

SBP

Sustainable Biomass Partnership

NEPCon Evaluation of BioLesProm Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Template Version 1.0

*For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see
www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org*

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Partnership Limited 2015

Contents

1	Overview	1
2	Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate	2
3	Specific objective	4
4	SBP Standards utilised	5
4.1	SBP Standards utilised	5
4.2	SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment	5
5	Description of Biomass Producer, Supply Base and Forest Management	6
5.1	Description of Biomass Producer	6
5.2	Description of Biomass Producer's Supply Base	6
5.3	Detailed description of Supply Base	8
5.4	Chain of Custody system	8
6	Evaluation process	9
6.1	Timing of evaluation activities	9
6.2	Description of evaluation activities	10
6.3	Process for consultation with stakeholders	11
7	Results	12
7.1	Main strengths and weaknesses	12
7.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation	12
7.3	Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions	12
7.4	Competency of involved personnel	12
7.5	Stakeholder feedback	12
7.6	Preconditions	12
8	Review of Biomass Producer's Risk Assessments	13
9	Review of Biomass Producer's mitigation measures	14
10	Non-conformities and observations	15
11	Certification decision	24
12	Surveillance updates	25
13	Evaluation details	26

1 Overview

CB Name and contact: NEPCon OÜ, Filosoofi 31, 50108 Tartu, Estonia]

Primary contact for SBP: Ondrej Tarabus ot@nepcon.net, +420 606 730 382

Report completion date: 14/06/2016

Report authors: Nikolai Tochilov

Certificate Holder: BioLesProm LLC. Russia 162300, Vologda region, Verkhovazhje, Parkovaya street 24

Producer contact for SBP: Alexey Zenkov, vice director general. Mob.: +7 921 824 0008; Email: zenkoyal-2007@ya.ru

Certified Supply Base: Sourcing from Russia, Arkhangelsk and Vologda regions

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-01-31

Date of certificate issue: 23/08/2016

Date of certificate expiry: 22/08/2021

Indicate where the current audit fits within the certification cycle				
Main (Initial) Audit	First Surveillance Audit	Second Surveillance Audit	Third Surveillance Audit	Fourth Surveillance Audit
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

The certificate scope covers the production site and office in Verkhovazhje, Vologda region, Russia.

The BP holds valid FSC Chain of Custody, covering pellet production.

The input material used by the organisation for biomass production (both as raw material for pellet production and feedstock used into dryer) contains secondary feedstock supplied from BP' own sawmill (FSC 100%) and from local FSC certified supplier with FSC Mix Credit claim.

Supply Base Evaluation is not included into the scope of the evaluation.

Scope of the evaluation is indicated in the table below:

Scope Item	Check all that apply to the Certificate Scope				Change in Scope (N/A for Assessments)
Approved Standards:	SBP Standard #2 V1.0 SBP Standard #4 V1.0 SBP Standard #5 V1.0 http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org/documents				<input type="checkbox"/>
Primary Activity:	Pellet producer				<input type="checkbox"/>
Input Material Categories:	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Primary Feedstock	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Secondary Feedstock			<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/> Controlled Feedstock	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP non-Compliant Feedstock			
	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Tertiary biomass	<input type="checkbox"/> Post-consumer Tertiary Feedstock			
	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-approved Recycled Claim	<input type="checkbox"/> Post-consumer Tertiary Feedstock			
Chain of custody system implemented:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FSC	<input type="checkbox"/> PEFC	<input type="checkbox"/> SFI	<input type="checkbox"/> GGL	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> Percentage		<input type="checkbox"/> Credit	<input type="checkbox"/>

Points of sales	<input type="checkbox"/> Harbour (including own handling of material)	<input type="checkbox"/> Harbour (e.g. FOB incoterms) legal owner is not responsible for handling of material at the harbor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other point of sale (e.g. gate of the BP, boarder, railway station etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Provide name of all points of sales	-	-	S.Petersburg (harbour)	
Use of SBP claim:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SBE Verification Program:	<input type="checkbox"/> Low risk sources only	<input type="checkbox"/> Sources with unspecified/ specified risk		<input type="checkbox"/>
	New districts approved for SBP-Compliant inputs: SBE not applicable			
Sub-scopes	-			<input type="checkbox"/>
Specify SBP Product Groups added or removed:				
Comments:				

3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of the production processes, production site visit;
- Review of FSC system control points, analysis of the existing FSC CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients; and
- GHG data collection analysis.

4 SBP Standards utilised

4.1 SBP Standards utilised

Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock, SBP Standard 2, Version 1.0, March 2015

Chain of Custody, SBP Standard 4, Version 1.0, March 2015

Collection and Communication of Data, SBP Standard 5, Version 1.0, March 2015

<http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org/documents>

4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment

Not applicable.

5 Description of Biomass Producer, Supply Base and Forest Management

5.1 Description of Biomass Producer

BP is a timber harvesting and wood processing company located in the northern part of Vologda region, Russia. Company runs both pellet production and sawmilling, which supplies secondary feedstock with FSC 100% claim to the pellet plant (expected 16% of the total volume). The rest 84% of the secondary feedstock is expected to come from neighbouring FSC certified sawmill with FSC Mix Credit claim. Total annual production capacity of pellet plant is 30000 tones.

The round wood used at both sawmills (logs for primary production) is originating from the European North of Russia (100%), Arkhangelsk and Vologda regions.

The BP has implemented FSC transfer system and all amount of produced biomass shall be sold with FSC MIX claim (SBP-compliant biomass).

The pellets are transported to Saint Petersburg harbour where the biomass is taken into possession by new owner.

5.2 Description of Biomass Producer's Supply Base

The total area of forest lands in Russia is 764 mln. ha, accounting for about 21% of the world standing timber. Coniferous species makes up 68,4%, hardwood broadleaved species – 2,4%, softwood broadleaved species – 19,3%. Other tree species makes up less than 1%.

In accordance with Russian legislation all forest lands are state owned. Legal entities can use forest areas in lease and short-term use. Lease relations are the dominant legal form of forest use. The lease term may continue from 10 to 49 years.

Entering into the lease agreement of forest lands or sale contracts of forest stands is carried out at the auction for selling the right to enter into such agreements. Forest areas for a lease must pass a state cadastral registration. According to the Forestry Code of the Russian Federation every forest user taking a lease forest land is obliged:

- to implement measures on forest protection and regeneration,
- to provide annual forest declaration,
- to prepare and implement a forest management plan,
- to provide a report on the timber harvest, forest protection and regeneration.

High quality reproduction of forest resources and protective forestation is a prerequisite for use of forests. All reforestation activities in leased forest areas are planned and carried out by forest users at their own expense in accordance with the forest management plans.

The forest complex of the Russian Federation, including forestry as well as wood harvesting and wood processing industries, plays an important role in Russian economy. About 60 000 of large-scale, medium-sized and small enterprises in all regions of the country are involved in Russian forest complex. The total number of employees in the forest complex of Russia is about 1 mln. people.

Forest certification is an effective tool for combating illegal wood harvesting and wood trade. The system of FSC (Forest Stewardship Council) certification is widely used in Russia. Certified forests area in Russia is about 40 mln. ha, or 30% of the total number of forest under lease. The dynamics of forest certification in Russia shows the ever-increasing activity of wood companies, which indicates to the responsibility to ensure the legality of wood harvested and compliance with environmental and other requirements.

The supply base of LLC “BioLesProm”

Supply base of wood feedstock is situated in the territory of 11 forestries and administrative districts of Vologda and Arkhangelsk regions in Northwest federal district of the Russian Federation listed below. The total area of supply base is 7 498 000 ha.

Vologda region	Arkhangelsk region
Verkhovazhskiy	Velskiy
Babushkinskiy	Shenkurskiy
Tarnogskiy	Nyandomskiy
Totemskiy	Konoshskiy
Nuksenskiy	Ustyanskoe
Syamzhenskiy	

The supply base is situated in central taiga in the European part of Russia. The forest area has favorable conditions for natural regeneration of coniferous species (pine and spruce). The lay of the land is a hilly plain. Vologda and Arkhangelsk regions have intact forests and wetlands of international importance. The territory of Arkhangelsk region is among the global ecoregions of WWF Global 200 Ecoregions. Indigenous peoples vepsi live in Vologda region; pomori and nentsi live in Arkhangelsk region. However high conservation values and indigenous peoples listed above are situated outside of the supply base.

Annual allowable cut of LLC “BioLesProm” is 128 000 cubic meters. Long-term lease is for 49 years. In 2014 company certified its forests and received FSC certificate. Site quality of forest can range from the I to the V. On average site quality of forest is II-III. Clear cutting is 75,8% from the total cut area. Mainly mature forests are harvested. The company provides responsible forest regeneration. Protected wood species are not harvested. Company’s forests do not have species, which fall within the scope of CITES and IUCN. Naturally regenerated stands prevail during regeneration process. Artificial stands are planted on the limited plots of land of 10-15% of regeneration area. Maximum area of clear cutting is 50 ha however in fact it is much smaller.

Company’s sawmill residue is expected to be 16% of total feedstock volume. 84% of the feedstock is expected to be taken at the nearest FSC-certified sawmill. Company’s supplier has three certified forest management units. Currently the supplier is working on certification of the two more units.

Under current conditions company has an opportunity to produce pellets with SBP-complaint biomass claim. All own and acquired feedstock fall under the category of “SBP-compliant Secondary Feedstock”. In both cases sawdust and slabwood of coniferous species are used. In company’s own feedstock the proportion of species is about 70% of pine and 30% of spruce. Supplier’s proportion is vice versa - about 30% of spruce and 70% of pine. In this case this proportion is the same for sawdust and slabwood.

LLC “BioLesProm” is of a great socio-economic importance in Verkhovazhskiy district. 141 employee works there. The company is one of the biggest sawmill and logging companies in the district. It has the leading position in volume of pellet production in Vologda region. The company is engaged in charity events, provides financial support to sociocultural objects and organisations, sport organisations (rural areas, schools, museums, churches, veterans’ communities) and just those in need. All of these is witnessed by numerous diplomas and letters of gratitude.

Forest industry in Vologda and Arkhangelsk regions is one of the leading industries speaking from the perspective of working population involved and the volume of tax payments to all budget levels. These regions are Russian leaders in terms of development level of wood sawing, woodworking and pulp and paper production.

Detailed information about the supply base region (general description of the forest resources and forest management practices within the Supply Base) is publically available at the BP’s homepage:

<http://biolesprom.ru/sertifikaty/>

5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

Total Supply Base area (ha):	7 498 000 ha
Tenure by type (ha):	100% state owned, 100% private management
Forest by type (ha):	Boreal 7 498 000 ha
Forest by management type (ha):	100% Natural
Certified forest by scheme (ha):	505 000 ha FSC-certified forest

5.4 Chain of Custody system

BP holds valid FSC CoC certificate, using FSC transfer system of claims. Incoming secondary feedstock may have the claims FSC 100% and FSC Mix Credit. Incoming material is mixed, and therefore all pellets are produced with FSC MIX claim.

6 Evaluation process

6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

Onsite assessment was conducted on December 7-8, 2015 (16h). Assessment activities included documents review at office, inspection of production facilities and staff interviews.

Activity	Location	Date/time
Opening meeting*	Office	07/12/2015 09.00-09.30
Documents and procedures review. Inputs review	Office	07/12/2015 09:30-12.15
Break		07/12/2015 12:15-13:00
GHG calculation review	Office	07/12/2015 13:00-17:00
Presentation of the results of the first day of assessment	Office	07/12/2015 17:00-17:30
Opening meeting	Office	08/12/2015 08:00-08:15
Chain of custody review (site tour); interview with the chief of pellet production	Production facilities	08/12/2015 8:15 – 10:00
Interview with chief accountant	Accounting department	08/12/2015 10:00-10:30
Documents and procedures review; staff interview.	Office	08/12/2015 10:30 – 16:00

Closing meeting*	Office	08/12/2015 16:00 – 17:00
End of the evaluation		08/12/2015 17:00

6.2 Description of evaluation activities

Composition of audit team:

Auditor(s), roles	Qualifications
Nikolai Tochilov	NEPCon SBP lead auditor. He passed SBP auditor training in Tallinn in January 2015 and previous experience with SBP pre-assessment and SBP assessment in Russia.

The assessment visit was focused on management system evaluation: division of the responsibilities, document and system, input material classification (reception and registration), analysis of the existing FSC system and FSC system control points as well as GHG data availability.

Description of the assessment evaluation:

All SBP related documentation connected to the SBP as well as FSC CoC system of the organisation, including SBP Procedure, GHG data calculations/ data sheet, Supply Base Report and FSC system description was provided by the company at the beginning of the assessment. Audit started with an opening meeting attended by the SBP responsible person and the Director General of the organization.

Auditor introduced himself, provided information about audit plan, methodology, auditor qualification, confidentiality issues, and assessment methodology and clarified certification scope. During the opening meeting the auditor explained CB’s approval related issues.

After that auditor went through all applicable requirements of the SBP standards nr.2, 4, 5 and instruction document 5a covering input clarification, existing chain of custody system, management system, CoC, recordkeeping/mass balance requirements, emission and energy data and categorisation of input and verification of SBP compliant biomass. During the process overall responsible person for SBP system, Director General and chief accountant were interviewed.

After a roundtrip around BP’s pellet production was undertaken. During the site tour, reception process was observed, applicable records were reviewed, pellet production chief was interviewed and FSC system critical control points were analysed.

At the end of the audit finding were summarised and audit conclusion based on use of 3 angle evaluation method were provided to the Director General and SBP responsible person.

At June 2016 additional written evidence (SBR in English and SBR both in Russian and English signed by Director General) were provided by the BP to the auditor. Based on this documents some of the non-conformities were closed – for details see the “Non-conformities and observations” part of the audit report.

6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

The stakeholder consultation was carried out on 07th of November, 2015 by sending direct email to different stakeholder categories. The announcement was also published at NEPCon homepage <http://www.nepcon.net/ru/%D0%BD%D0%BE%D0%B2%D0%BE%D1%81%D1%82%D0%B8/%D0%BF%D1%83%D0%B1%D0%BB%D0%B8%D1%87%D0%BD%D0%BE%D0%B5-%D1%83%D0%B2%D0%B5%D0%B4%D0%BE%D0%BC%D0%BB%D0%B5%D0%BD%D0%B8%D0%B5-07112015>

No comments from the stakeholders were received.

7 Results

7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Strength: Use of the FSC transfer system. Effective recordkeeping system. Small number of the management staff and clearly designated responsibilities within the staff members. Use of own production waste (secondary feedstock) as well as the secondary feedstock from the only one external supplier.

Weaknesses: some misinterpretations in the requirements of Instruction 5A occurred during BP preparation for SBP assessment.

7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

Not applicable.

7.3 Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions

The BP has involved external consultant from CM Biomass Partners who helped with implementation of the system for collection of the emission and energy data. Some of the energy use data is based on actual information, whereas calculation of some data was conducted with implementation of theoretical approaches.

7.4 Competency of involved personnel

The SBP responsible person in the company is Vice Director General Alexey Zenkov. He is supported by external consultant Rens Hartkamp who was closely involved in preparation of internal procedures and helping to set up the management system. The SBP responsible person has shown good understanding of the requirements in relation to SBP certification and of the already implemented FSC CoC system.

7.5 Stakeholder feedback

No stakeholder comments are received.

7.6 Preconditions

Certification preconditions have been closed by BP prior to the report finalization. This was the main reason for the delay with report submission to SBP.

8 Review of Biomass Producer's Risk Assessments

Not applicable.

9 Review of Biomass Producer's mitigation measures

Not applicable.

10 Non-conformities and observations

NCR: 01/16	NC Classification: MAJOR	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 2, requirement 7.1, requirement 2C 1.1, requirement 19.1, requirement 19.3	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
Supply Base Report is prepared but not made publicly available at BP's website. Отчет о ресурсной базе не сделан общественно доступным на веб-сайте Организации.		
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior to certification	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	http://biolesprom.ru/sertifikaty/	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	Prior to report finalization BP made the Supply Base Report publicly available at its homepage. До финализации отчета Организация разместила Отчет о ресурсной базе на своем веб-сайте	
NCR Status:	CLOSED	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 02/16	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 2, requirement 7.3, requirement 2C 4.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>BP used the previous version of Supply Base Report template when preparing to SBP assessment. During assessment report preparation, new version of Supply Base Report (version 1.1) have been developed by SBP.</p> <p>Организация использовала предыдущую форму отчета о ресурсной базе при подготовке к оценке SBP. Во время подготовки отчета об оценке SBP была разработана новая версия (1.1) отчета о ресурсной базе.</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	12 months	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NCR Status:	OPEN	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 03/16	NC Classification: MAJOR	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 2, requirement 2C, 2.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
Supply Base Report was not made available in English. Отчет о ресурсной базе не сделан общественно доступным на английском языке.		
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior to certification	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	http://biolesprom.ru/sertifikaty/	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	Prior to report finalization BP made the Supply Base Report publicly available both in Russian and English at its homepage. До финализации отчета Организация разместила Отчет о ресурсной базе на русском и английском языках на своем веб-сайте.	
NCR Status:	CLOSED	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 04/16	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 2, requirement 2C, 2.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>Sections 12 and 13 of the English version of Supply Base Report posted at BP's homepage http://biolesprom.ru/sertifikaty/ are represented in Russian language.</p> <p>Разделы 12 и 13 английской версии Отчета о ресурсной базе, размещенного на веб-сайте Организации http://biolesprom.ru/sertifikaty/ написаны на русском языке.</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	12 months	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NCR Status:	OPEN	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 05/16	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 2, requirement 2C, 4.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>The Supply Base Report sections 12 and 13 in Russian and English do not contain sections numbering. The content page of Supply Base Report in English does not contain reference to pages numbers for different sections of the Report.</p> <p>Разделы 12 и 13 Отчета о ресурсной базе на русском и английском языках не содержат нумерацию данных разделов. В оглавлении Отчета о ресурсной базе на английском языке отсутствуют ссылки на номера страниц для всех разделов Отчета.</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	12 months	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NCR Status:	OPEN	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 06/16	NC Classification: MAJOR	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 2, requirement 19.2	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
Supply Base Report has not been signed off by senior management. Отчет о ресурсной базе не был подписан руководством		
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior to certification	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	http://biolesprom.ru/sertifikaty/	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	During report finalization the SBR has been signed off by senior management and posted at BP's website, both in Russian and English. До финализации отчета Организация разместила подписанный руководством Отчет о ресурсной базе на русском и английском языках на своем веб-сайте.	
NCR Status:	CLOSED	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 07/16	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Instruction 5A, requirement 3.7.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>According to the information, provided in Batch Specific Data, the amount of used diesel during the reporting period was 3,45 l/tone. However, according to auditor's calculations the relevant amount is 3,495 l/tone (99820,4 liter / 28560 tone feedstock).</p> <p>Согласно информации в Batch Specific Data, расход дизельного топлива для доставки сырья за отчетный период составил 3,45 л/тонну сырья. Однако, согласно расчетам аудитора, расход составил 3,495 л/тонну (99820,4 л / 28560 тонн сырья).</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	12 months	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NCR Status:	OPEN	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 08/16	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Instruction 5A, requirement 5.1.2	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>Diesel use for pellets transportation from production site to S.Petersburg harbor is reported by BP in litres/t biomass.</p> <p>Расход дизельного топлива для доставки пеллет с места производства в порт Санкт-Петербург указан в отчете в литрах на тонну биомассы.</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	12 months	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NCR Status:	OPEN	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 09/16	NC Classification: minor
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Instruction 5A, requirement 6.1
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
<p>Biomass profiling information specifies that during the reporting period 16% of the feedstock was received with FSC 100% claim from own sawmill and 84% was received with FSC MIX claim from certified supplier. However this is plan for the next reporting period. During the previous reporting period 01/07/2014-30/06/2015, the proportion of the feedstock by categories was the following: 56% - FSC 100%; 21% - FSC MIX; 23% - without FSC claim (section 1.2.7 of Supply Base Report).</p> <p>В Biomass profiling information указано, что за отчетный период соотношение сырья на входе было следующим: 16% с заявлением FSC 100% от своего собственного лесопильного производства и 84% с заявлением FSC MIX от сертифицированного поставщика. Однако это план на следующий отчетный период. В истекшем отчетном периоде (01/07/2014-30/06/2015) соотношение сырья на входе было следующим: 56% - FSC 100%; 21% - FSC MIX; 23% - не сертифицированное (раздел 1.2.7 Отчета о ресурсной базе).</p>	
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>
Timeline for Conformance:	12 months
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING
NCR Status:	OPEN
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

11 Certification decision

Based on Organisation's conformance with SBP requirements, the auditor makes the following recommendation:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Certification approved: Upon acceptance of NCR(s) issued above
<input type="checkbox"/>	Certification not approved:
Based on auditor's recommendation and NEPCon quality review following certification decision is taken:	
NEPCon certification decision: The Biomass producer has been certified by NEPCon as meeting the requirements of the specified SBP Standard, the certificate can be issued immediately after SBP technical committee will approve the report. The expiration of the certificate will be then 5 years.	
Certification decision by: Ondrej Tarabus	
Date of decision: 14/06/2016	

12 Surveillance updates

Not applicable.

13 Evaluation details

Primary Responsible Person: (Responsible for control system at site(s))	Alexey Zenkov, Vice Director General
Auditor(s):	Nikolai Tochilov
People Interviewed, Titles:	Alexey Zenkov, Vice Director General Alexander Levashov, Director General Tatiana Rozova, chief accountant Vladimir Gaidai, chief engineer Mikhail Lapin, chief of pellet production Lyubov Khakhlina, H&S engineer
Brief Overview of Audit Process for this Location:	See section 6.2
Comments:	No comments