

NEPCon Evaluation of MLT Ltd Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

Second Surveillance Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.0

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Partnership Limited 2015

Contents

1	Overview	1
2	Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate	2
3	Specific objective	4
4	SBP Standards utilised	5
4.1	SBP Standards utilised	5
4.2	SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment	5
5	Description of Biomass Producer, Supply Base and Forest Management	6
5.1	Description of Biomass Producer	6
5.2	Description of Biomass Producer's Supply Base	6
5.3	Detailed description of Supply Base	8
5.4	Chain of Custody system	8
6	Evaluation process	9
6.1	Timing of evaluation activities	9
6.2	Description of evaluation activities	9
6.3	Process for consultation with stakeholders	10
7	Results	11
7.1	Main strengths and weaknesses	11
7.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation	11
7.3	Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions	11
7.4	Competency of involved personnel	11
7.5	Stakeholder feedback	11
7.6	Preconditions	11
8	Review of Biomass Producer's Risk Assessments	12
9	Review of Biomass Producer's mitigation measures	13
10	Non-conformities and observations	14
11	Certification decision	19
12	Surveillance updates	20
12.1	Evaluation details	20
12.2	Significant changes	20
12.3	Follow-up on outstanding non-conformities	20
12.4	New non-conformities	20

12.5 Stakeholder feedback	20
12.6 Conditions for continuing certification	20
12.7 Certification recommendation	20
13 Evaluation details.....	21

1 Overview

CB Name and contact: NEPCon OÜ, Filosoofi 31, 50108 Tartu, Estonia

Primary contact for SBP: Ondrej Tarabus ot@nepcon.org, +420 606 730 382

Report completion date: 14/Aug/2018

Report authors: Roman Kurakin

Certificate Holder: MLT Ltd

Legal address: office 314, premises 120-H, 14A, Bolshaya Morskaya street, Saint-Petersburg 191186, Russia.

Production site address: 96-A, Staritskaya Str., Torzhok, Tver Region, 172011, Russia.

Producer contact for SBP: Mrs. Elena Firsova, phone: +7-910-930-75-37, E-mail: efirsova@mltlvl.ru

Certified Supply Base: Sourcing from Russia, Tver region

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-01-46

Date of certificate issue: 20/Oct/2016

Date of certificate expiry: 19/Oct/2021

Indicate where the current audit fits within the certification cycle				
Main (Initial) Audit	First Surveillance Audit	Second Surveillance Audit	Third Surveillance Audit	Fourth Surveillance Audit
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

The certificate scope covers the production site in Torzhok, Tver region, Russia.

Scope description: Production of wood pellets in Torzhok, Tver region, Russia, for use in energy production. Post production end point is railway station Posin of Oktyabrskaya branch of Russian Railway (border between Pskov region of Russia and Latvia). Incoterms delivery conditions – DAP railway station Posin. The scope of the certificate does not include Supply Base Evaluation.

Scope of the evaluation is indicated in the table below:

Scope Item	Check all that apply to the Certificate Scope			Change in Scope (N/A for Assessments)	
Approved Standards:	SBP Standard #2 V1.0 SBP Standard #4 V1.0 SBP Standard #5 V1.0 https://sbp-cert.org/documents			<input type="checkbox"/>	
Primary Activity:	Pellet producer			<input type="checkbox"/>	
Input Material Categories:	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Primary Feedstock	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Secondary Feedstock		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Controlled Feedstock	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP non-Compliant Feedstock			
	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Tertiary biomass	<input type="checkbox"/> Post-consumer Tertiary Feedstock			
	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-approved Recycled Claim	<input type="checkbox"/> Post-consumer Tertiary Feedstock			
Chain of custody system implemented:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FSC	<input type="checkbox"/> PEFC	<input type="checkbox"/> SFI	<input type="checkbox"/> GGL	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> Percentage	<input type="checkbox"/> Credit		<input type="checkbox"/>
Points of sales	<input type="checkbox"/> Harbour – Permanent storage (Storage site)	<input type="checkbox"/> Harbour – Temporarily storage (Logistic site)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other point of sale (e.g. gate of the BP, boarder, railway station etc.)		<input type="checkbox"/>

Provide name of all points of sales			Border, DAP railway station Posin	
Use of SBP claim:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes		<input type="checkbox"/> No	
SBE Verification Program:	<input type="checkbox"/> Low risk sources only		<input type="checkbox"/> Sources with unspecified/ specified risk	
	New districts approved for SBP-Compliant inputs:			
Sub-scopes				<input type="checkbox"/>
Specify SBP Product Groups added or removed:				
Comments:				

3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of the production processes, production site visit;
- Review of FSC system control points, analysis of the existing FSC CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients;
- GHG data collection analysis

4 SBP Standards utilised

4.1 SBP Standards utilised

Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock, SBP Standard 2, Version 1.0, March 2015

Chain of Custody, SBP Standard 4, Version 1.0, March 2015

Collection and Communication of Data, SBP Standard 5, Version 1.0, March 2015

Instruction document 5A Collection and Communication of Data version 1.1. October 16

Instruction Document 5B: Energy and GHG Data version 1.1. October 16

Instruction Document 5C: Static Biomass Profiling Data version 1.1. October 16

<https://sbp-cert.org/documents>

4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment

Not applicable.

5 Description of Biomass Producer, Supply Base and Forest Management

5.1 Description of Biomass Producer

BP is a timber harvesting and wood processing company located in Tver region, Russia. Company runs both pellet production and laminated veneer lumber (LVL) production, which supplies secondary feedstock with FSC 100% claim to the pellet plant. Total annual production capacity of pellet plant is 40000 tones.

The round wood used at LVL production line (logs for primary production) is originating from the Tver region only.

The BP has implemented FSC transfer system and all amount of produced biomass is sold with FSC 100% claim (SBP-compliant biomass).

The pellets are transported by railway to Posin railway station where the biomass is taken into possession by new owner.

5.2 Description of Biomass Producer's Supply Base

In the third reported period (01.01.2017-31.12.2017) MLT Ltd.'s Supply Base incorporated 16 forest management units located in Tver Region, Russia, with the total area of 939 952.73 ha. These forest concessions provided logs for laminated veneer lumber (LVL) production the residues of which are used to produce wood pellets. MLT Ltd. is a concession holder of 15 forest concessions with the area of 710 062.73 ha, the 14 (fourteen) of which are FSC-certified. The fifteenth one is being prepared for the certification with no harvesting or wood supply activities underway.

Over the reported period, FSC 100%-certified wood used to produce laminated veneer lumber was also provided by an FSC-certified supplier, Forest Glade Ltd. from an FSC certified forest concession held by Lesservice Ltd (the 16th forest concession from MLT LTD's Supply Base, with an area of 229 890 ha).

Thus, all logs the residues of which are used for pellet production are FSC certified (FSC 100% claim).

Tver Region ranks #20 among the most forested Russian regions. Forests cover 55% of its territory. The area of its forest estate is 4 874.5 thousand ha. The overall timber inventory makes up 738.8 million cubic meters.

The distribution of forest types across Tver Region is very uneven due to different environmental conditions and human economic activities. Most of the territory consists of mixed forests with only the northern part of the region containing southern taiga forests. The supply base is located in the north-western, northern, central and southern parts of Tver Region, and is attributed to the mixed forests zone.

The profile of areas adjacent to the Supply Base is mainly represented by forest lands, settlements, highways and railroads, including those of federal importance connecting Moscow and Saint – Petersburg, as well as Moscow and the Baltic states. There are several large lakes and water reservoirs as well as several hundreds of small and

medium size lakes within the adjacent areas. Among the large rivers are the Upper Volga and Western Dvina as well as the Istra River.

According to the economic, environmental and social significance the forests of Tver Region are subdivided into protected (40%) and usable (60%) ones.

Forest management practices are designed to achieve non-depletable sustainable forest utilization in compliance with the existing forest legislation requirements and forest certification principles, if applicable. The period of felling rotation is 60 -120 years. The period of felling rotation includes 1 or 2 thinnings, final cutting at the maturity stage and reforestation. Reforestation activities may include planting of young trees (about 60% across the region) and natural reforestation (about 40% across the region). Continuous forest rotation technique is also implemented and based on 15 -20 year logging cycle with selective harvesting and preservation of viable undergrowth.

Forest lease relationships have been actively developing in Tver Region for the past few years. The government leases forest parcels to log harvesting companies for a period of 49 years. Around 60% of forests are leased out. Currently, there are approximately 450 forest concession agreements operating in the Region. 99% of forest concessions are intended for harvesting. MLT Ltd. Is the largest forest concession holder in Tver Region.

In Tver Region, the volumes of annual timber harvesting make up around 4.5 million cubic meters with the volume of fellings being half of the allowable annual cut, which ensures sustainable use of forest resources. Reforestation and tending of forest concessions intended for harvesting is ensured by appropriate concession holders. The main forest forming species are spruce, pine, birch, aspen, alder, oak.

There are no old-growth forests or indigenous minorities present within the boundaries of the certified territory. MLT Ltd. does not harvest CITES or IUNC species. 30% of the certified territory of MLT Ltd.'s and Lesservice Ltd.'s supply bases had been attributed to HC VF and excluded from forest use (Special Protected Natural Areas, Special Protection Area, representative areas, social HC VF).

Forestry sector of Tver Region is very well diversified and represented by all branches of woodworking industry ranging from harvesting to lumber production. There are 805 heat energy plants in the Region, 153 out of which use wood fuel. The forest sector makes up significant portion of the region's economy. When compared to other sectors of economy, the forest sector is profitable and does not require any government subsidies.

The socio-economic function of Tver region is regulated by law and, in particular, includes the allocation of 2% of annual allowable cut of coniferous species and 4% of broadleaved species to the region's population to be used for their own construction and heating needs. Preference for employment is granted to local residents.

Under the existing cooperation agreements, MLT Ltd. and Lesservice Ltd. provide charitable support to local infrastructure (administrative bodies of local districts and settlements).

SBP product group	% in overall supply	Number of suppliers	Species used in pellet production
Controlled feedstock	0%	0	-
SBP-compliant primary feedstock	0%	0	-

SBP-compliant secondary feedstock	100 % FSC 100%*	Wood waste from own production	25% Pine, 63% Spruce, 12% Birch
SBP-compliant tertiary feedstock	0%	0	-
SBP non compliant feedstock	0%	0	-

Detailed information about the supply base (general description of the forest resources and forest management practices within the Supply Base) is publicly available at the BP’s homepage:

<http://ultralam.com/products/fuel-pellets/>

<http://ultralam.com/ru/%D0%BF%D1%80%D0%BE%D0%B4%D1%83%D0%BA%D1%86%D0%B8%D1%8F-%D1%83%D0%BB%D1%8C%D1%82%D1%80%D0%B0%D0%BB%D0%B0%D0%BC/%D0%B4%D1%80%D0%B5%D0%B2%D0%B5%D1%81%D0%BD%D0%BE-%D1%82%D0%BE%D0%BF%D0%BB%D0%B8%D0%B2%D0%BD%D1%8B%D0%B5-%D0%B3%D1%80%D0%B0%D0%BD%D1%83%D0%BB%D1%8B/#686>

5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

- Total Supply Base area (ha): 939 952.73 ha
- Tenure by type (ha): 939 952.73 ha, state ownership
- Forest by type (ha): 939 952.73 ha, boreal
- Forest by management type (ha): 939 952.73 ha, managed natural
- Certified forest by scheme (ha): 857 988.73 ha, FSC certified (one FMU with the total area of 81 964 ha is under preparation for FSC FM/COC certification)

5.4 Chain of Custody system

BP holds valid FSC CoC certificate <http://info.fsc.org/details.php?id=a0240000005sVW9AAM&type=certificate&return=certificate.php>, using FSC transfer system of claims. Incoming secondary feedstock has FSC 100% claim and is supplied from Organisation’s LVL production (primary manufacturing) located at the same production site. BP implements FSC transfer system of FSC claims. BP’s FSC certificate scope also includes inputs of the feedstock with the FSC Controlled Wood claim, but BP does not produce wood pellets with FSC Controlled Wood claim and does not include it into SBP certificate scope. There were no supplies of the feedstock (primary and/or secondary) with FSC Controlled Wood claim in the reporting period.

6 Evaluation process

6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

Onsite annual audit was conducted on 22.06.2018 (8 h). Evaluation activities included documents review at office, inspection of production facilities and staff interviews.

Activity	Location	Date/time
Opening meeting*	Office	22/06/2018 09.00-09.15
Documents and procedures review, staff interview.	Office	22/06/2018 09.15-12.00
Break		22/06/2018 12.00-12.30
Chain of custody review (site tour); interview with the chief of pellet production	Production facilities	22/06/2018 12.30-17.00
Documents and procedures review; staff interview.	Office	
Closing meeting*	Office	22/06/2018 17.00-17.30
End of the evaluation	Office	22/06/2018 17.30

6.2 Description of evaluation activities

Composition of audit team:

Auditor(s), roles	Qualifications
Roman Kurakin	He passed SBP lead auditor training course in December 2016 in Amsterdam and participated in several SBP assessments and annual audits in Russia. Role at the audit: lead auditor
Nikolai Tochilov	He passed SBP auditor training in Tallinn in January 2015; previous experience with more than 30 SBP assessments and annual audits in Russia and Europe. Role at the audit: witness auditor

The evaluation visit was focused on management system evaluation: division of the responsibilities, document and system, input material classification (reception and registration), analysis of the existing FSC system and FSC system control points as well as GHG data availability.

Description of the audit evaluation:

All SBP related documentation connected to the SBP as well as FSC CoC system of the organisation, including SBP Procedure, SAR and GHG data calculations, Supply Base Report and FSC system description was provided by the company prior to the audit. Audit started with an opening meeting attended by the SBP responsible person.

Auditor introduced the audit team, provided information about audit plan, methodology, auditor qualification, confidentiality issues, and assessment methodology and clarified certification scope. During the opening meeting the auditor explained CB's approval related issues.

After that auditor went through all applicable requirements of the SBP standards nr. 2, 4, 5 and instruction documents 5a-5c covering input clarification, existing chain of custody system, management system, CoC, recordkeeping/mass balance requirements, emission and energy data and categorisation of input and verification of SBP compliant biomass. During the process overall responsible person for SBP system and other staff were interviewed.

After a roundtrip around BP's pellet production was undertaken. During the site tour, applicable records were reviewed, staff was interviewed and FSC system critical control points were analysed.

At the end of the audit findings were summarised and audit conclusions based on use of 3 angle evaluation method were provided to the management and SBP responsible person.

Impartiality commitment: NEPCon commits to using impartial auditors and our clients are encouraged to inform NEPCon management if violations of this are noted. Please see our Impartiality Policy here: <http://www.nepcon.org/impartiality-policy>

6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

No stakeholder consultations conducted prior, during or after this annual audit.

7 Results

7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Strength: Use of the FSC transfer system, and all secondary feedstock is FSC certified (FSC 100%). Effective recordkeeping system. Clearly designated responsibilities within the staff members.

Weaknesses: See minor NCRs raised during this audit (section 10).

7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

Not applicable.

7.3 Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions

The BP has robust system for collection of the emission and energy data. Energy use data are based mostly on actual production results. Please also see minor NCR 02/18 regarding use of diesel front-end loader for pellet production.

7.4 Competency of involved personnel

The SBP responsible staff has shown good understanding of the requirements in relation to SBP certification and FSC CoC system. The following positions of the staff are mainly involved into the SBP system management: certification engineer (certification responsible), chief technologist, chief of pellet production, chief accountant, H&S specialist, power engineer.

7.5 Stakeholder feedback

No stakeholder comments received.

7.6 Preconditions

None.

8 Review of Biomass Producer's Risk Assessments

Not applicable.

9 Review of Biomass Producer's mitigation measures

Not applicable.

10 Non-conformities and observations

Evaluation of NCRs raised in 2017.

NCR: 01/17	NC Classification: minor / незначительное
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard #2, requirement 2C 4.1 The report shall be concise, covering the most important features, and shall be completed using the latest versions of the SBR Template for Biomass Producers downloaded from the SBP website.
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
<p>The Supply Base Report is generally concise and contains all information required by SBP. Few minor mistakes identified in the report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 1, Close of last CB audit – the place is not specified. • Section 11 – it is mentioned that peer reviewer’s report is enclosed to SBR, however in fact it is not enclosed. <p>Отчет о ресурсной базе в целом полон и содержит всю информацию, требуемую SBP. Несколько недочетов отмечено в отчете:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Раздел 1, Закрытие последнего аудита, проведенного ОС – не указано место закрытия аудита. • Раздел 11 – указано, что рецензия эксперта прилагается к отчету о ресурсной базе, но на самом деле она не приложена. 	
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next annual surveillance audit, but not later than 12 months from report finalisation date / До следующего ежегодного аудита, но не позднее 12 месяцев с даты финализации отчета
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	Supply Base Report (ENG and RUS versions) Отчет о ресурсной базе на русском и английском языках
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	BP submitted updated Supply Base Report covering the new reporting period (01.01.2017-31.12.2017). The report contains all necessary information, required by certification standard. Организация предоставила обновленный отчет о ресурсной базе, охватывающий новый отчетный период (01.01.2017-31.12.2017). Отчет содержит всю требуемую стандартом информацию.
NCR Status:	CLOSED / ЗАКРЫТО

NCR: 03/17	NC Classification: minor / незначительное
Standard & Requirement:	<p>ID 5B, 6.1.7</p> <p>Fuel consumption of the vehicle (mass or volume per metric tonne and per km) used for transport should be recorded where this will have a significant effect on the GHG balance. In this situation, the following approaches can be applied. The data and methodology used shall be justified to the CB, and the methodology and justification shall be recorded in the SAR or SREG, as appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reference fuel consumption can be collected from the transport company including backhaul: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • for sea vessels it is usually expressed in fuel consumption per day at sea and number of days at sea between both harbours;; and • for trucks, fuel consumption is usually specified in litre of diesel per 100 km. - Actual fuel records (tank level and uplifts) for each vehicle or vessel along the relevant travel route can be reported.
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
<p>BP submitted the comprehensive calculation of diesel consumption during biomass transportation from production site (Torzhok railway station) to delivery point (Posin railway station) and included the final result of diesel consumption to the relevant section of SAR. The total transportation distance is 523 km which is a large figure and have significant effect on the total energy consumption result. For calculations, BP assumed that specific model of locomotive (2ТЭ116) is used for transportation. However, BP could not submit any evidence that this model of locomotive is always used by Russian Railways for the whole distance and at all time for biomass transportation.</p> <p>Организация представила детальный расчет расхода дизельного топлива тепловозом при доставке пеллет железной дорогой по маршруту от пеллетного производства (Торжок) до станции Посинь, и включила полученный результат в соответствующий раздел документа SAR. Дистанция перевозки составляет 523 км, что является большой величиной и оказывает существенный эффект на общий результат расхода энергии. Для своего расчета Организация предположила, что транспортировку вагонов будет осуществлять тепловоз определенной модели (2ТЭ116). Однако Организация не смогла предоставить никаких свидетельств того, что локомотив именно этой модели используется Российскими Железными Дорогами на всей дистанции и каждый раз при транспортировке пеллет.</p>	
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>
Timeline for Conformance:	<p>By the next annual surveillance audit, but not later than 12 months from report finalisation date / До следующего ежегодного аудита, но не позднее 12 месяцев с даты финализации отчета</p>
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	<p>SAR and reference to SBP ID 5B, section 6.1.8</p>

Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	BP uses reference consumption value for diesel train from SBP ID 5B (0,25 MJ.t/km).
NCR Status:	CLOSED / ЗАКРЫТО

NCRs raised during annual audit 2018:

NCR: 01/18	NC Classification: MAJOR / ЗНАЧИТЕЛЬНОЕ
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard #4, requirement 5.1.2 The legal owner shall implement all aspects of the SBP approved CoC system requirements for the SBP feedstock or biomass. Where there is a conflict between the requirements in the SBP-approved CoC system requirements and those specified in the SBP standards, the SBP standards shall have precedence.
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
<p>During FSC CoC audit, conducted at the same time with SBP annual audit, major non-conformity has been identified regarding pellet production. BP uses FSC-certified wood chips for pellet production, and non-certified wood chips for boiler (the boiler is not related to pellet production). Wood chips is supplied in both cases by the same conveyor belt, and re-directed to pellet production site or boiler, when required. BP however could not provide any documented evidence how and when it happened in the reporting period, although interviewed staff was familiar with this requirement and explained orally how they do it.</p> <p>В ходе ежегодного аудита цепи поставки FSC, проходившего в то же время, что и ежегодный аудит SBP, было выявлено значительное несоответствие в отношении пеллетного производства. Организация использует FSC-сертифицированную щепу в производстве пеллет и не сертифицированную щепу в котельной (котельная не связана с производством пеллет). Щепу в обоих случаях поставляется по одной конвейерной ленте, и перенаправляется на пеллетное производство или в котельную, когда это требуется. Организация не смогла предоставить документальное подтверждение того, как и когда это происходило в отчетном периоде. Тем не менее, опрошенные сотрудники были прекрасно знакомы с данным требованием и устно объясняли, как они это делали.</p>	
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.
Timeline for Conformance:	3 months / 3 месяца
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	<p>Instruction on inputs control for pellet production Training records from 22.06.2018 Interviews with responsible staff (chief technologist, pellet production chief and dispatcher)</p> <p>«Инструкция по контролю категории входящего сырья в производстве ДТГ» Лист ознакомления с «Инструкцией по контролю категории входящего сырья в производстве ДТГ», от 22.06.2018. Опрос ответственных сотрудников (главного технолога, начальника производства ДТГ, зам. начальника ПДО).</p>

Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	<p>During the audit, BP has developed a separate Instruction on inputs control for pellet production, where it is described the process of recording of FSC-certified feedstock supply to pellet production. BP has also provided relevant training to responsible personnel. Staff interviews showed that they are familiar with the new Instruction and started its implementation. Certified feedstock supply is recorded in special logs. Auditor came to conclusion that non-conformity is addressed by BP and closed major NCR.</p> <p>Во время аудита Организация разработала «Инструкцию по контролю категории входящего сырья в производстве ДТГ», в которой описала процесс фиксации подачи FSC сертифицированного сырья в производство ДТГ. Организация ознакомила ответственных сотрудников с инструкцией. Опрос ответственных сотрудников показал, что они знакомы с новой инструкцией и начали ее выполнение. Подача сертифицированного сырья документально фиксируется в соответствующих журналах. Аудитор принял решение о закрытии значительного несоответствия.</p>
NCR Status:	CLOSED / ЗАКРЫТО

NCR: 02/18	NC Classification: minor / незначительное
Standard & Requirement:	<p>SBP ID #5B, requirement 5.1.3 The BP shall justify the data and methodology used for reporting energy use to the CB, and this shall be recorded in the SAR</p>
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
<p>During inspection of pellet production it was found that BP uses from time to time diesel front-end loader Volvo for supplying the FSC-certified wood chips to pellet production, when this wood chips for some reason has not been supplied directly to pellet production, but stored in a separate place for subsequent returning to pellet production. This information was not included into SAR, section 'Other fossil fuels'.</p> <p>Во время инспекции пеллетного производства выяснилось, что Организация использует время от времени дизельный фронтальный погрузчик Volvo для подачи в пеллетное производство FSC-сертифицированной щепы, не поданной по каким-то причинам сразу в пеллетное производство, а сброшенной в специальный карман для последующего возврата. Использование дизельного топлива погрузчиком не было отражено в SAR в разделе Other fossil fuels.</p> <p>Во время аудита Организация сделала примерные расчеты расхода дизельного топлива погрузчиком и включила результат в SAR, исходя из общего рабочего времени 216 моточасов за отчетный период при установленной норме расхода топлива 10,7 литра в час. Этот результат, тем не менее, не основывается на актуальном рабочем времени, а потому не может быть ясно обоснован. Незначительное несоответствие остается открытым.</p>	

Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next annual surveillance audit, but not later than 12 months from report finalisation date / До следующего ежегодного аудита, но не позднее 12 месяцев с даты финализации отчета
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING
NCR Status:	OPEN / ОТКРЫТО

Please list of the non-conformities which are likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?

Note: use NCR numbers:

MAJOR NCR 01/18 – closed during the audit onsite.

11 Certification decision

Based on Organisation's conformance with SBP requirements, the auditor makes the following recommendation:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Certification approved: Upon acceptance of NCRs raised above
<input type="checkbox"/>	Certification not approved:
Based on auditor's recommendation and NEPCon quality review following certification decision is taken:	
NEPCon certification decision: Certification maintained	
Certification decision by: Ondrej Tarabus	
Date of decision: 14.09.2018	
Next surveillance audit should take place:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> within 12 months <input type="checkbox"/> more frequently (please specify)

12 Surveillance updates

12.1 Evaluation details

Please see sections 6.1 and 6.2 above in this report.

12.2 Significant changes

There were no significant changes BP's management system. Supply base stays the same – Tver region, total area of supply base is 857 988.73 ha. One FMU with the total area of 81 964 ha is under preparation for FSC FM/COC certification.

12.3 Follow-up on outstanding non-conformities

During this audit, Biomass Producer submitted evidences in order to close minor NCRs 01/17 and 03/17 raised after the previous annual audit, as well as to close minor NCR 01/18 raised during this audit. One minor NCR 02/18 raised at this audit remains open.

12.4 New non-conformities

Please see section 10 above.

12.5 Stakeholder feedback

No comments or concerns have been raised by stakeholders and received by NEPCon about the Biomass Producer since the previous evaluation.

12.6 Conditions for continuing certification

Biomass Producer is required to correct all identified minor non-conformities within established deadlines.

12.7 Certification recommendation

It is recommended to maintain the SBP certification for the Biomass Producer.

13 Evaluation details

Primary Responsible Person: (Responsible for control system at site(s))	Elena Firsova, certification engineer
Auditor(s):	Roman Kurakin
People Interviewed, Titles:	<p>Elena Firsova, certification engineer</p> <p>Tatiana Tokareva, chief technologist</p> <p>Alexey Filippov, chief of pellet production</p> <p>Olga Golubeva, engineer of energy department</p> <p>Andrey Ezhov, chief of department of railway transportation</p> <p>Svetlana Mokhnacheva, technician of department of railway transportation</p> <p>Oleg Tulyakov, dryer operator</p> <p>Vyacheslav Nilov, electrician</p> <p>Elena Korovashkina, laboratory expert</p> <p>Christina Vagina, quality control department chief</p> <p>Vadim Rummyantsev, dispatcher</p> <p>Elena Golygina, chief of H&S department</p> <p>Tatiana Savelyeva, external consultant (Biomass Consult)</p>
Brief Overview of Audit Process for this Location:	See section 6.2
Comments:	No comments