

SBP

Sustainable Biomass Program

NEPCon Evaluation of Pellets Power, Lda Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

Second Surveillance Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.3

*For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see
www.sbp-cert.org*

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1: published 30 January 2018

Version 1.2: published 4 April 2018

Version 1.3: published 10 May 2018

© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2018

Table of Contents

1	Overview
2	Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate
3	Specific objective
4	SBP Standards utilised
4.1	SBP Standards utilised
4.2	SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment
5	Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management
5.1	Description of Company
5.2	Description of Company's Supply Base
5.3	Detailed description of Supply Base
5.4	Chain of Custody system
6	Evaluation process
6.1	Timing of evaluation activities
6.2	Description of evaluation activities
6.3	Process for consultation with stakeholders
7	Results
7.1	Main strengths and weaknesses
7.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation
7.3	Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions
7.4	Competency of involved personnel
7.5	Stakeholder feedback
7.6	Preconditions
8	Review of Company's Risk Assessments
9	Review of Company's mitigation measures
10	Non-conformities and observations
11	Certification recommendation

1 Overview

CB Name and contact:	NEPCon OÜ, Filosoofi 31, 50108 Tartu, Estonia
Primary contact for SBP:	Ondrej Tarabus ot@nepcon.org, +420 606 730 382
Current report completion date:	22/Oct/2018
Report authors: :	Pilar Gorriá Serrano
Name of the Company:	Pellets Power Lda.
Company contact for SBP:	Maria João Preto, +351 969647006, maria.preto@gesfinu.com
Certified Supply Base:	Portugal
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-01-12
Date of certificate issue:	09/Mar/2016
Date of certificate expiry:	08/Mar/2021

This report relates to the Second Surveillance Audit

2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

The certificate scope covers the production site and office in Mortagua, Portugal.

The Organisation holds valid FSC Chain of Custody and FSC Controlled wood certificate, covering pellet production. APCER-COC-150116

The input material used by the organisation for biomass production (both as raw material for pellet production and feedstock used into dryer) contains both primary and secondary feedstock supplied by local suppliers.

All inputs materials delivered to the pellet production plant are FSC certified, FSC controlled wood or included in the Organisation's FSC Controlled wood verification system. Feedstock used in the biomass production originates from Portugal only. All the material is sold in one harbour at FOB incoterms.

Description of the scope:

Procurement of wood pellets for the generation of electricity and heat, further sales and transportation worldwide. The scope of the certificate does not include Supply Base Evaluation.

In October 2017 the biomass producer suffered a forest fire that reached the organization's facilities. The fire caused significant material losses destroying much of the machinery, materials and facilities. The productive activity had to stop from that moment and reconstruction took place during 2018. At the time of the audit, the organization is active again so far in testing period to produce pellets.

3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this annual audit was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of the production processes, production site visit;
- Review of FSC system control points, analysis of the existing FSC CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients;
- GHG data collection analysis

4 SBP Standards utilised

4.1 SBP Standards utilised

Please select all SBP Standards used during this evaluation. All Standards can be accessed and downloaded from <https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards>

- SBP Framework Standard 1: Feedstock Compliance Standard (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- SBP Framework Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- SBP Framework Standard 4: Chain of Custody (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- SBP Framework Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)

4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment

Not applicable

5 Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management

5.1 Description of Company

BP is a biomass producer with a production situated in Mortagua, Portugal. Pellet Power Lda is producing both Industrial and premium quality wood pellets.

BP is sourcing both primary and, secondary feedstock for its production. The input material consists mostly of branches, tree tops and stem wood from thinnings together with limited part of sawdust and slab wood from sawmills. All the input material is coming from Portugal.

All Feedstock types are delivered to the pellet plant by road transport.

Incoming feedstock is either FSC certified, FSC Controlled or controlled according to the existing biomass producer (BP) FSC Controlled wood verification program. FSC Controlled wood verification program is applicable for feedstock originating from Portugal. Origin information is kept, and agreements about access to the origin information are signed with feedstock suppliers. As a part of the Verification program BP is conducting supplier audits.

The BP is implementing FSC credit system. The amount of the biomass produced according to FSC credit system might be sold as SBP-compliant or SBP-controlled. During this audit period, only controlled wood material has been included in the credit system, thus only SBP controlled has been supplied. After the production, pellets are stored in BP's production storage or transported into the Aveiro harbour and loaded directly to the vessel.

5.2 Description of Company's Supply Base

Biomass Producer's Supply Base is the same as defined in the assessment in November 2015: Portugal Continental. Only percentages of type of feedstock have been updated to the current reporting period.

The raw material used by the BP origins form Portugal. The majority of the material used by Pellets Power, Lda, is primary feedstock. The predominant material used is branches and stems of *Pinus pinaster*. The stems received are of low quality and in most cases are not suitable for other use. The second most common material are the branches and stems of *Pinus pinea*. The organization also source *Eucalyptus* (mostly in form of tops which are used as a fuel in the production – 11%), poplar, acacia or ash (considered as mix rolaria).

The raw material comes from forest clean operations and pine plantation maintenance (including round wood, pine cones, branches, needles, leaves, thinning and bark).

Forest cover in Portugal accounts for about 35,4% or about 3,154,800 ha (ICNF 2010) out of this 0.7% (24,000) is classified as primary forest. Portugal has 849,000 ha of planted forest (812 000ha for Eucalyptus Globus).

The main tree species are: Maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster*) (23%), Eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus globulus*) (26%), Cork oak (*Quercus suber*) (23%), Holm oak (*Quercus rotundifolia*) (11%), Oaks (*Quercus* spp) (2%), Umbrella pine (*Pinus pinea*) (6%), Sweet chestnut (*Castanea sativa*) (1%), other hardwoods (6%) and other softwoods (2%).

Portuguese forests are increasing continually from the two last centuries but in the last decade some decreasing started to be noticed, because of forest fires, conversion to other uses and also because of the effect of the pine disease (pine wood nematode) which affected mostly maritime pine. According IFN, 2010 from ICNF: Between 1995-2010 forests lost an average of 10 000ha/year meaning -0,3%/year.

Portuguese legislation prohibits conversion of natural forest to plantations, land use changes after forest fires are conditioned by law, changes must be submitted to the National Forest Authority (AFN). Natural forests are classified as habitats, and are thus safeguarded by another legal framework which is even more limiting. The results of the last National Forest Inventory (2013) show an increase of forest area.

Portuguese forests are influenced by the climate and geography, among other factors, being significantly different in the North and in the South. The North is mostly mountainous and influenced by the Atlantic climate. Here are present oak forests of *Quercus robur* and *Quercus faginea* at seaside and *Quercus pyrenaica*, with settlements of *Cytisus* sp. and several pockets of invasive species, such as *Acacia* sp. In the South, with more plains and less relief, Portugal's endemic Mediterranean forests are characterized by oak forests (*Quercus suber* and *Quercus rotundifolia*) with several types of understorey vegetation. Pine trees (*Pinus pinaster* and *Pinus pinea*) and Eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus globulus*) occur in all territory, as well as abundant bushes of rockrose orlabdanum (*Cistus ladanifer*) and strawberry tree (*Arbutus unedo*) in all territory.

All types of forest areas are present in Portugal mainland -plantations, semi-natural and natural forests.

The first goal of forest management is to improve the production (timber and cones/pine nuts). This strategic forest planning methodology allows the integration of two different silvicultures (timber production or forest products) and the choice of the best in each stand. The timber and the resin constitute the most financially profitable forest products, that target the various activities such as sawmills, production of paper pulp, cellulose or energy, among many others. (source – Plano Director Municipal de Penacova, Caracterização Florestal, Abril 2015).

The raw material coming from forest clean operations and pine plantation maintenance and the main goal is to give an economic value of the cleaning wood residues forest.

The raw material origin is all from Portugal. Pellets Power, Lda, receives the majority of fibre from *Pinus pinaster* forest. The forest management practices consist in cleaning the trees and soil and promoting the wood pine growing. Pellets Power, Lda use waste forest like wood resulting from logging, waste from burned areas, waste from the cleaning of forests and woods, among others. The timber and the cork constitute the most financially profitable forest products, that target the various activities such as sawmills, cork industries, production of paper pulp, cellulose or energy, among many others. Portugal is the main cork producer in the world. Portuguese resin production is regaining competitiveness and the sector is starting the collection and industrial processing.

The raw material received is from private land suppliers or national authority forests, and we can be found the following

situations:

- National Authority forests – Cleanings forest /lands (to avoid fires, diseases wood etc...);
- Private small land suppliers (to avoid fires, and valorise economical quantities of their raw material etc...) (local suppliers);
- Land suppliers use the land for production of pine nuts (local suppliers);
- 100% certified material is very residual because raw material price from certified areas is very high. The option is to guarantee FSC® controlled wood in the case of small land suppliers (that is the majority of Pellets Power, Lda suppliers);
- Certificate areas of eucalyptus are mainly intended for other industries that can accommodate higher raw material prices, as papermills.

Pellets Power, Lda raw material is characterized as:

- None of the species received is CITES-listed (Pinus pinaster; Eucalyptus globulus, Acacia dealbata, Acacia melanoxylon etc...).
- Raw material close to Pellets Power, Lda is mainly Pinus pinaster., Pellets Power, Lda works with many suppliers which have their own forest, so they have to make sure it is clean (legal obligation).

http://www.gesfinu.com/arq/fich/SBR_PP_PT_V0_2018.pdf

5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

Supply Base - Portugal

- a. Total Supply Base area: 3,2 million ha: Cumulative area of all forest types within SB
- b. Tenure by type (ha): Privately owned – 3,1 million ha; Public forest 0,1 million ha
- c. Forest by type (ha): Temperate Forests – 3,2 million ha
- d. Forest by management type (ha): managed natural: 2,3 million ha; Planted forest: 0,9 million ha
- e. Certified forest by scheme (ha):

FSC - certified forest - 414 064ha (1/08/2018) and PEFC-certified forest - 254 604 ha (03/2018)

Origin: Portugal

- e. Total volume of Feedstock: 0 – 100,000 tonnes

- Arboricultural arising: 1%
- Branch wood: 0.4%
- Low grade roundwood: 94.2%
- Other residues of wood industry: 0.1%
- Sawdust: 2.8%
- Slab wood: 1.4%
- Tree stumps: 0.1%

- f. Volume of primary feedstock: 0 – 100,000 tonnes

Arboricultural arising: 1%

Branch wood: 0.4%

Low grade roundwood: 94.3%

g. List percentage of primary feedstock (g), by the following categories.

Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes.

100% Small forest holdings not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes FSC

Controlled Wood:

-100% SBP-approved Controlled Feedstock System certification

h. List all species in primary feedstock, including scientific name:

Pinus pinaster; Eucalyptus spp; Acacia dealbata Link; Acacia melanoxylon; Pinus pinea; Pinus radiata; Quercus sp.; Salix alba; Cupressus lusitânica; Platanus sp.; Alnus glutinosa; Populus alba; Populus nigra; Quercus suber; Castanea sativa; Acacia longifolia

i. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest: 0 tonnes

j. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest (i), by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP approved Forest Management Schemes:

- Certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme – 0%
- Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme -0%

k. Volume of secondary feedstock: Specify origin and type (Portugal / Pinus pinaster) Wood industry residues: 4,3 %

l. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 0 tonnes

http://www.gesfinu.com/arg/fich/SBR_PP_PT_V0_2018.pdf

http://www.gesfinu.com/arg/fich/SBR_PP_EN_Vo_2018.pdf

5.4 Chain of Custody system

The Organisation is holding valid FSC Chain of Custody and FSC Controlled wood certificate. Valid FSC system description and other documents exist.

The Organisation is implementing FSC credit system. FSC Credit system is used for materials received as FSC certified, FSC Controlled wood and feedstock verified according to the Organisation's own Controlled wood verification system. The Controlled wood system of the organisation is covering only Portugal. No other feedstock is received. Supplier list is maintained.

After the reception, incoming feedstock and unloaded into piles according to type of feedstock and load is registered into the recordkeeping system. All input material is weighted and recorded in tones. For the credit account purposed the volume of feedstock is recalculated by using the conversion factor of the production, FSC credit account is updated once in a month: data about received raw materials considering FSC certification claims and volume of sold pellets are recorded.

In case of the FSC and / or SBP sales, the volume of sold pellets is withdrawn from the credit account

6 Evaluation process

6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

Activity	Location	Auditor(s)	Date/time
Opening meeting*	Office,	PGS	11.09.2018 10.30-11.00
Interview with SBP responsible person Review of procedures, documents and interviews with responsible staff (CoC, mass balance, management system, verification of SBP compliant feedstock).	Office,	PGS	11.00-12.00
Interview with Purchasing department representative. Interview with Sales department representative & document review	Purchasing & Sales department	PGS	12:00-13:00
Break		PGS	13:00-14:00
Volume summary review, credit account	Office	PGS	14:00-15:00
GHG calculation review collection and communication of energy and carbon data	Office,	PGS	15:00-16:30
Chain of custody review (site tour); interview with material acceptance department, warehouse and production responsible workers	Production facilities	PGS	16:30 – 17:30
Closing meeting*	Office,	PGS	17:30 – 18:00
Estimated end of the evaluation			18:00

6.2 Description of evaluation activities

The annual audit visit was focused on the activities carried out during the 10 months of reporting period since January to October 2017, when the BP suffered the forest fire that destroyed part of its facilities and the organization had to stop production.

All the main requirements in the standards were also reviewed: division of the responsibilities, document and system, input material classification (reception and registration), analysis of the existing FSC CoC system and FSC CoC system control points as well as the collection of the energy and emission data.

Description of the audit evaluation:

Auditor was welcomed in Pellets Power Lda. Audit started with an opening meeting attended by the Quality Manager, the purchase manager and operational manager. Auditor conducted the opening meeting where the agenda of the day was agreed.

After that auditor went through pending non conformities and all applicable requirements of the SBP standards nr.2, 4, 5 and instruction documents 5a, 5b and 5c covering input classification, existing chain of custody and controlled wood system, management system, CoC, recordkeeping/mass balance requirements, emission and energy data and categorisation of input and verification of SBP compliant and SBP Controlled feedstock/ biomass. During the process, overall responsible person for SBP system and as well as other persons having key responsibilities within the system were interviewed.

After that, roundtrip around BP’s pellet production was undertaken. During the site tour reception process were observed, applicable records were reviewed, pellet factory staff was interviewed and FSC system critical control points were analysed.

At the end of the audit findings were summarised and audit conclusion based on use of 3 angle evaluation method were provided to the quality manager of Pellets Power.

Composition of the audit team:

Auditor(s), roles	Qualifications
Pilar Gorriá Lead auditor	Pilar is a forestry engineer from the University of Madrid. Part of her studies took place at the Forestry Research National Center-BFV in Vienna, where she explored carbon soil emissions in commercially managed forests. He has participated in several FSC FM, FSC CoC, PEFC CoC and Carbon Footprint in Spain and Portugal.

6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

NEPCon was open since the initial audit to any comments about the Pellets Power certificate. No active stakeholder consultation was conducted by NEPCon and no comments were received.

7 Results

7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Strength: Use of the FSC credit system. Effective recordkeeping system. Small number of the management staff and clearly designated responsibilities within the staff members

Weaknesses: No certified material included as income and small amount of secondary feedstock.

7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

Not applicable

7.3 Collection and Communication of Data

The organization has recorded GHG data for the reporting period. The data is complete, accurate and is based on the records from the internal recordkeeping system

7.4 Competency of involved personnel

The key personnel involved directly in the audit and the SBP implementation are the 3 listed below. During the annual audit was found that their competences, expertise and capacities were suitable to implement SBP certification requirements:

- Maria Preto – Quality manager
- Ricardo Rodrigues – purchasing manager
- Luís Lobo - Industrial Director

7.5 Stakeholder feedback

Not received

7.6 Preconditions

No preconditions issued

8 Review of Company’s Risk Assessments

Describe how the Certification Body assessed risk for the Indicators. Summarise the CB’s final risk ratings in Table 1, together with the Company’s final risk ratings. Default for each indicator is ‘Low’, click on the rating to change. Note: this summary should show the risk ratings before AND after the SVP has been performed and after any mitigation measures have been implemented.

Not applicable

Table 1. Final risk ratings of Indicators as determined BEFORE the SVP and any mitigation measures.

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
1.1.1	Low	Low
1.1.2	Low	Low
1.1.3	Low	Low
1.2.1	Low	Low
1.3.1	Low	Low
1.4.1	Low	Low
1.5.1	Low	Low
1.6.1	Low	Low
2.1.1	Low	Low
2.1.2	Low	Low
2.1.3	Low	Low
2.2.1	Low	Low
2.2.2	Low	Low
2.2.3	Low	Low
2.2.4	Low	Low
2.2.5	Low	Low
2.2.6	Low	Low
2.2.7	Low	Low
2.2.8	Low	Low
2.2.9	Low	Low
2.3.1	Low	Low
2.3.2	Low	Low

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
2.3.3	Low	Low
2.4.1	Low	Low
2.4.2	Low	Low
2.4.3	Low	Low
2.5.1	Low	Low
2.5.2	Low	Low
2.6.1	Low	Low
2.7.1	Low	Low
2.7.2	Low	Low
2.7.3	Low	Low
2.7.4	Low	Low
2.7.5	Low	Low
2.8.1	Low	Low
2.9.1	Low	Low
2.9.2	Low	Low
2.10.1	Low	Low

Table 2. Final risk ratings of Indicators as determined AFTER the SVP and any mitigation measures.

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
1.1.1	Low	Low
1.1.2	Low	Low
1.1.3	Low	Low
1.2.1	Low	Low
1.3.1	Low	Low
1.4.1	Low	Low
1.5.1	Low	Low
1.6.1	Low	Low
2.1.1	Low	Low
2.1.2	Low	Low
2.1.3	Low	Low
2.2.1	Low	Low
2.2.2	Low	Low
2.2.3	Low	Low
2.2.4	Low	Low
2.2.5	Low	Low
2.2.6	Low	Low
2.2.7	Low	Low
2.2.8	Low	Low
2.2.9	Low	Low
2.3.1	Low	Low
2.3.2	Low	Low

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
2.3.3	Low	Low
2.4.1	Low	Low
2.4.2	Low	Low
2.4.3	Low	Low
2.5.1	Low	Low
2.5.2	Low	Low
2.6.1	Low	Low
2.7.1	Low	Low
2.7.2	Low	Low
2.7.3	Low	Low
2.7.4	Low	Low
2.7.5	Low	Low
2.8.1	Low	Low
2.9.1	Low	Low
2.9.2	Low	Low
2.10.1	Low	Low

9 Review of Company's mitigation measures

Not applicable

10 Non-conformities and observations

Identify all non-conformities and observations raised/closed during the evaluation (a tabular format below may be used here). Please use as many copies of the table as needed. For each, give details to include at least the following:

- applicable requirement(s)
- grading of the non-conformity (major or minor) or observation with supporting rationale
- timeframe for resolution of the non-conformity
- a statement as to whether the non-conformity is likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks.

NC number 01/18	NC Grading: Minor
Standard & Requirement:	STD 2 Verification of SBP-compliant feedstock, 6.2
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
<p>The organization receives the sawdust and slab wood with place of loading and therefore the secondary feedstock supplier is known. For the secondary feedstock the procedure to verify the origin is as follows: a) A specific deliver of secondary feedstock occurs. b) Supplier provide all the delivery documents (including “manifestos”) that have entered in their facilities during that month. The approach is that all the secondary material used in this deliver for the BP comes from one or more of all the delivery documents during that month. c) All manifestos (where information about origin is the FMU to the saw mill) are recorded by the BP by month and by supplier. During the audit, records for deliveries from April 2017 were requested but it was found that only one manifesto was delivered by the supplier. The responsible staff in the BP asked the supplier to provide the additional documentation but it was not received and the process to verify the origin was not completed. Note: Secondary feedstock is only 4% of the total feedstock used.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12 months from report finalisation date
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	Pending
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	Pending
NC Status:	Open

NC number 02/18	NC Grading: Minor
Standard & Requirement:	STD 2 Verification of SBP-compliant feedstock, 2C
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
<p>The SBR covers the most important features in the Supply Base but the following small mistakes have been identified: a) No explanation why SBE is not applicable is included under section 3 and b) total % of the feedstock used sum 100.2% instead of 100%.</p>	
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12 months from report finalisation date By the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12

	monhts from report finalisation dateBy the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12 monhts from report finalisation date
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	Pending
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	Pending
NC Status:	Open

Observation 01/18	NC Grading: Observation
Standard & Requirement:	STD 5C, 3.1.1
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
Information provided in the Static Biomass Profiling Data Sheet is not accurate: Forest type which best describes the SB has been selected as Primary forest but the forest pines and eucalyptus plantation that represent the majority of the SB are not primary forest, also % of the total feedstock supplied sum 100,2 and associated SDI are not correct.	
Timeline for Conformance:	Other
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	Pending
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	Pending
NC Status:	Open

Closed non-conformities

NCR: 02/16	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard 5b requirement 5.3.3. Energy use in forestry operations and chipping.	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
The BP has used 1.67 as default value for primary feedstock for energy-use in forestry operations and chipping, but information about type of fuel used and units are missing.		
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	By next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalisation date	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	New updated version of SAR	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	In the new update version of SAR it is specified that the type of fuel used is gasoil.	
NCR Status:	CLOSED	
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 04/16	NC Classification: minor	
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard 2C requirement 5.4.	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
The BP has updated the supply base report with information regarding: list of potential species, actions to promote certification among species, statistics about forest sector. Under section 13 only the reference “actual figures for feedstock over the previous 12 months have been included” but the actual data as listed under section 2.5 were not mentioned in the update section of the SBR. This might be confusing.		
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	By next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalisation date	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	New SBR	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	In the new SBR version data about quantities are provided in intervals and real values are removed. Currently data in all SBR section are consistent. For confidential reason the BP has decided to provide data quantities in %.	

NCR Status:	CLOSED
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NCR: 05/16	NC Classification: minor
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard 5B: 3.2.7.
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
<p>Electricity invoices are issued monthly but from the day 10th to the 20th of the next month. The BP adjust the amount of electricity used for a full month using the real production within the first 10 days of a month and the 20 days of the next month and the electricity consume in the period. Thus the electricity used for the reporting period from the 1st January to 31 December is adjusted.</p> <p>Calculations were reviewed for April 2015 during the audit.</p> <p>Justification section has been filled in in the SAR document prior to close this report and justification about electricity, diesel, etc. has been provided but also some information about raw material that is not connected with energy use.</p> <p>Justification and information provided under "Other relevant information" shall be focused on the issues relevant for this section to avoid confusion.</p>	
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>
Timeline for Conformance:	By next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalisation date
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	Updated SAR Electricity invoices
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	<p>From Jun 2017 electricity invoices have been modified and are issued by natural month from 1 to 30 of each month. Thus, no additional calculation is required.</p> <p>No justification has been included in SAR section and no confusion is found on this sense.</p>
NCR Status:	CLOSED
Is the non-conformity likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

11 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's quality review, the following certification decision is taken:

Certification decision:	Certification approved
Certification decision by (name of the person):	Ondrej Tarabus
Date of decision:	22/Oct/2018
Other comments:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>