus/Latvian border. Sawdust with FSC 100% claim is delivered from FSC certified forest management units in Gomel region, its share is about 20% in total supplies. The rest 80% of supplies are non-certified. Non certified material is kept separately and is also processed separately from FSC certified. The BP plans to buy sawdust from different FSC certified sawmill with possible claim FSC mix credit.

The BP has implemented FSC transfer system and produced biomass shall be sold with appropriate FSC claim depending on income material (SBP-compliant biomass).

5.2 Description of Biomass Producer's Supply Base

The supply base of the organization is Belarus. Incoming feedstock is sawdust from external sawmill located in the same region, Belarus.

In Belarus, forest land covers 9.5 million ha. Forests are quite evenly spread over the country's six regions with the average value of the forest cover (ratio between the stocked forest land and the total land) being 39.3% . Area of Agricultural area 8.7 million ha.

The area covered by forest is increasing. The expansion happens both naturally and by afforestation of infertile land unsuitable for agriculture. Within the last decade, the timber production in Belarus has fluctuated approx., 11 million cubic metres (<http://www.mlh>. by 2015.)

Forest area of Belarus consists: forests- 7,89 million ha, Other wooded land 0.91 million ha.

The main wood species in Belarus are: pine 50,4%, spruce 9,2%; birch 23,1%; black alder 3,3%; grey alder 3,3 %: aspen 2,1%; other species 3,3%.

The forests in the Republic of Belarus are state property. Forests under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Forestry (Minleshoz) cover 86% of the forest fund. Besides, a significant share of the forest fund is managed by the Administration of the President of the Republic of Belarus (8%) and by the Ministry of Emergency Situations of the Republic of Belarus (2%).

Belarus has been a signatory of the CITES Convention since 1995. CITES requirements are respected in forest management, although there are no species included in the CITES lists in Belarus.

Forest regeneration is carried out annually over an area of 32,000 ha, including 81% of the forest planting and seeding and 19% by natural regeneration. There are 2 strictly protected Nation reserves and 4 National parks

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions

present in Belarus at the moment. Area of National reserves accounts 2,98 million ha and area of National parks is 3,98 million ha.

Forestry and the forest industry are essential parts of the republic's economy. The share of forest sector in GNP is 4-5% , 3.2% of local inhabitants are employed in forest sector.

All forest area is certified by PEFC certification scheme: 7,7 million. ha (83 forestry's) and FSC certification scheme app 8.3 million. ha (94 forestry's)

5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

Total Supply Base area (ha): 9.5 million ha

Tenure by type (ha): 9,5 million ha state ownership, 0 million ha private forests and 0 million ha other ownership types.

Forest by type (ha): 9.5 million ha temperate forests

Forest by management type (ha): 9.5 million ha managed semi-natural

Certified forest by scheme (ha): FSC - total certified area 8.3 million ha

PEFC – total certified area 8,1 million ha

Quantitative description of the Supply Base can be found in the Supply Base Report of the Biomass Producer (<http://www.ps.by/o-kompanii/cert/sertificate2/>)

5.4 Chain of Custody system

The Organisation holds valid FSC Chain of Custody certificate (NC-COC-025993). Critical control points of the FSC CoC system were evaluated also during SBP assessment.

The Organisation has implemented FSC transfer system. The input material used by the Organisation for biomass production contains only secondary feedstock - sawdust (for pellet production and for dryer). Secondary feedstock (sawdust) is sourced only from external suppliers (sawmills). Approximately 21% of input materials delivered to the pellet production plant is FSC certified, and about 79% of input material is not certified. The organization has the segregation system in place. The organization does not use any imported material. Incoming sawdust reception register and supplier list are maintained. All material is checked during the arrival and correctly recorded in the internal system. Physical separation is implemented – FSC certified material is stored in special place and processed separately in time when production line is cleaned of non-certified product.

6 Evaluation process

6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

Onsite assessment was conducted on April 12, 2016 (8h). Assessment activities included documents review at office, inspection of production facilities and staff interviews. After onsite audit Organization suddenly have decided stop with SBP certification and didn't answer on auditor questions more. On June 29, 2016 assessment process continued corrective action verification audit. Updated instruction and calculation were provided to auditor. Skype interview and revision of updated documents was done.

Action	Place	Auditor	date/ time
Introduction meeting (Appr at 8.00-8.15)	Mulyarovka branch	Aliaksandr Zubkevich	12.04.2016 8.15-12.30; 13.30-17.30
Analyse of the organization SBP system; Staff interview; Documents review procedure, instructions, training minutes, group products list, suppliers list and etc. Analyse of FSC COC system. Checking of critical points. Review of GHG date calculation, interview with staff Visit of pellet factory and laboratory, staff interview, review of records List of reviewed processes (visited departments): 1) purchase and acceptance of raw material 2) moisture measurement of raw material and products (operator); 3) production and accounting (bookkeeping); 4) Use of resources (electrician, mechanic); 5) Realisation and sales. Work with clients	Mulyarovka branch		

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions

Lunch time 12.30-13.30	Mulyarovka branch		
Final meeting 17.00-17:30	Mulyarovka branch		
Continue of assessment: Documents review procedure, instructions, Review of GHG date calculation, interview with staff	Skype interview and document review		29.06.2016

6.2 Description of evaluation activities

The assessment visit was focused on management system evaluation: division of the responsibilities, document and system, input material classification (reception and registration), analysis of the existing FSC system and FSC system control points as well as the collection of the energy and emission data.

Description of the assessment evaluation:

All SBP related documentation connected to the SBP as well as FSC system of the organisation, including SBP Procedures, GHG related data, Supply Base Reports, were evaluated during the assessment.

Auditor was welcomed in the company. Audit started with an opening meeting attended by the director.

Auditor introduced himself, provided information about audit plan, methodology, auditor qualification, confidentiality issues, and assessment methodology and clarified verification scope. During the opening meeting the auditor explained CB's approval related issues.

After that auditor went through all applicable requirements of the SBP standards nr.2, 4, 5 and instruction documents 5a covering input clarification, existing chain of custody system, management system, CoC, recordkeeping/mass balance requirements, emission and energy data and categorisation of input and verification of SBP compliant feedstock/ biomass. During the process overall responsible person for SBP system and as well as other persons having key responsibilities within the system were interviewed.

After that roundtrip around BP's pellet production was undertaken. During the site tour reception process were observed, applicable records were reviewed, pellet factory staff was interviewed and FSC system critical control points were analysed.

At the end audit findings were summarised and audit conclusion based on use of 3 angle evaluation method were provided to the representative of the company.

After onsite audit Organization suddenly have decided stop with SBP certification and didn't answer on auditor questions more. On June 29, 2016 assessment process was continued. Updated instruction and calculation were provided to auditor. Skype interview and revision of updated documents was done.

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions

Audit team composition:

Auditor(s), roles	Qualifications
Aliaksandr Zubkevich Lead auditor Evaluation against all applicable requirements	Mr Aliaksandr Zubkevich has education of engineer-economist in timber industry. He had postgraduate study at the Belarusian State Technological University. A. Zubkevich has passed FSC CoC/ FM lead auditor training course, Legal Source, ISO 14001 and SBP training coursed. Previous experience in woodworking industry and SBP pre-assessment and assessments in Belarus.

6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

The stakeholder consultation was carried out on 14th of December, 2015 by sending direct email to different stakeholder categories: state institutions, local NGOs, authorities, government bodies, forest owners associations, academic and research institutions. Also notification of assessment was posted on NEPcon website <http://www.nepcon.org/sbp-assessments> . No comments from the stakeholders were received. The stakeholder notification letter is added as an Exhibit No. 2 to this report. Originally, the audit was scheduled for March, but then it was postponed due to the fact that the BP needed more time for preparation.

7 Results

7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Strength: Use of production residuals. All elements of SBP system are implemented at the time of the assessment. Use of the FSC transfer system and control of all incoming materials at the level of sawdust reception and production process.

Weaknesses: See the non-conformities below.

7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

Not applicable

7.3 Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions

Prior the assessment the organization has not recorded data on greenhouse gas emissions and has only started for purposes of the SBP certification. Some data required for the GHG calculation were supported by weak evidence as the organization did not collect all information on regular basis before the decision to go for SBP certification was taken. However, there are all required data and the newly established system is well implemented and the responsible workers are aware about their requirements.

7.4 Competency of involved personnel

The SBP responsible person was supported by external consultant who was closely involved in preparation of internal procedures and helping to set up the management system. The SBP responsible staff has shown good understanding of the requirements in relation to SBP certification and of the already implemented FSC CoC system.

7.5 Stakeholder feedback

No stakeholder comments were received.

7.6 Preconditions

No preconditions to this certification were identified at the time of the main assessment.

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions

8 Review of Biomass Producer's Risk Assessments

Not applicable

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions

9 Review of Biomass Producer's mitigation measures

Not applicable

10 Non-conformities and observations

NCR: 01/16	NC Classification: Major	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 2 requirement 2c, p.7.3 The SBR shall be completed using the latest version of the SBR template, which is available from the SBP website	
Report Section:	Appendix A p 2.2	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>The relevant requirement is specified in SBP procedure (Annex 2) but was not implemented. SBR was completed by using the old version of the SBR available in the SBP website.</p> <p>Требование по использованию последней версии шаблона отчета о ресурсной базе отражено в процедуре, однако на момент оценки организация предоставила отчет о ресурсной базе в старом шаблоне.</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior the certificate issuance	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	.Updated SBR	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	Organization submitted updated SBR to auditor. Organization used newest template. Review of updated SBR confirmed that latest template was used.	
NCR Status:	Closed	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions

NCR: 02/16	NC Classification: Major
Standard & Requirement:	<p>SBP Standard # 2 requirement 2c, p.4.1.</p> <p>The report shall be concise, covering the most important features, and shall be completed using the latest versions of the SBR Template for Biomass Producers downloaded from the SBP website. (2C, 4.1)</p>
Report Section:	Appendix A p 2.8
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
<p>The Supply Base Report does not meet the requirements of SBP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - volumes of used feedstock do not correspond volumes in GHG calculation - wrong reference on report period - figures of forest areas in 2.1. are outdated - indication of the number of suppliers for each SBP feedstock product group are missing in SBR section 2.1. General Description. - Indication that forest type is boreal while all forest in Belarus are temperate - feedstock (h) indicate that primary feedstock is used while in fact no - listed species of primary feedstock used - sections 13.4 and 13.5 not filled in <p>Отчет о ресурсной базе не отвечает требованиям SBP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - объемы используемой биомассы не соответствуют объемам, указанным при расчет эмиссии газов - неправильно указан отчетный период - данные по лесному фонду устаревшие - в разделе 2.1 не указано количество поставщиков - указано, что леса бореальные, в то время как в Беларуси умеренные - в пункте (h) сказано, что используется круглый лес в качестве сырья, на самом деле нет - перечислены породы используемого круглого леса - пункты 13.4 и 13.5 не заполнены 	
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions

	Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior the certificate issuance	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	Pending Updated SBR	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	Organization submitted updated SBR to auditor. Organization used newest template. Review of updated SBR confirmed that report meet SBP requirements and all nonconformities identified were corrected.	
NCR Status:	Closed	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 03/16	NC Classification: Major	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP standard#2: Verification of SBP-compliant feedstock (version 1.0), requirement 19.1 The BPs shall implement measures to support the credibility of the SBR, appropriate to the context of the supply base, SBE and the BP.	
Report Section:	Appendix A p.12.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
Supply Base Report is not signed by senior management of the Organization. The SBR is public available by request. But draft results of the SBE were not peer reviewed by an independent and competent party.		
Отчет о ресурсной базе не подписан руководством. Отчет о ресурсной базе доступен публично по запросу. Однако отчет о ресурсной базе не был выслан на рецензию независимому рецензенту.		
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior the certificate issuance	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	Updated SBR, peer review	

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions

Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	BP have updated SBR and send it to the Belarusian Republican forest industry association for peer review. BP has received positive feedback from the association.	
NCR Status:	Closed	
Comments (optional):		
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	

NCR: 04/16	NC Classification: Minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard/ Interpretation 5a (ver. 1.0), requirement 4.3.1 Ideally, there should be a continuous measurement of moisture content of the feedstock at the exit of the dryer to generate an annual average.	
Report Section:	Appendix C p.5.3.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>Frequency of the raw material measurement at the exit of the dryer is designated in the BP production instruction (measurement is done 4 times per day). Measurements records were demonstrated by the company to prove the moisture measurement value. But the BP have started measurement of moisture recently (from 24 of April 2016). So, average data provided for the period less than 12 months. The value reported is very close to the default value for moisture and therefore this non-conformity is considered as minor.</p> <p>Частота измерений влажности сырья на выходе из сушилки определена в процедурах организации (измерения производятся 4 раза в день). Записи измерений влажности были предоставлены компанией. Однако организация начала делать измерения 24 апреля 2016, т.е. средняя влажность представлена за период, который составляет менее 12 месяцев.</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	12 months from the audit closing date	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NCR Status:	OPEN	
Comments (optional):		
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions

NCR: 05/16	NC Classification: Minor	
Standard & Requirement:	<p>SBP Standard/ Interpretation 5a (ver. 1.0), requirement 4.4.1 The legal owner shall provide the data necessary to calculate the average moisture content of the processed feedstock leaving the plant.</p> <p>Ideally the legal owner should introduce a continuous measurement of the moisture content of the processed feedstock in order to produce an annual average.</p> <p>The legal owner shall justify any lower frequency of moisture measurements to the auditor</p>	
Report Section:	Appendix C p.5.4.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>Frequency of the moisture measurement is designated in the BP production instruction (measurement is done 4 times per day). Previously the BP measured the moisture only once a year. Measurements records were demonstrated by the company to prove the moisture measurement value. The BP have started continuous measurement of moisture recently (from 24 of April 2016). So, average data provided for the period less than 12 months.</p> <p>Частота измерений пеллет определена в процедурах организации (измерения производятся 4 раза в день). До этого измерения производились раз в год. Записи измерений влажности были предоставлены компанией. Однако организация начала делать постоянные измерения 24 апреля 2016, т.е средняя влажность представлена за период, который составляет менее 12 месяцев.</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	12 months from the audit closing date	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NCR Status:	OPEN	
Comments (optional):		
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 06/16	NC Classification: Minor	
Standard & Requirement:	<p>SBP Standard/ Interpretation 5a (ver. 1.0), requirement 5.1.2 It may be feasible for the legal owner to collect data using actual fuel records (e.g. tank level and uplifts) along the relevant</p>	

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions

	travel route with the mode of transport actually used. Where applicable, diesel use is reported in MJ/t biomass	
Report Section:	Appendix C p.5.3	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>Information about diesel used for transport of biomass was provided by phone and is not supported by evidence. The organization didn't justify calculation of fuel used for auditor recently.</p> <p>Информация (о затратах дизеля) была получена от представителей железной дороги посредством телефона. Однако организация не могла подтвердить расчёта или доказательств представленной информации.</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	12 months from the audit closing date	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NCR Status:	OPEN	
Comments (optional):		
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

11 Certification decision

Based on Organisation's conformance with SBP requirements, the auditor makes the following recommendation:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Certification approved: Upon acceptance of NCR(s) issued above
<input type="checkbox"/>	Certification not approved:
Based on auditor's recommendation and NEPCon quality review following certification decision is taken:	
NEPCon certification decision: The Biomass producer has been certified by NEPCon as meeting the requirements of the specified SBP Standard, the certificate can be issued immediately after SBP technical committee will approve the report. The expiration of the certificate will be then 5 years.	
Certification decision by: Ondrej Tarabus	
Date of decision: 17th August 2016	

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions

12 Surveillance updates

Not applicable

13 Evaluation details

Primary Responsible Person: (Responsible for control system at site(s))	Kalitsko Sergei, director
Auditor(s):	Aliaksandr Zubkevich - Lead auditor
People Interviewed, Titles:	Kalitsko Sergei, director Durnova Natalia, bookkeeper Shaboltas Marina, storekeeper
Brief Overview of Audit Process for this Location:	See in section 6.2, Description of evaluation activities in the main part of the report.
Comments:	N/A