

NEPCon Evaluation of Skeena Bioenergy Ltd. Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

First Surveillance Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.4

*For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see
www.sbp-cert.org*

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1: published 30 January 2018

Version 1.2: published 4 April 2018

Version 1.3: published 10 May 2018

Version 1.4: published 16 August 2018

© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2018

Table of Contents

1	Overview
2	Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate
3	Specific objective
4	SBP Standards utilised
4.1	SBP Standards utilised
4.2	SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment
5	Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management
5.1	Description of Company
5.2	Description of Company's Supply Base
5.3	Detailed description of Supply Base
5.4	Chain of Custody system
6	Evaluation process
6.1	Timing of evaluation activities
6.2	Description of evaluation activities
6.3	Process for consultation with stakeholders
7	Results
7.1	Main strengths and weaknesses
7.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation
7.3	Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions
7.4	Competency of involved personnel
7.5	Stakeholder feedback
7.6	Preconditions
8	Review of Company's Risk Assessments
9	Review of Company's mitigation measures
10	Non-conformities and observations
11	Certification recommendation

1 Overview

CB Name and contact:	NEPCon OÜ, Filosoofi 31, 50108 Tartu, Estonia
Primary contact for SBP:	Ondrej Tarabus otarabus@nepcon.org, +420 606 730 382
Current report completion date:	23/Apr/2020
Report authors: :	Christian Rahbek, Yves Bouthillier
Name of the Company:	Skeena Bioenergy, 5330 Highway 16, Terrace, BC V8G 0C6, Canada
Company contact for SBP:	Roger Keery, tel. 1-604-800-5990, roger.keery@skeenasawmills.com
Certified Supply Base:	Province of British Columbia, Canada
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-07-21
Date of certificate issue:	29/Apr/2019
Date of certificate expiry:	28/Apr/2024

This report relates to the First Surveillance Audit

2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

The certificate scope covers Skeena Bioenergy production site and office in 5330 Highway 16, Terrace, BC V8G 0C6, Canada

The Organisation holds PEFC Chain of Custody certificate NC-PEFC/COC-053674. The certificate covers both PEFC certification as well as PEFC Controlled Sources certification and controlled wood verification system for feedstock.

Skeena Bioenergy sources raw material secondary and primary feedstock from a small number of suppliers in the province of British Columbia, Canada. The feedstock itself originates in the province of British Columbia. The BP primarily sources secondary feedstock as PEFC certified or PEFC Controlled Sources, but has also a small volume as Controlled Sources under own PEFC DDS.

The BP sells the wood pellets on FOB-rail conditions in a railyard nearby the production site. There is no storage of pellets downstream of the production process under the BP's ownership.

The scope of the SBP certificate covers: Production of wood pellets for use in energy production. The scope of the certificate does not include Supply Base Evaluation.

3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of the planned production processes,
- Production and loading site visits;
- Review of PEFC Chain of Custody system control points, analysis of the PEFC CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff and review of records of the system;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients;
- analysis of GHG data collection and reporting system;

4 SBP Standards utilised

4.1 SBP Standards utilised

Please select all SBP Standards used during this evaluation. All Standards can be accessed and downloaded from <https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards>

- SBP Framework Standard 1: Feedstock Compliance Standard (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- SBP Framework Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- SBP Framework Standard 4: Chain of Custody (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- SBP Framework Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)

4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment

Not applicable. Supply Base Evaluation is not covered by the Scope of the Evaluation

5 Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management

5.1 Description of Company

Skeena Bioenergy operates a pellet mill and acts as a Biomass Producer with production of wood pellets from secondary and primary feedstock in the town of Terrace, British Columbia, Canada. Since the SBP main assessment audit in early 2019 the biomass processing plant was hit by a fire in May 2019, which has meant the process of commissioning was restarted, and regular production has only occurred since mid-August 2019. The vast majority of the feedstock for the production of wood pellets is sourced as secondary feedstock from a sawmill located next to the pellet mill. The feedstock includes material from a Supply Base consisting areas fully contained within the province of British Columbia.

The BP is primarily sourcing secondary feedstock with a PEFC certification claim but has also sourced a small proportion of primary feedstock as SBP controlled feedstock within the organization's PEFC Due Diligence system.

The scope of the certification does not include the use of storage sites, and all SBP sales are finalized FOB-rail at the railyard in Kitsumkalum app. 4 km from the pellet mill. Feedstock to the biomass production plant is delivered by road transport – bulk carrier trucks. Finished pellets are transported by bulk carrier trucks to the railyard site where pellets are loaded directly onto railway cars with no intermediate storage.

The information about feedstock origin is available from agreements signed with all feedstock suppliers with requirement to provide the access to the information about origin, and also through the Timbermark associated with each load, which is tracked in the Lumber Inventory Management System (LIMS).

The BP is implementing PEFC percentage volume system. The amount of the PEFC certified biomass produced according to PEFC volume credit system can be sold as SBP-compliant biomass.

5.2 Description of Company's Supply Base

The vast majority of feedstock for the production of wood pellets is sourced as secondary feedstock from one primary wood processor, located next to the BP in the Terrace, province of British Columbia. The feedstock includes material from a Supply Base consisting of defined area within the province of British Columbia. The BP has also sourced a small proportion of Controlled Sources primary feedstock under their PEFC DDS.

All forests within the supply base area are classified the Temperate Conifer Forest region and none of the forest types include classified CITES or IUCN species. Certain fauna and plant species are listed as either endangered or threatened in the supply base by federal and provincial sources. Forest operations are bound by provincial and federal legislation associated with forestry practices including listed species at risk. This legal framework is enforced to prevent and deter illegal harvesting within protected areas and unadopted practices associated with species at risk habitat.

Characterisation of the Supply Base Area: **8,135,589** ha of forest.

- a. Total Supply Base area (ha): cumulative area of all forest types within SB – **8, 135, 589 ha**
- b. Tenure by type (ha): privately owned/public/community concession
 - i. Crown Land: **7, 725, 822 ha**
 - ii. Federal Land: **40, 044 ha**
 - iii. Private Land: **312, 761 ha**
 - iv. Unknown: **690 ha**

NOTE: Within the above listed 'tenure type' – the following protected areas exist:

 - i. BC Parks, Protected Areas, Ecoreserves – **348, 138 ha**
 - ii. NGO Conservation Areas (Fee Simple Properties) – **397 ha**
 - iii. Conservancy Areas: **894, 796 ha**
 - iv. Conservation Lands: **18, 145 ha**
- c. Forest by type (ha):
 - I. Biome:
 - i. Temperate Coniferous → **7, 134, 101 ha**
 - ii. Tundra → **777, 770 ha**
 - II. Ecoregions:
 - i. British Columbia mainland coastal forests: **4, 878, 235 ha**
 - ii. Central British Columbia Mountain forests: **154, 884 ha**
 - iii. Fraser Plateau and Basin complex: **277, 760 ha**
 - iv. Northern transitional alpine forests: **1, 823, 221 ha**
 - v. Pacific Coastal Mountain icefields and tundra: **777, 770 ha**
 - vi. Rock and Ice: **69, 110 ha**
- d. Forest by management type (ha): plantation/managed natural/natural – **100% natural managed forests**

Distribution of forests according to certification scheme (ha): (e.g. hectares of FSC or PEFC-certified forest)

- e. Certified forest by scheme¹ (ha): (e.g. hectares of FSC or PEFC-certified forest)
 - i. TSAs within the SBA

TSA	Certification	Non-certified (%)	Certified (%)
Bulkley TSA	SFI	29.42	70.58
Cascadia TSA	SFI	Not Available	Not Available
GBR North TSA	SFI	33.26	66.74
Kalum TSA	SFI	73.03	26.97
Kispiox TSA	SFI	76.61	23.39
Nass TSA	SFI	53.65	46.35
Pacific TSA	SFI	Not available	Not available

- ii. TFL Certification within SBA

TFL #	Certification	Company	Non-Certified (%)	Certified (%)
TFL1	-	-	0.00	0.00
TFL41	SFI	Skeena Sawmills Ltd.	0.00	100.00

Timber Supply Area (TSA) and Timber Farm License (TFL) are two processes managed by the provincial government to grant timber access to the forest industry plants. The TSA designations ensure BC Timber Sales has sufficient allowable annual cut allocation. The TFL grants exclusive rights to harvest timber and manage and conserve forest resources within a defined area of land.

5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

Characterisation of the Supply Base Area: 8,135,589 ha of forest.

Tenure by type (ha): 7725.82 million ha Crown ownership, 312.76 thousand ha private forests, 40.04 thousand ha Federal land

Forest by type (ha): Temperate Coniferous: 7.13 mill ha, Tundra: 777.77 thousand ha

Forest by management type (ha): 18.3 million ha managed natural

Certified forest by scheme (ha): total certified area 4.5 million ha SFI, 1.69 million ha PEFC

¹ <http://certificationcanada.org/index.php/maps-en/provincial/bc>

5.4 Chain of Custody system

The Organisation holds PEFC Chain of Custody certificate NC-PEFC/COC-053674 and the SBP chain of custody is based on the PEFC CoC system. The Biomass Producer's PEFC Chain of Custody system is based on the Volume Credit method, and all inputs to the production shall either be received as XX% PEFC certified or sourced as PEFC Controlled Sources under the BP's PEFC Due Diligence System. <https://www.pefc.org/find-certified/company/1159288>

The organisation is producing wood pellets primarily from PEFC certified secondary feedstock (residues of primary wood processing), but also from a small percentage of primary feedstock in the form of so-called bush grind, which are forestry residues, sourced as controlled sources under THE PEFC DDS. A PEFC mass balance system (Volume Credit system) is used for accounting of feedstock with 100% PEFC claim.

After the reception, incoming feedstock is weighed, moisture determined, accepted feedstock unloaded into piles according to type of feedstock and is registered into the recordkeeping system.

Moisture and weight is measured for each feedstock type. PEFC mass balance accounts are updated once in a month: data about received raw materials by xx% PEFC certified material certification status and volume of sold pellets as 100% PEFC are recorded.

In case of the PEFC and / or SBP sales, the corresponding volume of sold pellets is withdrawn from the credit account.

6 Evaluation process

6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

The first annual surveillance auditing process was conducted in accordance with the audit agenda described below, which had been provided to the BP prior to the audit. No supplier visits had been conducted since the BP is sourcing the feedstock from sawmill which is related company and many of the staff share responsibilities, and the remaining material had been sourced as PEFC Controlled Sources and data available was sufficient to prove origin..

In total 2 days were spent for the annual surveillance audit: 1.5 days onsite (February 25 and 26, 2020) and 0.5 day for the document review. Most of the audit activities took place on the 25th, with some minor follow-up, phone interviews with sales staff and the official closing meeting taking place in the morning of February 26, 2020.

Audit plan for the main part of the first annual surveillance evaluation is placed below.

Activity	Location	Auditor(s)	Date/time
Opening meeting*	Main Office	CAR + YB	25/02/2020 9.00 - 9.30
Documents and procedures review, including review of: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supply Base Report and annual update 2020 2. Management System for SBP and PEFC 3. Review of Internal audit reports and management review 4. Training records 5. Review of corrective actions toward non-conformities identified during last years audit 	Office	CAR + YB	9:30 - 11.30
Interview with Purchasing department representative <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review of supplier lists 2. Review of supplier certificates and validation procedures 3. Review of Feedstock purchase invoices and transport documents 	Purchasing department	CAR + YB	11:30 - 12:00
Lunch break			12:00 – 13:00

Site tour: Interview with feedstock reception department, production and shipping. Inspection of installations, comparison with SAR technical data	Production facilities, Offices	CAR + YB	13:00 - 14:00
Interview with Sales and Marketing department representatives: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Preparation of invoices for SBP and PEFC wood pellets 2. Review of sample of issued invoices 3. Use of DTS for SPB claims 4. SBP and PEFC Trademark use <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	Sales department	CAR + YB	14:00 - 14:30
Review of „SAR“ and GHG calculations, including review of documentation: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Production records 2. Records of and invoices for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ electricity ○ diesel ○ natural gas ○ propane ○ biomass (for drying) 3. Samples of transport documents / invoices (finished products) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	Office	CAR + YB	14:30 – 16:30
Preliminary closing meeting	Office	CAR + YB	16:30 – 17:00

6.2 Description of evaluation activities

The audit was conducted by this NEPCon audit team:

Name	Qualification	Role/focus in evaluation
Christian Rahbek	M.Sc. (Forestry) from University of Copenhagen. Has passed NEPCon Lead Auditor Training for FSC and PEFC FM and CoC certification and has passed SBP Lead Auditor exam. Experience from more than 300 FSC, PEFC and SBP audits in Denmark, Europe and Canada.	Lead auditor. Responsible for all aspects of the evaluation
Yves Bouthillier	Yves is an FSC lead auditor for Forest Management, Chain of Custody and Controlled Wood, and also a SAS CoC lead auditor. Since he began his career at RA-Cert in January 2014, Yves has completed over 50 FSC Forest Management and Chain of Custody audits (as of December 2018).	Auditor-in-Training

	<p>He completed a Baccalaureate in Biology, with a focus on Conservation and Environment at Laval University and also obtained a Master's in Forest Ecology at the Research Centre on Water, Earth, and the Environment of the INRS University.</p>	
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

The audit began with an opening meeting on Tuesday, February 25 at 9:00 - 9:30 with attendance from the General Manager, the Plant Superintendent and overall responsible, operational and administration staff with all daily responsibilities for the BP's CoC and SBP procedures. During the opening meeting the audit team introduced themselves, presented the audit aims and objectives, clarified the audit methodology and clarified the scope of the audit, as well as rehearsed the audit agenda and discussed the related practicalities.

After the opening meeting auditor went through all applicable requirements of the SBP standards nr.2, 4, 5 and instruction document 5E covering input clarification, existing chain of custody and controlled wood system, management system, CoC, record keeping/mass balance requirements, emission and energy data and categorisation of input and verification of SBP compliant and SBP Controlled feedstock/ biomass. During the process, overall responsible person for SBP system and over responsible staff as well as other staff having responsibilities within the system were interviewed.

The audit included review of documents check of calculations in regard to the GHG emission data reported by the BP. All SBP related documentation, including SBP Procedures, GHG data calculations/ data sheet, Supply Base Reports, SAR, and PEFC system description was provided by the organization in advance as well as were reviewed during the desk verification conducted prior to the audit. Overall changes and the plant fire incident was discussed.

The audit also included a site tour, with review of production facilities and equipment, review of feedstock reception process, feedstock storage and final product storage. Interviews were conducted with all staff relevant to the critical control points and key responsibilities in relation to the reception, production, storage and sales of the certified products. Attention was focused on practical implementation aspects of the SBP system, review of documents and system, evaluation of input material classification (reception and registration), analysis of the critical control points in existing CoC system and PEFC system control points as well as correctness and availability of GHG data. A visit to the rail yard in Kitsumkalum, app. 4 km from the pellet mill was also conducted.

The audit was concluded in the morning of Wednesday February 26 with a closing meeting with attendance by the General Manager (online), the overall responsible and plant superintendent. At the closing meeting the audit team presented the audit findings and the audit conclusion was provided to the overall responsible and other meeting attendants. During the closing meeting the auditor also presented a few points for follow-up.

6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

No stakeholder consultation was conducted as a part of this annual surveillance audit.

7 Results

7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Strengths: SBP system elements are in the process of implementation at the time of the surveillance audit. Small number of the management staff and clearly designated responsibilities within the staff members. Experienced and qualified staff. Feedstock delivered with PEFC certification claim only. Strong reliance on measurement-based data acquisition and reporting. In overall the main strength of the BP is in relatively simple scope and use of secondary feedstock, which is all supposed to be received as PEFC Certified and therefore can be sourced without the need for a Supply Base Evaluation. There is access to necessary information regarding the Forest Districts / TSA area of origin through own records, publicly available records of felling permits and the tool www.woodsupplychain.com. With this, the geographical location of the stand can be confirmed as being inside the defined Supply Base.

Weaknesses: No non-conformities (NCRs) were identified during the audit process, but since the plant had been shut down for several months due to a fire, the energy and GHG data had been collected only for a reporting period from August 22 until January 16, 2020.

7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

N/A - Supply Base Evaluation is not included in the scope of the certification.

7.3 Collection and Communication of Data

The data had been provided prior to the audit and verified and validated at the time of audit. The plant had been shut down for several months due to a fire, the energy and GHG data had been collected only for a reporting period from August 22 until January 16, 2020.

The BP mainly uses secondary feedstock, with a small additional amount of primary feedstock in the form of forestry residues. The BP has documentation and justifications for energy and fuel use in the production of pellets, and a simple transport scheme with endpoints at the BP pellet mill in Terrace, B.C. and at the Kitsumkalum railyard in Terrace, BC. The accuracy and completeness of GHG data is evaluated as average since the plant has been out of commission due to a fire, and the fuel use for the loaders used on site had relied on justified assumptions, rather than actual metering.

7.4 Competency of involved personnel

During the audit responsibilities and competencies of staff members involved in the SBP system management and maintenance, were evaluated.

The harvesting supervisor has been appointed overall responsible for the SBP and PEFC CoC systems, while the plant superintendent was found to have detailed knowledge of all aspects of the feedstock sourcing, pellet production and final product characteristics and logistics. The harvesting supervisor and plant superintendent

are supported by administrative staff, and good understanding of own procedures and standard requirements were found throughout.

7.5 Stakeholder feedback

Neither the organization nor NEPCon had received any comments from stakeholders at the time of the main assessment.

7.6 Preconditions

There are no open preconditions to the certification.

8 Review of Company's Risk Assessments

Describe how the Certification Body assessed risk for the Indicators. Summarise the CB's final risk ratings in Table 1, together with the Company's final risk ratings. Default for each indicator is 'Low', click on the rating to change. Note: this summary should show the risk ratings before AND after the SVP has been performed and after any mitigation measures have been implemented.

Not applicable. The organisation does not apply Supply Base Evaluation.

9 Review of Company's mitigation measures

Not applicable. The organisation does not apply Supply Base Evaluation.

10 Non-conformities and observations

Identify all non-conformities and observations raised/closed during the evaluation (a tabular format below may be used here). Please use as many copies of the table as needed. For each, give details to include at least the following:

- applicable requirement(s)
- grading of the non-conformity (major or minor) or observation with supporting rationale
- timeframe for resolution of the non-conformity
- a statement as to whether the non-conformity is likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks.

NC number 01/19 (30126)	NC Grading: Minor
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard 4, p. 5.4.1 5.4.1 Biomass supplied with an SBP claim shall, in addition to meeting the requirements specified in the SBP-approved CoC system being implemented, be supplied with the following information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) The name and address of the buyer; b) The date on which the invoice was issued; c) A description of the product – this must correspond to the description of the product given in the input and output records d) The quantity of the products sold with specific batch data
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
Special requirements for sales documentation are defined in the BP’s documented procedure (section 4 and 5) “PEFC Chain of Custody & SBP Procedures / Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification & Sustainable Biomass Program”, which contains provisions for both PEFC CoC sales documents and SBP sales documents. Requirements for SBP sales documents includes the essentials outlined in the standard indicator except the requirement to meet requirements of SBP-approved CoC system (PEFC). Review of sample (mock) sales invoice for SBP-Compliant biomass sales reveal lacking “xx% PEFC certified” claim that shall accompany SBP-Compliant Biomass invoices. See mock invoice in Exhibit 10. A minor NCR 01/19 raised due to lack of clear certification claim specification for SBP sales documents in organization’s documented procedures.	
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12 months from report finalisation date
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	The BP’s invoices where found to include <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) The name and address of the buyer; b) The date on which the invoice was issued; c) A description of the product – this must correspond to the description of the product given in the input and output records SBP has since last year’s main assessment audit clarified that: “Section 5.5.3 SBP claims on invoices and delivery notes Clarification: The SBP claim does not have to be included on the sales and delivery documentation. Biomass supplied with an SBP claim in

	the DTS shall be identifiable as such on sales and delivery documentation. Transactions recorded in the DTS shall be referenced to sales and delivery documentation, for example through invoice numbers. Where sales and delivery documentation include biomass with an SBP claim and also other products the referencing shall ensure that biomass supplied with an SBP-claim is clearly identifiable.”
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	Auditor finds that the BP is now in compliance with the current standards and interpretations and the NCR is closed on this background.
NC Status:	Closed

NC number 02/19 (30127)	NC Grading: Minor
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard 5, Instruction document 5B (ver. 1.1), p. 14.2 14.1 The BP shall record the electricity consumed during the Reporting Period, stated as kWh per tonne of biomass output. (5b, 5.5.1) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Electricity consumption can be excluded if appropriate metering is in place to enable exclusion of non-biomass related consumption from biomass related consumption. However, if such additional meters are not available, a theoretical approach can be used to allocate the power to the different uses; ▪ Ancillary facilities (for example, Offices, cafeterias, workshops, site lighting, laboratories) can be excluded only where this consumption would have occurred in the absence of biomass production.
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
No data on actual electrical energy consumption are available. The BP has provided an estimate on energy use based on the engineering specifications – the power data of equipment and projected operation time of the machinery. Detailed calculation of the electrical energy consumption is provided in SAR document, section 2 Energy Use. There is one meter of electrical energy installed at the facility. Electrical energy consumption data for ancillary facilities (office, laboratory, site lighting) were not considered at the time of audit and is not available. The estimated amount of electrical energy consumed by office facilities, has not been measured or calculated and deducted from the total estimated consumed electrical energy.	
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12 months from report finalisation date
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	The BP has provided electricity invoice covering all electricity consumption at the pellet mill. The figures are complete and all electricity consumption that can be attributed to the pellet production is correctly included in the data provided.

Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	Auditor finds that the figures stated for electricity consumption are complete, and the NCR is closed on this background.
NC Status:	Closed

NC number 03/19 (30127)	NC Grading: Minor
Standard & Requirement:	SBP Standard 2, p. 19.2: The SBR shall be signed off by senior management in all cases.
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
The Supply Base Report is not signed by senior management of the Organization, the Plant Manager	
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12 months from report finalisation date
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	The SBR has been signed by senior management. See Exhibit 4
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	Auditor finds that the SBR signed by senior management is sufficient, and the NCR is closed on this background.
NC Status:	Closed

11 Certification decision

Based on the auditor’s recommendation and the Certification Body’s quality review, the following certification decision is taken:	
Certification decision:	Certification approved
Certification decision by (name of the person):	Olesja Puiso
Date of decision:	23/Apr/2020
Other comments:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>