

SBP

Sustainable Biomass Partnership

NEPCon Evaluation of Rusforest Magistralniy LLC Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.0

*For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see
www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org*

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Partnership Limited 2015

Contents

1	Overview	1
2	Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate	2
3	Specific objective	4
4	SBP Standards utilised	5
4.1	SBP Standards utilised	5
4.2	SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment	5
5	Description of Biomass Producer, Supply Base and Forest Management	6
5.1	Description of Biomass Producer	6
5.2	Description of Biomass Producer’s Supply Base	6
5.3	Detailed description of Supply Base	8
5.4	Chain of Custody system	9
6	Evaluation process	10
6.1	Timing of evaluation activities	10
6.2	Description of evaluation activities	11
6.3	Process for consultation with stakeholders	12
7	Results	13
7.1	Main strengths and weaknesses	13
7.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation	13
7.3	Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions	13
7.4	Competency of involved personnel	13
7.5	Stakeholder feedback	13
7.6	Preconditions	13
8	Review of Biomass Producer’s Risk Assessments	14
9	Review of Biomass Producer’s mitigation measures	15
10	Non-conformities and observations	16
11	Certification decision	19
12	Surveillance updates	20
13	Evaluation details	21

1 Overview

CB Name and contact: NEPCon OÜ, Filosoofi 31, 50108 Tartu, Estonia]

Primary contact for SBP: Ondrej Tarabus ot@nepcon.net, +420 606 730 382

Report completion date: 29/Jun/2016

Report authors: Nikolai Tochilov, Natalia Zaladinova

Certificate Holder: Rusforest Magistralniy LLC. 2/5 Zavodskaya street, Magistralniy, Kazachincko-Lenskiy rayon, Irkutsk region 666505 Russian Federation

Producer contact for SBP: Nadezhda Ovchinnikova, certification specialist. Tel: +7 9500-620-320, +7 (3952) 780-920; Email: onn@lesresurs.com

Certified Supply Base: Sourcing from Russia, Irkutsk region

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-01-37

Date of certificate issue: 03/Oct/2016

Date of certificate expiry: 02/Oct/2021

Indicate where the current audit fits within the certification cycle				
Main (Initial) Audit	First Surveillance Audit	Second Surveillance Audit	Third Surveillance Audit	Fourth Surveillance Audit
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

The certificate scope covers the production site and office in Magistralniy settlement, Irkutsk region.

The Organisation holds valid FSC Chain of Custody and FSC Controlled wood certificate, covering pellet production.

The input material used by the Organisation for biomass production contains only secondary feedstock (wood chips and sawdust for pellet production and bark for dryer).

90-95% of input materials delivered to the pellet production plant is FSC certified, and about 5-10% of input material is included into the Organisation’s FSC Controlled wood verification system. Feedstock used in the biomass production originates from Russia, Irkutsk region. Post production end point is S.Petersburg harbour (terms of delivery - railway, FCA, Incoterms 2010).

Supply Base Evaluation is not included into the scope of the evaluation.

Scope of the evaluation is indicated in the table below:

Scope Item	Check all that apply to the Certificate Scope				Change in Scope (N/A for Assessments)
Approved Standards:	SBP Standard #2 V1.0 SBP Standard #4 V1.0 SBP Standard #5 V1.0 http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org/documents				<input type="checkbox"/>
Primary Activity:	Pellet producer				<input type="checkbox"/>
Input Material Categories:	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Primary Feedstock	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Secondary Feedstock			<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Controlled Feedstock	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP non-Compliant Feedstock			
	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Tertiary biomass	<input type="checkbox"/> Post-consumer Tertiary Feedstock			
	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-approved Recycled Claim	<input type="checkbox"/> Post-consumer Tertiary Feedstock			
Chain of custody system implemented:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FSC	<input type="checkbox"/> PEFC	<input type="checkbox"/> SFI	<input type="checkbox"/> GGL	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/> Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> Percentage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit		<input type="checkbox"/>

Points of sales	<input type="checkbox"/> Harbour (including own handling of material)	<input type="checkbox"/> Harbour (e.g. FOB incoterms) legal owner is not responsible for handling of material at the harbor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other point of sale (e.g. gate of the BP, boarder, railway station etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Provide name of all points of sales	-	-	
Use of SBP claim:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SBE Verification Program:	<input type="checkbox"/> Low risk sources only	<input type="checkbox"/> Sources with unspecified/ specified risk		<input type="checkbox"/>
	New districts approved for SBP-Compliant inputs: SBE not applicable			
Sub-scopes	-			<input type="checkbox"/>
Specify SBP Product Groups added or removed:				
Comments:				

3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of the production processes, production site visit;
- Review of FSC system control points, analysis of the existing FSC CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients;
- GHG data collection analysis.

4 SBP Standards utilised

4.1 SBP Standards utilised

Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock, SBP Standard 2, Version 1.0, March 2015

Chain of Custody, SBP Standard 4, Version 1.0, March 2015

Collection and Communication of Data, SBP Standard 5, Version 1.0, March 2015

Instruction document 5A Collection and Communication of Data version 1.0. March 2015 was utilised for the evaluation as well.

<http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org/documents>

4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment

not applicable

5 Description of Biomass Producer, Supply Base and Forest Management

5.1 Description of Biomass Producer

BP is a pellet producer located in Magistralniy, Irkutsk region. BP may produce about 30 000 tones of wood pellets annually, it was launched in November 2015. Incoming feedstock is sawdust and wood chips from its own sawmilling located at the same production site. Final product is transported in big bags by railway to S.Petersburg harbor. Round wood with FSC 100% claim is delivered from company's own FSC certified forest management units in Irkutsk region, its share is about 95% in total supplies. The rest 5% of supplies are non-certified and included into Organization's own program of field verification of controlled material sources under FSC certification. There are no non-controlled inputs of the feedstock.

The BP has implemented FSC credit system and produced biomass shall be sold with FSC Mix Credit claim (SBP-compliant biomass) or FSC Controlled Wood claim (SBP-controlled biomass).

5.2 Description of Biomass Producer's Supply Base

The total area of forest land in Russia is 764 million hectares, accounting for about 21% of the world reserves of standing timber. Area under main forest species remain fairly stable over the past decades. Coniferous makes up 68.4%, hard-wooded broadleaved species – 2.4%, soft-wooded broadleaved species – 19.3%. Other tree species make up less than 1% of forests.

In accordance with Russian law, all forest lands are state-owned. Legal persons receive forest land use rights through lease agreements and under short-term use. Leases are the dominant legal form of forest use. The lease term can range from 10 to 49 years.

Conclusion of lease contracts of forest plots or contracts of sale of forest plantations is carried out on the auctions to sell the right to conclude such contracts. Areas rented must be recorded in the state cadastre. Each forest user authorized to rent forest land, in accordance with the Forest Code of the Russian Federation shall:

- carry out activities on conservation, protection and reproduction of forests,
- annually submit a forest declaration
- develop and implement the forest management plan
- provide a report on the use of forests, their conservation, protection and reproduction.

Geographically supply base of RusForest Magistralny LLC is located in Irkutsk region of Siberian Federal District (SFD), Russian Federation.

The Region ranks third amongst Russian regions and first in Siberian Federal District by forest resources. It also occupies the second place by annual distribution of forests to leaseholders in SFD.

Forest resources of Irkutsk region include:

- forest lands – 68.5 million hectares, incl., wooded lands - 62.9 million hectares,
- total timber stand - 9 billion m³, incl., 7.7 billion m³ of coniferous.

The leased forest areas of "RusForest Magistralny" LLC have the following features:

FMU 1 - Forest lease area is characterized by high percentage of forest land (98.1%) and wooded land (92.2%). Coniferous stands account for 94% of wooded land with pine accounting for 63% of total wooded land and spruce, fir tree, larch and cedar – 8%, 1%, 15% and 8% correspondingly. Average age of coniferous plantations is 148 years, soft broadleaved – 61 year.

FMU 2 - The forest area is predominated by wooded lands (93.7%) covered mostly by forests of natural origin. In general, coniferous stands predominate (91%) with pine accounting for 67% of total coniferous area and cedar, larch, spruce and fir tree – 13%, 10%, 9% and 1% correspondingly. Mature and over-mature stands prevail. Average age of coniferous plantations is 122 years, soft broadleaved – 60 years.

Location of forest lease areas:

- № 91-289/11 from 04.08.2011 - Kazachinsko-Lensk district, Kazachinsko-Lensk forestry district, Magistralnoe zonal forestry district, Martynovskaya dacha, Karamskoe zonal forestry district, Novoselovskaya and Karamskaya dacha (125 565 ha);
- № 91-288/11 from 04.08.2011 - Kazachinsko-Lensk district, Kazachinsko-Lensk forestry district, Kazachinskoe zonal forestry district, Cherepanskaya and Kutimsaya dacha (355 104 ha).

These forest plots with a total area of 480 669 hectares certified to FSC standard and are included in the scope of FSC forest management certificate of "Lesprom" LLC NC-FM/COC-014074 (license code FSC-C109710) together with forest lease areas of "Lesprom" LLC:

- № 2/8 from 18.08.2008 - Kazachinsko-Lensk district, Kazachinsko-Lensk forestry district, Magistralnoe zonal forestry district, Martynovskaya dacha (25 456 ha);
- № 3/8 from 18.08.2008 - Kazachinsko-Lensk district, Kazachinsko-Lensk forestry district, Magistralnoe zonal forestry district, Magistralnaya and Nebelskaya dacha (8 673 ha);
- № 6 from 01.12.2008 - Kachugskiy district, Kachugskiy forestry district, Anginskoe zonal forestry district, Anginskaya dacha (231 554 ha).

The total area of the certified areas - 746 352 ha.

Forestry operations is conducted only by "Lesprom" LLC. The contract is concluded between organizations to provide services for the harvesting and hauling.

The entire volume of harvested certified timber from these areas is supplied to the RusForest Magistralny LLC. Company has valid certificate: - NC-COC-014069 / NC -CW-014069 (Licence code FSC-C110772).

There are no CITES and MSOP species in the lease forest areas.

Round wood used in production: - Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) - Siberian larch (*Larix sibirica*).

On the certified area is dominated by boreal forest, classified as operating, there are virgin forests, and they are excluded from harvesting, as well as live representatives of indigenous peoples and their interests are taken into account. The areas climate is sharply continental, and their territory equated with the far North.

On lease land is being used for cutting area up to 50 ha, and all reforestation work, high-quality reproduction of forest resources and protective measures.

Socio-economic environment of districts where lease forest areas are located.

Kazachinsko-Lensk district

Kazachinsko-Lensk district belongs to a group of Prilensk districts equated to the Far North districts. It borders Buryat Republik on the east, Kirensk district (Irkutsk region) on the north, Ust-Kut and Zhigalov districts (Irkutsk region) on the west and Kachug district of Irkutsk region on the south. This relatively small for periphery district occupies 4.3% of the region’s territory (embracing the area of 33.3 thousand km²). The district has relatively young population of 18 thousand: working-age population makes up 65.5% of the total population and under-age population makes up 26.6%.

Kachug district

Kachug district is located in southeastern part of Irkutsk region. The district’s territory covers upper course basin of the Lena River and the Kirenga River. Southeastern part of the district is occupied by mounting slopes and foothills of Onog and Primorsk Ridges ensuring mountainous terrain. Northwestern part of the district lies on high (up to 900 m) and wide leveled watershed between Lena and Kirenga rivers. In southwest the district spans through hilly terrain of Manzursk Upland. The district borders Olkhon and Bokhan districts (Irkutsk region) on the east and the southeast, Zhigalov district (Irkutsk region) on the northwest and Kazachinsko-Lensk district (Irkutsk region) on the north. The district occupies area of 32 thousand square kilometers. The district has population of 20.58 thousand of which working-age population accounts for 8.9 thousand. The district comprises of 13 municipal units, all having status of rural settlement.

Detailed information about the supply base region (general description of the forest resources and forest management practices within the Supply Base) is publically available at the BP’s homepage:

http://www.rusforest.com/downloads/Operations/RFMag_RB_Report_2015.pdf

http://www.rusforest.com/downloads/Operations/RFMag_RB_Report_2015_en.pdf

5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

Total Supply Base area (ha):	758 762 ha
Tenure by type (ha):	100% state owned, 100% private management
Forest by type (ha):	Boreal 758 762 ha
Forest by management type (ha):	100% Natural
Certified forest by scheme (ha):	746 352 ha (specifically, FSC)

5.4 Chain of Custody system

The BP is holding valid FSC Chain of Custody and FSC Controlled wood certificate <http://info.fsc.org/details.php?id=a02400000095FmJAAU&type=certificate&return=certificate.php> .

BP is implementing FSC credit system. FSC Credit system is used for materials received as FSC certified, FSC Controlled wood and feedstock verified according to the BP's own Controlled wood verification system. The Controlled wood system is covering the whole Russia (according to Russian National Risk Assessment) however in fact the controlled material is coming occasionally from a single neighbouring supplier. Relevant supplier list is maintained.

After the reception, incoming primary feedstock (saw logs) is registered in BP's database and processed at sawmilling facilities. Relevant credit accounts are maintained for all FSC product groups (sawn material, wood chips, sawdust, pellets). Conversion factors are established and regularly revised based on actual production data. Pellets are produced of the secondary feedstock (sawdust and wood chips).

In case of the FSC and/ or SBP sales the volume of sold pellets shall be withdrawn from the credit account.

6 Evaluation process

6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

Onsite assessment was conducted on November 25-26, 2015 (16h). Assessment activities included documents review at office, inspection of production facilities and staff interviews.

Activity	Location	Date/time
Opening meeting*	Office	25/11/2015 09.00-09.30
Documents and procedures review. Inputs review	Office	25/11/2015 09:30-12.15
Break		25/11/2015 12:15-13:00
GHG calculation review	Office	25/11/2015 13:00-17:00
Presentation of the results of the first day of assessment	Office	25/11/2015 17:00-17:30
Opening meeting	Office	26/11/2015 08:00-08:15
Chain of custody review (site tour); interview with the chief of pellet production	Production facilities	26/11/2015 8:15 – 10:00
Interview with chief accountant	Accounting department	26/11/2015 10:00-10:30
Documents and procedures review; staff interview.	Office	26/11/2015 10:30 – 16:00

Closing meeting*	Office	26/11/2015 16:00 – 17:00
End of the evaluation		26/11/2015 17:00

6.2 Description of evaluation activities

Composition of audit team:

Auditor(s), roles	Qualifications
Nikolai Tochilov	NEPCon SBP lead auditor. He passed SBP auditor training in Tallinn in January 2015; previous experience with SBP pre-assessment and SBP assessment in Russia.
Natalia Zaladinova	NEPCon FSC CoC lead auditor. Participated in assessment as observer for training purposes.

The assessment visit was focused on management system evaluation: division of the responsibilities, document and system, input material classification (reception and registration), analysis of the existing FSC system and FSC system control points as well as GHG data availability.

Description of the assessment evaluation:

All SBP related documentation connected to the SBP as well as FSC CoC system of the organisation, including SBP Procedure, GHG data calculations/ data sheet, Supply Base Report and FSC system description was provided by the company prior to the assessment. Audit started with an opening meeting attended by the SBP responsible person and the management of the organization.

Auditor introduced himself, provided information about audit plan, methodology, auditor qualification, confidentiality issues, and assessment methodology and clarified certification scope. During the opening meeting the auditor explained CB’s approval related issues.

After that auditor went through all applicable requirements of the SBP standards nr.2, 4, 5 and instruction document 5a covering input clarification, existing chain of custody system, management system, CoC, recordkeeping/mass balance requirements, emission and energy data and categorisation of input and verification of SBP compliant biomass. During the process overall responsible person for SBP system and other staff were interviewed.

After a roundtrip around BP’s pellet production was undertaken. During the site tour, reception process was observed, applicable records were reviewed, staff was interviewed and FSC system critical control points were analysed.

At the end of the audit finding were summarised and audit conclusion based on use of 3 angle evaluation method were provided to the management and SBP responsible person.

6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

The stakeholder consultation was carried out on October 23, 2015 by sending direct email to different stakeholder categories. The announcement was also published at NEPCon homepage

<http://www.nepcon.net/ru/%D0%BD%D0%BE%D0%B2%D0%BE%D1%81%D1%82%D0%B8/%D0%BF%D1%83%D0%B1%D0%BB%D0%B8%D1%87%D0%BD%D0%BE%D0%B5-%D1%83%D0%B2%D0%B5%D0%B4%D0%BE%D0%BC%D0%BB%D0%B5%D0%BD%D0%B8%D0%B5-23102015>

No comments from the stakeholders were received.

7 Results

7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Strength: Use of the FSC credit system. Effective recordkeeping system. Clearly designated responsibilities within the staff members. Robust justifications for energy use data.

Weaknesses: see non-conformity reports below in this report.

7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

Not applicable.

7.3 Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions

The BP has involved external consultant who helped with implementation of the system for collection of the emission and energy data. Some of the energy use data is based on actual information, whereas calculation of some data was conducted with implementation of theoretical approaches.

7.4 Competency of involved personnel

The SBP responsible person was supported by external consultant who was closely involved in preparation of internal procedures and helping to set up the management system. The SBP responsible staff has shown good understanding of the requirements in relation to SBP certification and of the already implemented FSC CoC system.

7.5 Stakeholder feedback

No stakeholder comments are received

7.6 Preconditions

None.

8 Review of Biomass Producer's Risk Assessments

Not applicable.

9 Review of Biomass Producer's mitigation measures

Not applicable.

10 Non-conformities and observations

NCR: 01/16	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 2, requirement 7.3, requirement 2C 4.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>BP used the previous version of Supply Base Report template when preparing to SBP assessment. During assessment report preparation, new version of Supply Base Report (version 1.1) have been developed by SBP.</p> <p>Организация использовала предыдущую форму отчета о ресурсной базе при подготовке к оценке SBP. Во время подготовки отчета об оценке SBP была разработана новая версия (1.1) отчета о ресурсной базе.</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	Until the next surveillance audit	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NCR Status:	OPEN	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 02/16	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 2, requirement 2C, 4.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>Following mistakes identified in Supply Base Report:</p> <p>The total area of FSC-certified supply base in Supply Base Report, section 2.5, is specified as 746352 ha, whereas in FSC database the total area for Lesprom LLC FSC FM/COC certificate http://info.fsc.org/details.php?id=a0240000008QELqAAO&type=certificate&return=certificate.php is 514798 ha.</p> <p>Close of last CB audit (Section 1, Overview) is specified as 15 October 2015, where as in fact the assessment was conducted on November 25-26, 2015. Date report finalized is specified as 12 October, 2015.</p> <p>В отчете о ресурсной базе выявлены следующие ошибки:</p> <p>Общая FSC сертифицированная площадь ресурсной базы, указанная в Отчете о ресурсной базе, составляет 746352 га. В то же время, в публичной базе данных сертификатов FSC для ООО «Леспром» http://info.fsc.org/details.php?id=a0240000008QELqAAO&type=certificate&return=certificate.php указана площадь 514798 га.</p>		

В разделе 1 (Обзор) указана дата закрытия последнего аудита, проведенного органом сертификации, 15 октября 2015 г. На самом деле оценка проводилась в период 25-26 ноября 2015 г. Дата финализации отчета Организацией указана 12 октября 2015 г.	
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.
Timeline for Conformance:	Until the next surveillance audit
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING
NCR Status:	OPEN
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 03/16	NC Classification: minor
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Instruction 5A, requirement 7.1
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
<p>BP determined one product group - Other Feedstock (Group 7, includes all feedstock not included in 1-6 above.). However according to SBP classification, the feedstock with FSC claims (100% or Mix Credit) falls to Group 6 – SBP-compliant secondary feedstock (excluding anything in Product Groups 4 and 5). And the feedstock with FSC Controlled Wood claim falls to Group 4 – Secondary Feedstock supplier under a claim under an SBP approved controlled feedstock claim (specifically FSC).</p> <p>Организация определила одну группу продукции - Other Feedstock (Группа 7). Однако, согласно классификации SBP, материал с заявлениями FSC (100% или Mix Credit) относится к Группе 6 – SBP-compliant secondary feedstock. Материал с заявлением FSC Controlled Wood относится к Группе 4 – Secondary Feedstock supplier under a claim under an SBP approved controlled feedstock claim (specifically FSC).</p>	
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.
Timeline for Conformance:	Until the next surveillance audit
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING
NCR Status:	OPEN
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 04/16	NC Classification: minor
------------	--------------------------

Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard #4 requirement 6.2.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>It is not specified in SBP procedure that energy and carbon data are collected using the latest version of SBP Standard 5</p> <p>В процедуре SBP не указано, что информация о затратах энергии должна собираться с использованием самой последней версии стандарта SBP № 5.</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	Until the next surveillance audit	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NCR Status:	OPEN	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

11 Certification decision

Based on Organisation's conformance with SBP requirements, the auditor makes the following recommendation:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Certification approved: Upon acceptance of NCR(s) issued above
<input type="checkbox"/>	Certification not approved:
Based on auditor's recommendation and NEPCon quality review following certification decision is taken:	
<p>NEPCon certification decision: The Biomass Producer has been certified by NEPCon as meeting the requirements of the specified SBP Standard, the certificate can be issued immediately after NEPCon will obtain the recognition as SBP certification body. The expiration of the certificate will be then 5 years.</p>	
Certification decision by: Asko Lust	
Date of decision: 29.06.2016	

12 Surveillance updates

Not applicable.

13 Evaluation details

Primary Responsible Person: (Responsible for control system at site(s))	Nadezhda Ovchinnikova, certification responsible
Auditor(s):	Nikolai Tochilov, Natalia Zaladinova
People Interviewed, Titles:	Nadezhda Ovchinnikova, certification responsible Alexander Aksenov, executive director Andrey Pechenkin, chief of energy department Yuri Tsvetkov, technologist Igor Zhakota, chief of pellet production site Vera Persidskaya, chief accountant Elena Stolbova, chief of sales department Viktoria Sinitsina, lawyer
Brief Overview of Audit Process for this Location:	See section 6.2
Comments:	No comments