

SBP

Sustainable Biomass Program

NEPCon Evaluation of OMFAL LLC Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

Second Surveillance Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.0

*For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see
www.sbp-cert.org*

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Partnership Limited 2015

Contents

1	Overview	1
2	Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate	2
3	Specific objective	4
4	SBP Standards utilised	5
4.1	SBP Standards utilised	5
4.2	SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment	5
5	Description of Biomass Producer, Supply Base and Forest Management	6
5.1	Description of Biomass Producer	6
5.2	Description of Biomass Producer’s Supply Base	6
5.3	Chain of Custody system	10
6	Evaluation process	11
6.1	Timing of evaluation activities	11
6.2	Description of evaluation activities	11
6.3	Process for consultation with stakeholders	12
7	Results	13
7.1	Main strengths and weaknesses	13
7.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation	13
7.3	Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions	13
7.4	Competency of involved personnel	13
7.5	Stakeholder feedback	13
7.6	Preconditions	13
8	Review of Biomass Producer’s Risk Assessments	14
9	Review of Biomass Producer’s mitigation measures	15
10	Non-conformities and observations	16
11	Certification decision	21
12	Surveillance updates	22
12.1	Evaluation details	22
12.2	Significant changes	22
12.3	Follow-up on outstanding non-conformities	22
12.4	New non-conformities	22

12.5 Stakeholder feedback22

12.6 Conditions for continuing certification22

12.7 Certification recommendation22

13 Evaluation details.....23

1 Overview

CB Name and contact: NEPCon OÜ, Filosoofi 31, 50108 Tartu, Estonia

Primary contact for SBP: Ondrej Tarabus ot@nepcon.org, +420 606 730 382

Report completion date: 16/Mar/2018

Report authors: Nikolai Tochilov, Victoria Zablotskaya

Certificate Holder: Omfal Ltd (previous LDK Igirma JSC, previous Siberian Wood Pellets – Novaya Igirma site)

Legal address: 33, 2-ya Lesnaya street, Ust-Kut 666780, Russian Federation

Production site location: 3, Zheleznodorozhnyy proezd street, Novaya Igirma settlement, Irkutsk region 665684, Russian Federation

Producer contact for SBP: Airat Valiakhmetov certification responsible. Tel.: +79086650512; Email: aratigirma@mail.ru

Certified Supply Base: Sourcing from Russia, Irkutsk region

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-01-26

Date of certificate issue: 13/Aug/2016

Date of certificate expiry: 12/Aug/2021

Indicate where the current audit fits within the certification cycle				
Main (Initial) Audit	First Surveillance Audit	Second Surveillance Audit	Third Surveillance Audit	Fourth Surveillance Audit
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

Since January 1, 2018, the certificate holder has changed the name from LDK Igirma JSC to Omfal Ltd.

The certificate scope covers the production site and office in Novaya Igirma, Irkutsk region.

The BP holds valid FSC Chain of Custody and FSC Controlled wood certificate, covering both sawmill and pellet production.

52% of primary feedstock (logs) delivered to sawmill is FSC certified, and about 48% of primary feedstock is included into the Organisation’s FSC controlled material sources verification system. All feedstock originates from Russia, Irkutsk region. The input material used by the Organisation for biomass production contains only secondary feedstock (sawdust and wood offcuts for pellet production and mixture of bark and woodchips for dryer).

Scope description: Production of wood pellets in Novaya Igirma, Irkutsk region, Russia, for use in energy production. Post production end point is Saint-Petersburg harbour (terms of delivery - railway, FCA, Incoterms 2010). The scope of the certificate does not include Supply Base Evaluation.

Scope of the evaluation is indicated in the table below:

Scope Item	Check all that apply to the Certificate Scope				Change in Scope (N/A for Assessments)
Approved Standards:	SBP Standard #2 V1.0 SBP Standard #4 V1.0 SBP Standard #5 V1.0 https://sbp-cert.org/documents				<input type="checkbox"/>
Primary Activity:	Pellet producer				<input type="checkbox"/>
Input Material Categories:	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Primary Feedstock	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Secondary Feedstock			<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Controlled Feedstock	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP non-Compliant Feedstock			
	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Tertiary biomass	<input type="checkbox"/> Post-consumer Tertiary Feedstock			
	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-approved Recycled Claim	<input type="checkbox"/> Post-consumer Tertiary Feedstock			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FSC	<input type="checkbox"/> PEFC	<input type="checkbox"/> SFI	<input type="checkbox"/> GGL	<input type="checkbox"/>

Chain of custody system implemented:	<input type="checkbox"/> Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> Percentage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit	<input type="checkbox"/>
Points of sales	<input type="checkbox"/> Harbour – Permanent storage (Storage site)	<input type="checkbox"/> Harbour – Temporarily storage (Logistic site)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other point of sale (e.g. gate of the BP, boarder, railway station etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Provide name of all points of sales			Saint-Petersburg (harbour, FCA train)	
Use of SBP claim:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/>
SBE Verification Program:	<input type="checkbox"/> Low risk sources only	<input type="checkbox"/> Sources with unspecified/ specified risk		<input type="checkbox"/>
	New districts approved for SBP-Compliant inputs:			
Sub-scopes				<input type="checkbox"/>
Specify SBP Product Groups added or removed:				
Comments:				

3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of the production processes, production site visit;
- Review of FSC system control points, analysis of the existing FSC CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients;
- GHG data collection analysis.

4 SBP Standards utilised

4.1 SBP Standards utilised

Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock, SBP Standard 2, Version 1.0, March 2015

Chain of Custody, SBP Standard 4, Version 1.0, March 2015

Collection and Communication of Data, SBP Standard 5, Version 1.0, March 2015, accompanied by Instructions 5A, 5B, 5C, Version 1.1 October 2016

Standards and instructions are available at SBP homepage: <https://sbp-cert.org/documents>

4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment

Not applicable.

5 Description of Biomass Producer, Supply Base and Forest Management

5.1 Description of Biomass Producer

Ogranisation is a sawmill and pellet producer located in Novaya Igirma, Irkutsk region. Annual production capacity is 100 000 tons of wood pellets. Incoming material is secondary feedstock in form of sawdust and wood chips (feedstock for biomass) and woodchips and bark (feedstock for dryer heating). All secondary feedstock is supplied by Ogranisation's sawmill located at the same production site. Final product is transported in big bags by railway to Saint-Petersburg harbor.

The BP has implemented FSC credit system and produced biomass is sold with FSC Mix Credit claim (SBP-compliant biomass) or FSC Controlled Wood claim (SBP-controlled biomass). During the reporting period all feedstock for pellet production was supplied with FSC Mix Credit claim. There are no non-controlled inputs of the feedstock.

5.2 Description of Biomass Producer's Supply Base

The feedstock supply base is located within the territory of 6 forestry sections, 6 administrative divisions of Irkutsk region in Siberian federal district of the Russian Federation.

The total area of the wooded lands in the RF territory is 764 million hectares, which constitutes approximately 21% of the world stumpage stocks. The areas occupied with the main forest forming species have remained quite stable over the last decades. The softwood species are 68,4%, hardwood species - 2,4%, soft-wooded broadleaved species - 19,3%. Other wood species are less than 1 % of the forests.

Irkutsk region is the largest region of Russia without access to the sea. The Irkutsk region is also a major subject of the Russian Federation, occupying an area of 774,846 km² (4.52% of the territory of Russia), slightly less than Turkey (780,580 km²), and also larger than the largest state of Europe - Ukraine.

The Irkutsk region is located in Eastern Siberia. The extreme southern point of the region is located at 51 ° north latitude, the northern extremity almost reaches the 65th parallel. From north to south the region stretches for almost 1450 km, from west to east for 1318 km.

The south-eastern border of the region runs along Lake Baikal.

The region occupies the southeastern part of the Central Siberian plateau, the plateaus and ridges of which have heights from 500 to 1000 m.

The territory of the Irkutsk region is included in the Mongol-Baikal belt of active manifestation of earthquakes.

The climate of the Irkutsk region is sharply continental, with long cold winters and short, but hot and droughty summer periods. But even in the summer months, during the breakthrough of the cold Arctic fronts, night frosts are possible up to -1 -3 degrees. Frosts do not happen only in July.

In the winter, strong cooling can occur from October to March. The winter period in Eastern Siberia is marked by pronounced oxygen starvation, in some areas the oxygen content in the atmosphere is 15-20% below normal.

In accordance with the RF legislation, all of the forest area lands are the property of the state. Legal entities are provided with the forested land parcels on a leasehold and short-term exploitation basis. The lease relationship is the dominant legal form of the forests exploitation. The lease period can last from 10 to 49 years.

The forested land parcels leasing contracts or the forest stand purchase-and-sale contracts are concluded at public sales of the right to conclude such contracts. The parcels being leased are subject to the mandatory state cadastral registration. In accordance with Forestry Code of the RF, every forest user who has leased a forested land parcel shall be obliged to:

- Support the forest conservation, protection and reproduction practices,
- Present the forestry declaration annually,
- Make the forest resources development project,
- Present a report on the forests exploitation, its conservation, protection and reproduction.

Countrywide, the exploitation of the allowable cut area does not exceed 35%. According to the Russian Federal Forestry Agency data, the total reserve for the cut volumes increase to support the wood harvesting countrywide is about 400 million m³ per year. However, a percentage of the forests difficult to access is high in the country and the infrastructure is underdeveloped almost everywhere.

Ensuring the proper reproduction of the forest resources and the protective forestation are the mandatory conditions of the forests exploitation. All reforestation works in the forested land parcels leased out are planned and performed by the forest users at their own expense in accordance with the forest resources development projects.

When harvesting the wood, Red Book animal units as well as their habitat are subject to preservation according to the forestry legislation of the RF. Cutting the valuable, endangered and specially protected wood species is prohibited.

The RF timber complex, including the forestry and the timber harvesting and processing branches, plays a critical part in the economy of the country. There are about 60 thousand large, medium and small enterprises involved in the timber complex of the RF. About 1 million people are employed in the timber complex.

The forest surveying is the fundamental factor of the forestry keeping in the Russian Federation.

The forest surveying is a specialized type of the forestry activity which provides the performance of the forest condition assessment as well as the planning of steps aimed at the reasonable exploitation, reproduction, conservation and protection of the forests and its higher productivity and stability.

The forest surveying data are the basis for developing the primary documentation on the forestry keeping. The information received from the forest surveying allows for getting the correct and actual data on the forest stock quality, its taxation characteristics, species composition. In total, these data let planning of the forestry activity in the forestry branch.

The forestry certification is an effective tool to oppose illegal wood harvests and illegal wood trade. Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certification system is widely used in the RF. The area of the certified forests in the RF

is about 44,46 million hectares or 30% from the total amount of the forests being leased. This is the second largest country in the world for the FSC certified forests area after Canada. The number of the forestry management certificates is 163 and the number of CoC chain of custody certificates is 508 (in November of 2017). Also, the number of certificates for the controlled wood is growing continuously. The dynamics of the forestry certification development in the RF demonstrates a reliable approach to providing the legality of the wood harvested and observing the common environmental and social requirements of the stable forestry management.

Supply base of Omfal Ltd

The supply base of Omfal Ltd – is the overall forest stock area of the forestry sections listed below. The total area of the supply base, including the one planned for exploitation – **13 949 858 ha**.

Irkutsk region

Nizhneilimskoe – 2 336 108 ha

Ust-Kutskoe – 3 248 455 ha

Kirenskoe – 4 258 352 ha

Bratskoe – 1 190 977 ha

Padunskoe – 1 287 009 ha

Severnoe – 1 628 957 ha

The supply base of Omfal Ltd is located in the Middle Siberian upland taiga forest district – forests of Angara Region.

Irkutsk region territory embraces the south of the Middle Siberian upland and the upstream basins of Angara, Lena and Nizhnaya Tunguska rivers. In the southwest, its borders are wedged by the Eastern Sayan mountain masses, Primorskiy and Baikalskiy mountain ranges in the east, Stanovoye and Patomskoye plateaus. The major part of the territory has a plain relief with a slight slope to the north and the northwest. That is confirmed by the rivers flowing in this direction. Heights of 500-600 m above sea level prevail on the plain ground with the absolute elevations decreasing to 300-400m to the northwest, although, there are separate elevations of up to 1000m and higher, namely, the Leno-Angarskoe plateau and Angarskiy mountain ridge; the land relief shapes include mountains, plains, hollows and valleys.

As a whole, the climate within the supply base territory is acutely continental. The maximum temperature in the summer can rise up to +30 °C; in winter, the thermometers can show the temperature below -50°C in some of the northern districts. There are old-growth forests and High Conservation Value Forests of different types outlined in the supply base territory. Low-numbered aboriginal peoples exist in the districts and forestry sections included in the supply base of Omfal Ltd. A part of the supply base, in the territory of Ust-Kutskoe forestry, is neighbouring to the territory of «Tokma» community which is supported socio-economically.

Omfal Ltd is not a leaseholder of the forested land parcels. All forest management issues are regulated in suppliers' certification agreements

Harvested wood species are not included in the lists of CITES or IUNC.

Omfal Ltd itself does not have any allowable cut area and thus no any forested land parcels leased. In its turn, the round timber is delivered to the sawmill from the forested parcels leased out to «Igirma-Forest group» LLC for the period of 49 years, the total area being 690 063,8 ha, with the annual allowable cut volume of 1390,2 thds.m³, «West-Siberian forest group» LLC for the period of 25 years, the area being 318 057,0 ha, with the annual allowable cut volume of 590,8 thds.m³, «Trans-Siberian forest group» LLC for the period of 49 years, the area being 1 096 613 ha, with the annual allowable cut volume of 2017,5 thds.m³, given that the entire area of the forested parcels is certified by FSC forestry management system and also the round timber is delivered by the third-party suppliers included in the own FSC inspection system for the controlled wood from non-certified suppliers.

In average, the 3rd -4th class of quality (bonitet) prevails in the forested parcels given into the lease. The most rational approach is applied to organizing the clear-cutting process when harvesting the wood in the forested parcels of Igirma-Forest group LLC, «West-Siberian forest group» LLC, «TRANS-SIBERIAN FOREST GROUP» LLC, i.e. small-scale cuts are applied in 90% of the cases (small – scale cut areas are areas exploited by companies and do not exceed 30 ha), followed by the reforestation activities conducted by all means available.

Based on the analysis results, Igirma-Forest group LLC, «West-Siberian forest group» LLC, «TRANS-SIBERIAN FOREST GROUP» LLC has drawn up a plan of shifting from the large-scale clear cuts with the area of more than 30 ha to the small-scale cuts for the period of 2014-2019, for the purpose of meeting the requirements of the Russian national FSC standard. Also, the companies are actively performing selective cuts, cleaning cuts in all of the forested parcels in accordance with the forest resources development projects.

Proportions of SBP feedstock product groups:

- SBP-compliant Secondary Feedstock – 100 %

The main forest forming wood species are common Pine (*Pinus sylvestris*), Siberian Larch (*Larix sibirica*), Siberian Cedar (*Pinus sibirica*), Siberian Spruce (*Picea obovata*), Siberian Fir (*Abies sibirica*).

In average, the wood species division in supplies is 62% of the common Pine (*Pinus sylvestris*), 32% of the Siberian Larch (*Larix sibirica*), not more than 6% of the Siberian Spruce (*Picea obovata*) and the Siberian Fir (*Abies sibirica*).

Detailed information about the supply base region (general description of the forest resources and forest management practices within the Supply Base) is publically available at the BP's homepage:

<http://tslk.ru/documentation/>

5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

Total Supply Base area (ha):	13 949 858 ha
Tenure by type (ha):	100% state owned, 100% private management
Forest by type (ha):	13 949 858 ha, boreal
Forest by management type (ha):	100% Natural
Certified forest by scheme (ha):	2 104 733 ha, FSC certified

5.4 Chain of Custody system

The BP is holding valid FSC Chain of Custody and FSC Controlled wood certificate <https://info.fsc.org/details.php?id=a02f300000e14rYAAQ&type=certificate>

BP is a pellet producer located in Irkutsk region. Annual production capacity is 100 000 tonnes of wood pellets. Incoming feedstock is sawdust and wood chips supplied exclusively from its own sawmilling located at the same production site. Final product is transported in big bags by railway to Saint-Petersburg harbour. Round wood with FSC 100% claim is delivered from FSC certified forest management units in Irkutsk region, its share is about 52% in total supplies. The rest 48 % of supplies are non-certified and included into BP's own program of field verification of controlled material sources under FSC certification. There are no non-controlled inputs of the feedstock.

The BP has implemented FSC credit system and produced biomass can be sold with FSC Mix Credit claim (SBP-compliant biomass) or FSC Controlled Wood claim (SBP-controlled biomass). In the reporting period, only FSC Mix Credit claim (SBP-compliant biomass) was used by the BP.

6 Evaluation process

6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

Onsite annual audit was conducted on January 23-24, 2018 (9,5 h). Assessment activities included documents review at office, inspection of production facilities and staff interviews.

Activity	Location	Date/time
Opening meeting*	Office	23/01/2018 14.00-14.15
Documents and procedures review, staff interview.	Office	23/01/2018 14.15-17.00
Documents and procedures review; staff interview.	Office	24/01/2018 09.30-12.30
Break	Office	24/01/2018 12.30-13.00
Chain of custody review (production site tour); interview with the chief of pellet production	Production facilities	24/01/2018 13.00-14:30
Documents and procedures review, staff interview.	Office	24/01/2018 14.30-15.00
Closing meeting*	Office	24/01/2018 15.00-15.30
End of the evaluation	Office	24/01/2018 15.30

6.2 Description of evaluation activities

Composition of audit team:

Auditor(s), roles	Qualifications
Nikolai Tochilov	NEPCon SBP lead auditor. He passed SBP auditor training in Tallinn in January 2015; previous experience with more than 15 SBP assessments in Russia. Role at the audit: lead auditor
Victoria Zablotskaya	NEPCon FSC CoC auditor. She passed SBP lead auditor training course in October 2017 in Riga and participated in SBP assessment in Russia in training purposes. Role at the audit: auditor in training.

The annual audit visit was focused on management system evaluation: division of the responsibilities, document and system, input material classification (reception and registration), analysis of the existing FSC system and FSC system control points as well as GHG data availability.

Description of the annual audit evaluation:

All SBP related documentation connected to the SBP as well as FSC CoC system of the organisation, including SBP Procedure, GHG data calculations/ data sheet, Supply Base Report and FSC system description was provided by the company in the beginning of the audit. Audit started with an opening meeting attended by the SBP responsible person and the management of the organization.

Auditors introduced the audit team, provided information about audit plan, methodology, auditors qualification, confidentiality issues, and assessment methodology and clarified certification scope. During the opening meeting the auditors explained CB's approval related issues.

After that auditors went through all applicable requirements of the SBP standards nr.2, 4, 5 and instruction documents 5A-5C covering input clarification, existing chain of custody system, management system, CoC, recordkeeping/mass balance requirements, emission and energy data and categorisation of input and verification of SBP compliant biomass. During the process overall responsible person for SBP system and other staff were interviewed.

6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

No stakeholder consultations conducted prior and during annual audit.

7 Results

7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Strength: Use of the FSC credit system. All secondary feedstock in the reporting period was FSC certified (FSC Mix Credit).

Weaknesses: See NCRs raised during this audit.

7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

Not applicable.

7.3 Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions

During the second annual audit the BP has already implemented all the requirements for collection of energy data according to the new Instructions accompanying SBP Standard #5.

7.4 Competency of involved personnel

The SBP responsible staff has shown good understanding of the requirements in relation to SBP certification and FSC CoC system.

7.5 Stakeholder feedback

No stakeholder comments are received.

7.6 Preconditions

None.

8 Review of Biomass Producer's Risk Assessments

Not applicable.

9 Review of Biomass Producer's mitigation measures

Not applicable.

10 Non-conformities and observations

NCRs issued during the First Surveillance Audit 2017 / Оценка устранения несоответствий, выявленных в ходе первого ежегодного аудита 2017

NCR: 01/17	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 2, requirement 2C, 5.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>Volumes of the feedstock in sections 2.5, 13.4 and 13.5 of Supply Base Report are specified in a banding, however compelling justification for that is not provided.</p> <p>Объемы сырья в разделах 2.5, 13.4, 13.5 указаны в диапазоне (напр. 0-200 000), но отсутствует четкое обоснование, почему не указаны точные объемы.</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	<p>By the next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalization date (02.03.2018) / До следующего ежегодного аудита, но не позднее 12 месяцев с даты утверждения отчета (02.03.2018)</p>	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	Supply Base Report dated of 29/11/2017	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	<p>Updated version of Supply Base Report submitted during this audit include actual figures of secondary feedstock inputs in relevant sections.</p>	
NCR Status:	CLOSED	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 02/17	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 2, requirement 2C, 5.2	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>It is specified in section 13 that updates are not applicable for Supply Base Report. However, reasons for that are not specified.</p> <p>В отчете о ресурсной базе в разделе 13 Обновления указано, что данный пункт не применим, однако, причины этого не указаны.</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	<p>By the next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalization date (02.03.2018) / До следующего ежегодного</p>	

	аудита, но не позднее 12 месяцев с даты утверждения отчета (02.03.2018)	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	Supply Base Report dated of 29/11/2017	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	Updated version of Supply Base Report submitted during this audit includes clarification that there were no significant changes in BP supply base.	
NCR Status:	CLOSED	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 05/17	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 5, requirement 5a, 2.2.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>The reporting period is defined as March-October 2016 (eight months). This is the period between launching the pellet production and annual audit. Minor NCR is issued as soon as exact dates are not specified by BP.</p> <p>Отчетный период установлен как март-октябрь 2016 (8 месяцев). Это период с момента запуска производства до проведения ежегодного аудита. Конкретные даты в отчетном периоде не указаны, что квалифицировано аудиторами как незначительное несоответствие.</p>		
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalization date (02.03.2018) / До следующего ежегодного аудита, но не позднее 12 месяцев с даты утверждения отчета (02.03.2018)	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	SAR	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	New reporting period established by BP in SAR is 30 November 2016 – 29 November 2017	
NCR Status:	CLOSED	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 06/17	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 5, requirement 5a, 4.5.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>During the reporting period total electricity consumption was 3205711.20 kWh and 21162.40 tone pellets have been produced. The final result thus is 151.48 kWh/t biomass. However, in Profiling, Batch and Energy data file the result is different – 148.17 kWh/t biomass.</p> <p>В отчетном периоде расход электроэнергии составил 3205711.20 кВтч, и было произведено 21162.40 тонн пеллет. Таким образом, финальный результат – 151.48 кВтч на тонну пеллет. Однако в документе Profiling, Batch and Energy data указан другой результат – 148.17 кВтч на тонну пеллет.</p>		

Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalization date (02.03.2018) / До следующего ежегодного аудита, но не позднее 12 месяцев с даты утверждения отчета (02.03.2018)	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	SAR (Exhibit 3)	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	BP updated electricity consumption calculations of the new reporting period in SAR. New result is 136,67 kWh / metric tonne pellets. NEPCon auditors did not find any non-conformities in calculations.	
NCR Status:	CLOSED / ЗАКРЫТО	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 07/17	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Instruction Document # 5a (ver. 1-0), requirement 4.7.1	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
<p>Diesel forklift loader KALMAR DCE 70-6 used for loading the big bags to railway wagons. Final result on diesel use by this loader was submitted by BP to auditors (liter/tonne biomass). During verification of the invoices regarding used diesel it was found that diesel amount is specified there in kilograms. BP could not justify how they converted kilograms to liters.</p> <p>Дизельный погрузчик KALMAR DCE 70-6 используется для погрузки биг-бэгов в железнодорожные вагоны. Финальный результат расхода дизельного топлива был предоставлен аудиторам (в литрах на тонну готовой продукции). Проверка счетов на топливо показала, что используемые в них единицы измерения – килограммы. Организация не смогла пояснить, как килограммы топлива были сконвертированы в литры.</p>		
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalization date (02.03.2018) / До следующего ежегодного аудита, но не позднее 12 месяцев с даты утверждения отчета (02.03.2018)	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	SAR (Exhibit 3)	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	BP updated diesel consumption calculations of the new reporting period in SAR. New result is 14,45158 MJ / metric tonne (0,403 litres / metric tonne pellets) for front-end loader Volvo ; and 4,41078 MJ / metric tonne (0,123 litres / metric tonne pellets) for	

	forklift loader Komatsu. NEPCon auditors did not find any non-conformities in calculations. Diesel forklift loader KALMAR DCE 70-6 has not been used in the reporting period.	
NCR Status:	CLOSED / ЗАКРЫТО	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

New NCRs / Вновь выставленные отчеты об устранении несоответствия

NCR: 01/18	NC Classification: /minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 4, requirement 5.5.2	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
Documents review showed that in the reporting period and until now BP specifies incorrect code and claim in invoices: Compliant (01-26) .		
Проверка документации показала, что в отчетном периоде и до момента настоящего аудита Организация указывает некорректные код сертификата SBP и заявление SBP в своих инвойсах: Compliant (01-26) .		
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next annual surveillance audit, but not later than 12 months from report finalisation date	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	SBP standards do not require claims on invoices or waybills, however it is important that correct SBP claims and codes are entered to DTS.	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	Information in DTS has been verified by auditor, and no non-conformities identified there. NCR has been withdrawn.	
NCR Status:	WITHDRAWN / ОТМЕНЕНО	

OBS: 01/18	Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard # 2 requirement 19.3
	Report Section	
Description of findings leading to observation:	Initial Supply Base Report was reviewed by independent and competent peer reviewer, expert in wood processing, professor at Bratsk State University. New version of SBR was not sent to independent and competent peer reviewer. Первоначальная версия отчета о ресурсной базе была передана для независимого рецензирования эксперту в области деревообработки, профессору Братского государственного университета. Новая версия отчета о ресурсной базе на независимое и компетентное рецензирование не передавалась.	
Observation:	BP is recommended to submit updated SBR to independent and competent peer reviewer.	

	Организации рекомендуется передавать отчет о ресурсной базе, в случае его обновления, на независимое рецензирование компетентному эксперту.
--	---

OBS: 02/18	Standard & Requirement:	SBP Instruction Document 5B, requirement 6.1.3
	Report Section	Appendix C,
Description of findings leading to observation:	<p>A part of sawdust is delivered to pellet production site by conveyor belt. No in-line weight measuring devices installed.</p> <p>Часть опилок подается на пеллетное производство по транспортной ленте без измерения веса.</p>	
Observation:	<p>When transport is organised by pipe or conveyor belt (continuous delivery) from a neighbouring location, its weight should be recorded based on in-- line measuring devices.</p> <p>В том случае, когда сырье доставляется на производственный участок по трубе или транспортной ленте (постоянная подача) с соседней площадки, его вес следует измерять встроенными измерительными устройствами.</p>	

Please list of the non-conformities which are likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?

None

11 Certification decision

Based on Organisation's conformance with SBP requirements, the auditor makes the following recommendation:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Certification approved: Upon acceptance of NCR(s) issued above
<input type="checkbox"/>	Certification not approved:
Based on auditor's recommendation and NEPCon quality review following certification decision is taken:	
NEPCon certification decision: Certification maintained.	
Certification decision by: Asko Lust	
Date of decision: 16.03.2018	
Next surveillance audit should take place:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> within 12 months <input type="checkbox"/> more frequently (please specify)

12 Surveillance updates

12.1 Evaluation details

Please see in a section: p.6.2. Description of evaluation activities.

12.2 Significant changes

No significant changes in BP supply base. Since January 2018 BP has changed the legal name.

12.3 Follow-up on outstanding non-conformities

Please see information in Section 10. Non-conformities and observations of the report.

12.4 New non-conformities

See information about the new NCR identified during the surveillance audit in section 10 of the report.

12.5 Stakeholder feedback

No comments or complaint from the stakeholders had been received.

12.6 Conditions for continuing certification

No preconditions identified. List of open NCR is available in section 10 of the report.

12.7 Certification recommendation

Lead auditor recommends maintaining the certification active.

13 Evaluation details

Primary Responsible Person: (Responsible for control system at site(s))	Airat Valiakhmetov, chief of pellet production
Auditor(s):	Nikolai Tochilov, Victoria Zablotskaya
People Interviewed, Titles:	Airat Valiakhmetov, chief of pellet production, SBP certification responsible Olga Bondarenko, FSC certification responsible Natalia Evdokimova, economist Valeria Zhmurova, leading expert on fuel consumption registration Nadezhda Krikhta, lawyer Olga Muravyeva, H&S engineer Ilya Matsuk, operator at pellet production site Viktor Ruzavin, operator assistant Dmitriy Pluschay, forklift loader driver Yuriy Mitrovkin, power engineer
Brief Overview of Audit Process for this Location:	See section 6.2
Comments:	No comments