

SBP

Sustainable Biomass Program

NEPCon Evaluation of GLHU Stolbtsovski Leshoz Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

Second Surveillance Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.3

*For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see
www.sbp-cert.org*

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1: published 30 January 2018

Version 1.2: published 4 April 2018

Version 1.3: published 10 May 2018

© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2018

Table of Contents

1	Overview
2	Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate
3	Specific objective
4	SBP Standards utilised
5	Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management
5.1	Description of Company
5.2	Description of Company's Supply Base
5.3	Detailed description of Supply Base
5.4	Chain of Custody system
6	Evaluation process
6.1	Timing of evaluation activities
6.2	Description of evaluation activities
6.3	Process for consultation with stakeholders
7	Results
7.1	Main strengths and weaknesses
7.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation
7.3	Collection and Communication of Data
7.4	Competency of involved personnel
7.5	Stakeholder feedback
7.6	Preconditions
8	Review of Company's Risk Assessments
9	Review of Company's mitigation measures
10	Non-conformities and observations
11	Certification decision

1 Overview

CB Name and contact:	NEPCon OÜ, Filosoofi 31, 50108 Tartu, Estonia
Primary contact for SBP:	Ondrej Tarabus ot@nepcon.org, +420 606 730 382
Current report completion date:	15/Nov/2018
Report authors:	Aliaksandr Zubkevich
Name of the Company:	GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz
Company contact for SBP:	Shpilevski Genadij, chief engineer
Certified Supply Base:	sourcing from territory of GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP -01-52
Date of certificate issue:	18/Nov/2016
Date of certificate expiry:	17/Nov/2021

This report relates to the Second Surveillance Audit

2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

The certificate scope covers the pellet production site and office in Stolbtsy, Republic of Belarus. Supply Base of GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz is the only forest area of GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz.

GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz is situated in Stolbtsy District of the western Minsk Region. The enterprise comprises nine forest districts, production and logging facilities and a base nursery. The company is involved in forestry, wood harvesting, wood machining and trade both within the country and abroad. It is responsible for 90.033 thousand ha of forest territory.

The Organisation holds valid FSC FM/Chain of Custody certificate with FSC transfer system in the scope. The input material used by the Organisation for biomass production contains only secondary feedstock. Forest residues and wood industry residues (slabwood, sawdust) are used for drier. Secondary feedstock (sawdust, slab wood etc) is sourced only from their own sawmill. All process from the forest until the product sales are included in the COC scope, that includes storage, wood machining and pellets production

Description of the scope:

The certificate scope covers production of wood pellets, for use in energy production, at GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz and transportation by rail to Belarusian/Latvian border, Bigosovo railway station. The scope of the certificate does not include Supply Base Evaluation.

Scope of the evaluation is indicated in the table below:

Scope Item	Check all that apply to the Certificate Scope		Change in Scope (N/A for Assessments)
Approved Standards:	SBP Standard #2 V1.0 SBP Standard #4 V1.0 SBP Standard #5 V1.0 http://www.sustainablebiomasspartnership.org/documents		<input type="checkbox"/>
Primary Activity:	Pellet producer		<input type="checkbox"/>
Input Material Categories:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Primary Feedstock (Only for drier)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Secondary Feedstock	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/> Controlled Feedstock	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP non-Compliant Feedstock	
	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-Compliant Tertiary biomass	<input type="checkbox"/> Post-consumer Tertiary Feedstock	
	<input type="checkbox"/> SBP-approved Recycled Claim	<input type="checkbox"/> Post-consumer Tertiary Feedstock	

Chain of custody system implemented:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FSC	<input type="checkbox"/> PEFC	<input type="checkbox"/> SFI	<input type="checkbox"/> GGL	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> Percentage		<input type="checkbox"/> Credit	<input type="checkbox"/>
Points of sales	<input type="checkbox"/> Harbour – Permanent storage (Storage site)	<input type="checkbox"/> Harbour – Temporarily storage (Logistic site)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other point of sale (e.g. gate of the BP, boarder, railway station etc.)		<input type="checkbox"/>
Provide name of all points of sales	- - -	- - -	-DAF Bigosovo railway station		
Use of SBP claim:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes		<input type="checkbox"/> No		<input type="checkbox"/>
SBE Verification Program:	<input type="checkbox"/> Low risk sources only		<input type="checkbox"/> Sources with unspecified/ specified risk		<input type="checkbox"/>
	New districts approved for SBP-Compliant inputs:				
Sub-scopes					<input type="checkbox"/>
Specify SBP Product Groups added or removed:					
Comments:					

3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of the production processes, production site visit;
- Review of FSC system control points, analysis of the existing FSC CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients;

GHG data collection analysis;

4 SBP Standards utilised

4.1 SBP Standards utilised

Please select all SBP Standards used during this evaluation. All Standards can be accessed and downloaded from <https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards>

- SBP Framework Standard 1: Feedstock Compliance Standard (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- SBP Framework Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- SBP Framework Standard 4: Chain of Custody (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- SBP Framework Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)

4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment

Not applicable. Supply Base Evaluation is not covered by the Scope of the Evaluation

5 Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management

5.1 Description of Company

GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz is situated in Stolbtsy District of the western Minsk Region. The enterprise comprises nine forest districts, production (sawmill, pellet production), logging facilities and a base nursery. The company is involved in forestry, wood harvesting, wood machining and trade both within the country and abroad. It is responsible for 90.033 thousand ha of forest territory.

The Organisation holds valid FSC FM/Chain of Custody certificate with FSC transfer system in the scope. The input material used by the Organisation for biomass production contains only secondary feedstock. Forest residues and wood industry residues (slabwood, sawdust) are used for drier. Secondary feedstock (sawdust) is sourced only from own sawmill. Supply Base of GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz is the only forest area of GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz.

Note: The SBR contains in the description of the input material also primary feedstock, however, this is used exclusively in the dryer.

5.2 Description of Company's Supply Base

The supply base of the organization is the total territory of GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz.

Forests are the dominant vegetation type on the territory of the GLHU «Stolbtsovski leshoz». The structure of the FME includes Okinchitskoe, Opechkovskoe, Prudskoe, Nalibokskoe, Kulskoe, Kletischenskoe, Rubezhovichskoe, Starinskoe and Hotovskoe forestry areas and the logging unit. The FME is located in the western part of the Minsk region, within the Stolbtsy administrative district. The total area of the FME is 90.033 hectares, including 82,855 hectares covered by forest.

Distribution of forests by groups - Group 1 makes 73.9% and Group 2 makes 26.1% (1 – protective forest where some restriction to cut exist and group 2 – economic forest). Distribution by age groups - the young forests make 23.6%, middle forests make 62.6%, maturing forests make 10.1% and over-ripe forests make 3.7%. The distribution by dominant species – coniferous forests make 74.9%, hardwood forests make 0.5% and deciduous forests make 24.6%. Average wood volume is 220 m³ per hectare. Average age of trees is 54 years. The limit of cutting of mature trees is 67,100 cubic meters, including 34,100 cubic meters for coniferous. They are pine – 22,700 m³, spruce – 6,400 m³, aspen – 3,000 m³, birch – 11,900 m³, black alder – 18,100 m³. All plots after cutting are planted by trees in the spring or forest plots are left for natural regeneration. All man-made forests are annually under care.

The main objective of forest management in the GLHU «Stolbtsovski leshoz» is to provide the continuous, stable, sustainable, cost-effective, multi-purpose, environmentally responsible and socially oriented forest management that to meet the needs of society in raw materials and to preserve and enhance the ecological functions of forests and to conserve biodiversity in forest ecosystems

For details see the BP website <http://stolbzyles.by/certification>

5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

Total Supply Base area (ha): 90033 ha

Tenure by type (ha): 90033 ha state ownership, 0 million ha private forests and 0 million ha other ownership types.

Forest by type (ha): 90033 ha temperate forests

Forest by management type (ha): 90033 ha managed semi-natural

Certified forest by scheme (ha): FSC - total certified area 90033 ha

PEFC – total certified area 90033 ha

Quantitative description of the Supply Base can be found in the Supply Base Report of the Biomass Producer (<http://stolbzyles.by/certification>)

5.4 Chain of Custody system

The Organisation holds valid FSC FM/COC certificate (NC-FM/COC-017322). Critical control points of the FSC CoC system were evaluated also during SBP audit.

The Organisation has implemented FSC transfer system. The input material used by the Organisation for biomass production contains secondary feedstock - sawdust for pellet production, primary feedstock such as forest residues, diseased wood and wood industry residues for dryer. All feedstock is FSC certified and originates only from forest area of the BP

6 Evaluation process

6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

Onsite audit was conducted on August 20, 2018 (7h). Audit activities included documents review at office, inspection of production facilities and staff interviews. To address major NCR the BP has updated SAR. SAR was reviewed November 12, 2018.

Action	Place	Auditor	date/ time
Introduction meeting (Appr at 9.00-9.15)	Office of GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz	Aliaksandr Zubkevich	20.08.2018 09.15-10.00; 13.30-17.30
Analyse of the organization SBP system; Staff interview; Documents review procedure, instructions, training minutes, group products list and etc. Analyse of FSC COC system. Checking of critical points. Review of GHG date calculation, interview with staff Visit of pellet factory and laboratory, staff interview, review of records List of reviewed processes (visited departments): 1) acceptance of raw material 2) moisture measurement of raw material and products (operator); 3) production and accounting (bookkeeping); 4) Use of resources (electrician, mechanic); 5) Realisation and sales. Work with clients	Office of GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz Pellet factory		
Lunch time 12.30-13.30	Office of GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz		

Final meeting 17.00-17:30	Office of GLHU Stolbtsovski leshoz		
SAR review	Desk asudit		12.11.2018

6.2 Description of evaluation activities

The audit visit was focused on management system evaluation: division of the responsibilities, document and system, input material classification (reception and registration), analysis of the existing FSC system and FSC system control points as well as the collection of the energy and emission data.

Description of the audit evaluation:

All SBP related documentation connected to the SBP as well as FSC system of the organisation, including SBP Procedures, GHG related data, Supply Base Reports, were evaluated during the audit.

Auditor was welcomed in the company. Audit started with an opening meeting attended by the chief engineer.

Auditor introduced himself, provided information about audit plan, methodology, auditor qualification, confidentiality issues, and assessment methodology and clarified verification scope. During the opening meeting the auditor explained CB's approval related issues.

After that auditor went through all applicable requirements of the SBP standards nr.2, 4, 5 and instruction documents 5a, 5b, 5c covering input clarification, existing chain of custody system, management system, CoC, recordkeeping/mass balance requirements, emission and energy data and categorisation of input and verification of SBP compliant feedstock/ biomass. During the process, overall responsible person for SBP system and as well as other persons having key responsibilities within the system were interviewed.

After that roundtrip around BP's pellet production was undertaken. During the site tour reception process were observed, applicable records were reviewed, pellet factory staff was interviewed and FSC system critical control points were analysed.

At the end of the audit findings were summarised and audit conclusion based on use of 3 angle evaluation method were provided to the representative of the company. After the audit the final review of the SAR document and additional evidence provided by the organization was done. The outcomes of this additional review were discussed with the company over phone.

Composition of audit team:

Auditor(s), roles	Qualifications
Aliaksandr Zubkevich Lead auditor Evaluation against all applicable requirements	Mr Aliaksandr Zubkevich has education of engineer-economist in timber industry. He had postgraduate study at the Belarusian State Technological University. A. Zubkevich has passed FSC CoC/ FM lead auditor training course, Legal Source, ISO 14001 and SBP training coursed. Previous experience in woodworking industry and SBP pre-assessment and assessments in Belarus.

Impartiality commitment: NEPCon commits to using impartial auditors and our clients are encouraged to inform NEPCon management if violations of this are noted. Please see our Impartiality Policy here: <http://www.nepcon.org/impartiality-policy>

6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

No Consultation was conducted for this surveillance audit.

7 Results

7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Strength: Use of production residuals only from own sawmill. All elements of SBP system are implemented. Use of the FSC transfer system and control of all incoming materials at the level of sawdust reception and production process.

Weaknesses: Rotation of staff without proper training of new ones. See the non-conformities below

7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

Not applicable

7.3 Collection and Communication of Data

The BP has system in place of recording of data. The problem only with electricity used for pellet production. The BP has electricity meter, but not use it properly – data not recorded.

7.4 Competency of involved personnel

The SBP responsible person in the company is chief engineer. But technical responsible person (which gather data and compile them is certification and standardization engineer. During certification cycle 3-4 persons are changed on this position. The proper training this year was not conducted for new employed person. The engineer has prepared by herself and demonstrated basic understanding of SBP requirements.

7.5 Stakeholder feedback

No stakeholder comments were received.

7.6 Preconditions

No preconditions to this certification were identified at the time of this surveillance audit

8 Review of Company’s Risk Assessments

Describe how the Certification Body assessed risk for the Indicators. Summarise the CB’s final risk ratings in Table 1, together with the Company’s final risk ratings. Default for each indicator is ‘Low’, click on the rating to change. Note: this summary should show the risk ratings before AND after the SVP has been performed and after any mitigation measures have been implemented.

Not applicable

Table 1. Final risk ratings of Indicators as determined BEFORE the SVP and any mitigation measures.

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
1.1.1	LowLow	LowLow
1.1.2	LowLow	LowLow
1.1.3	LowLow	LowLow
1.2.1	LowLow	LowLow
1.3.1	LowLow	LowLow
1.4.1	LowLow	LowLow
1.5.1	LowLow	LowLow
1.6.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.1.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.1.2	LowLow	LowLow
2.1.3	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.2	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.3	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.4	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.5	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.6	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.7	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.8	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.9	LowLow	LowLow
2.3.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.3.2	LowLow	LowLow

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
2.3.3	LowLow	LowLow
2.4.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.4.2	LowLow	LowLow
2.4.3	LowLow	LowLow
2.5.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.5.2	LowLow	LowLow
2.6.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.7.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.7.2	LowLow	LowLow
2.7.3	LowLow	LowLow
2.7.4	LowLow	LowLow
2.7.5	LowLow	LowLow
2.8.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.9.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.9.2	LowLow	LowLow
2.10.1	LowLow	LowLow

Table 2. Final risk ratings of Indicators as determined AFTER the SVP and any mitigation measures.

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
1.1.1	LowLow	LowLow
1.1.2	LowLow	LowLow
1.1.3	LowLow	LowLow
1.2.1	LowLow	LowLow
1.3.1	LowLow	LowLow
1.4.1	LowLow	LowLow
1.5.1	LowLow	LowLow
1.6.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.1.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.1.2	LowLow	LowLow
2.1.3	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.2	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.3	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.4	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.5	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.6	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.7	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.8	LowLow	LowLow
2.2.9	LowLow	LowLow
2.3.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.3.2	LowLow	LowLow

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
2.3.3	LowLow	LowLow
2.4.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.4.2	LowLow	LowLow
2.4.3	LowLow	LowLow
2.5.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.5.2	LowLow	LowLow
2.6.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.7.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.7.2	LowLow	LowLow
2.7.3	LowLow	LowLow
2.7.4	LowLow	LowLow
2.7.5	LowLow	LowLow
2.8.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.9.1	LowLow	LowLow
2.9.2	LowLow	LowLow
2.10.1	LowLow	LowLow

9 Review of Company's mitigation measures

Not applicable

10 Non-conformities and observations

Identify all non-conformities and observations raised/closed during the evaluation (a tabular format below may be used here). Please use as many copies of the table as needed. For each, give details to include at least the following:

- applicable requirement(s)
- grading of the non-conformity (major or minor) or observation with supporting rationale
- timeframe for resolution of the non-conformity
- a statement as to whether the non-conformity is likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks.

NC number 01/1801/18	NC Grading: MinorMinor
Standard & Requirement:	<p>Standard #2: Verification of SBP-compliant feedstock Standard # 2: Verification of SBP-compliant feedstock</p> <p>15.1 The BP shall implement a management and monitoring system to maintain compliance with the requirements of this and all other relevant SBP Standards, together with a process of review and feedback into planning</p>
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
<p>The BP responsible staff have updated documented procedures, designated responsibilities among the existing staff. This year new certification and standardization engineer was employed and which is responsible for all technical aspects of SBP certification. This new person was not trained against SBP requirements. The main responsible for SBP certification – chief engineer – is not fully engaged in management of SBP system as required by procedure. The weakness in preparation of SAR, justification of electricity consumption was detected during audit which are results of the weakness in the management system. Ответственные за SBP имеют обновленную процедуру, в которой расписаны ответственные за ее выполнение. В этом году был принят новый человек на должность инженера по стандартизации и сертификации, и который несет ответственность за все технические аспекты выполнения требований SBP. С новым сотрудником не проведено обучение. Основное ответственное лицо за сертификацию – главный инженер – не в полной мере выполняет те функции, которые возложены на него процедурой SBP. Трудности с подготовкой SAR, трудности с обоснованием потребления электричества свидетельствуют о слабости в системе управления SBP сертификацией.. This new person was not trained against SBP requirements. The main responsible for SBP certification – chief engineer – is not fully engaged in management of SBP system as required by procedure. Ответственные за SBP имеют обновленную процедуру, в которой расписаны ответственные за ее выполнение. В этом году был принят новый человек на должность инженера по стандартизации и сертификации, и который несет ответственность за все технические аспекты выполнения требований SBP. С новым сотрудником не проведено обучение. Основное ответственное лицо за сертификацию – главный инженер – не в полной мере выполняет те функции, которые возложены на него процедурой SBP. The BP responsible staff have updated documented procedures, designated responsibilities among the existing staff. This year new certification and standardization engineer was employed and which is responsible for all technical aspects of SBP certification. This new person was not trained against SBP requirements. The main responsible for SBP certification – chief engineer – is not fully engaged in management of SBP system as required by</p>	

<p>procedure. The weakness in preparation of SAR, justification of electricity consumption was detected during audit which are results of the weakness in the management system. Ответственные за SBP имеют обновленную процедуру, в которой расписаны ответственные за ее выполнение. В этом году был принят новый человек на должность инженера по стандартизации и сертификации, и который несет ответственность за все технические аспекты выполнения требований SBP. С новым сотрудником не проведено обучение. Основное ответственное лицо за сертификацию – главный инженер – не в полной мере выполняет те функции, которые возложены на него процедурой SBP. Трудности с подготовкой SAR, трудности с обоснованием потребления электричества свидетельствуют о слабости в системе управления SBP сертификацией. The BP responsible staff have updated documented procedures, designated responsibilities among the existing staff. This year new certification and standardization engineer was employed and which is responsible for all technical aspects of SBP certification</p>	
<p>Timeline for Conformance:</p>	<p>By the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12 months from report finalisation date By the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12 months from report finalisation date</p>
<p>Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:</p>	<p><i>Click or tap here to enter description provided by Company to close the NC.</i></p>
<p>Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:</p>	<p><i>Click or tap here to enter findings for evaluation of evidence by the auditor.</i></p>
<p>NC Status:</p>	<p>OpenOpen</p>

<p>NC number 02/1802/18</p>	<p>NC Grading: MinorMinor</p>
<p>Standard & Requirement:</p>	<p>Standard #5: Collection of Data for Energy and Carbon Balance Calculations Standard # 5: Collection of Data for Energy and Carbon Balance Calculations</p> <p>3.1.1. BPs shall record data in an ‘SBP Audit Report for Energy and GHG data’ (SAR), using the latest version of the template from the SBP website. The SAR shall be complemented with validation comments and photographs from a CB</p>
<p>Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:</p>	
<p>The BP has recorded data and submitted to auditor. The BP has used old template. Организация подготовила SAR, однако использовала старый шаблон. The BP has recorded data and submitted to auditor. The BP has used old template. Организация подготовила SAR, однако использовала старый шаблон.</p>	
<p>Timeline for Conformance:</p>	<p>By the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12 months from report finalisation date By the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12 months from report finalisation date</p>
<p>Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:</p>	<p><i>Click or tap here to enter description provided by Company to close the NC.</i></p>

Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	<i>Click or tap here to enter findings for evaluation of evidence by the auditor.</i>
NC Status:	OpenOpen

NC number 03/1803/18	NC Grading: MajorMajor
Standard & Requirement:	<p>Standard #5: Collection of Data for Energy and Carbon Balance Calculations Standard # 5: Collection of Data for Energy and Carbon Balance Calculations</p> <p>5.5.1 The BP shall record the electricity consumed during the Reporting Period, stated as kWh per tonne of biomass output</p> <p>5.5.4 Where data is not available (such as during the commissioning of plants), estimates from design parameters can be used. The BP shall justify the use of any nominal values to the CB, and this shall be recorded in the SAR</p>
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
<p>The BP has the electricity meter designated only for pellet production factory, but data were not registered in revision period. The BP used theoretical approach instead to enable exclusion of non-□biomass related consumption from biomass related consumption. But the BP was not able to justify provided calculations during audit. Ehe BP shall record the KWh based on the data available from their installed electricity meter Auditor has not approved provided SAR. У производителя имеется отдельный счетчик электричества на пеллетном производстве. Однако показания с данного счетчика не снимаются. Вместо этого организация предоставила теоретические расчеты электроэнергии, чтобы рассчитать затраты электричества только для пеллетного производства. Однако организация не смогла обосновать/подтвердить расчетные данные во время аудита. Аудитор не утвердил предоставленный SAR. Производитель должен записывать фактические показания счетчика, установленного на пеллетном производстве.ion. But the BP was not able to justify provided calculations during audit. Auditor has not approved provided SAR. У производителя имеется отдельный счетчик электричества на пеллетном производстве. Однако показания с данного счетчика не снимаются. Вместо этого организация предоставила теоретические расчеты электроэнергии, чтобы рассчитать затраты электричества только для пеллетного производства. Однако организация не смогла обосновать/подтвердить расчетные данные во время аудита. Аудитор не утвердил предоставленный SAR. The BP has the electricity meter designated only for pellet production factory, but data were not registered in revision period. The BP used theoretical approach instead to enable exclusion of non-□biomass related consumption from biomass related consumption. But the BP was not able to justify provided calculations during audit. Ehe BP shall record the KWh based on the data available from their installed electricity meter Auditor has not approved provided SAR. У производителя имеется отдельный счетчик электричества на пеллетном производстве. Однако показания с данного счетчика не снимаются. Вместо этого организация предоставила теоретические расчеты электроэнергии, чтобы рассчитать затраты электричества только для пеллетного производства. Однако организация не смогла обосновать/подтвердить расчетные данные во время аудита. Аудитор не утвердил предоставленный SAR. Производитель должен записывать фактические показания счетчика, установленного на пеллетном производстве. The BP has the electricity meter designated only for pellet production factory, but data were not registered in revision period. The BP used theoretical approach instead to enable exclusion of non-□biomass related consumption from biomass related consumpt</p>	

Timeline for Conformance:	3 months from the report finalisation 3 months from the report finalisation В течении трех месяцев с даты утверждения отчета
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	Electricity consumption records Electricity consumption records. SAR report updated
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	The BP has started to record factual electricity consumption in September 2018. Two-month electricity consumption records was presented to the auditor. The data was verified by cross-checking with bookkeeping system.
NC Status:	Open Closed Closed

CLOSED NON-CONFORMANCES

NCR: 01/17	NC Classification: minor
Standard & Requirement:	Standard #2: Verification of SBP-compliant feedstock requirement 7.1 The BP shall prepare a Supply Base Report (SBR) which shall be made readily accessible on the BP's website. Commercially sensitive and confidential information may be excluded from the SBR
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
The BP hasn't published updated SBR on their own homepage. Организация не поместила обновленный Отчет о ресурсной базе на свой сайт	
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next annual surveillance audit, but not later than 12 months from report finalisation date
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	Internet site http://stolbzyles.by/certification/
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	In revision period the BP has published SBR on their own homepage for the last and previous revision periods. Организация разместила отчеты о ресурсной базе на своем сайте за последний и предпоследний ревизионный периоды.
NCR Status:	Closed

11 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's quality review, the following certification decision is taken:	
Certification decision:	Certification approved
Certification decision by (name of the person):	Pilar Gorría Serrano
Date of decision:	15/Nov/2018
Other comments:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>