

NEPCon Evaluation of Yugum-Drev», LLC (Gronov village) Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

Main (Initial) Audit

www.sbp-cert.org





Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.4

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1: published 30 January 2018

Version 1.2: published 4 April 2018

Version 1.3: published 10 May 2018

Version 1.4: published 16 August 2018

© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2018



Table of Contents

- 1 Overview
- 2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate
- 3 Specific objective
- 4 SBP Standards utilised
- 4.1 SBP Standards utilised
- 4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment
- 5 Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management
- 5.1 Description of Company
- 5.2 Description of Company's Supply Base
- 5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base
- 5.4 Chain of Custody system
- 6 Evaluation process
- 6.1 Timing of evaluation activities
- 6.2 Description of evaluation activities
- 6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders
- 7 Results
- 7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses
- 7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation
- 7.3 Collection and Communication of Data
- 7.4 Competency of involved personnel
- 7.5 Stakeholder feedback
- 7.6 Preconditions
- 8 Review of Company's Risk Assessments
- 9 Review of Company's mitigation measures
- 10 Non-conformities and observations
- 11 Certification decision



1 Overview

CB Name and contact: NEPCon OÜ, Filosoofi 31, 50108 Tartu, Estonia

Primary contact for SBP: Ondrej Tarabus otarabus@nepcon.org, +420 606 730 382

Current report completion date: 07/Apr/2020

Report authors: : Aliaksandr Zubkevich

Name of the Company: Yugum-Drev», LLC (Gronov village), 213533 Republic of Belarus, Mogilev

region, Cherikov district, Gronov village, Naberezhnaya street 105, office 2

Company contact for SBP: Vladimir Shelepa, Deputy director Tel. +375 29 663 20 41, e-mail:

yugum@mail.bn.by

Certified Supply Base: sourcing from Republic of Belarus

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-07-81

Date of certificate issue: 07/Apr/2020

Date of certificate expiry: 06/Apr/2025

This report relates to the Main (Initial) Audit



2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

The certificate scope covers the office and production site located in Cherikov district, Gronov village Mogilev region, Belarus.

Scope description: Production of wood pellets in Mogilev region, Belarus, for use in energy production and its transportation by rail to Belarusian/Latvian border, Bigosovo railway station, and FCA Cherikov (gate of the BP). The scope of the certificate does not include Supply Base Evaluation. The scope includes communication of Dynamic Batch Sustainability Data.



3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of the production processes, production site visit;
- Review of FSC system control points, analysis of the existing FSC CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients;
- GHG data collection analysis
- Assess compliance against Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data



4 SBP Standards utilised

4.1 SBP Standards utilised

Please select all SBP Standards used during this evaluation. All Standards can be accessed and downloaded from https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards

- ☐ SBP Framework Standard 1: Feedstock Compliance Standard (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- ☑ SBP Framework Standard 4: Chain of Custody (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)

4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment

Not applicable



5 Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management

5.1 Description of Company

«Yugum-Drev», LLC has sawmill and two pellet production plants. The office, accountant and all documents are in Gronovo village. This report covers pellet plant located in village Gronovo. Total annual production capacity of pellet plant is expected to be 5600 tones.

The own sawmill residues (sawdust, wood chips made from slab wood) are used in pellet production as well as used for the drier. The BP also source sawdust from one FSC certified external sawmill.

The roundwood for sawmill originates from FSC certified forest management units from Belarus, also some volume was purchased as non-certified from small private companies.

The BP implements FSC transfer system and produced biomass sold with FSC 100% claim. Non certified feedstock is stored separately with sign "Not certified" and is segregated during all the production and storage processes and is sold as non-certified.

The biomass is expected to be transported by rail to Belarusian/Latvian border, Bigosovo railway station as well as sold at factory gate.

Pellet plant was commissioned in 2010.

5.2 Description of Company's Supply Base

For the production of certified pellets certified raw materials (sawdust and wood chips) with FSC 100% clams was used in reporting. The secondary feedstock is delivered by 1 supplier as well as sourced from own sawmill. SBP-compliant secondary feedstock – 95% of the total amount of raw materials used during reporting period. The following tree species are used: Picea abies (L.) Pinus sylvestris (L.); but maybe be also Bétula (L.), Álnus (L.), Pópulus trémula (L.).

In the Republic of Belarus, forests are one of the main renewable natural resources and the most important national wealth. The total land area of the forest fund is 9.582 million hectares. Forest-covered lands occupy 8.26 million hectares. Forest cover of the territory of the Republic of Belarus reached 39.8%. The total standing stock of wood stands at 1,796 million cubic meters, including 296 million cubic meters of ripe and mature plantings. As a result of focused work on the reproduction of forests, the area covered by forests is increasing. So, over the past 60 years, the forest cover of the republic has almost doubled and reached its maximum values for more than a century. The increase is occurring both naturally and due to afforestation of badlands unsuitable for agriculture. In Belarus, along with an increase in the total area of the forest fund, a steady growth in the areas of ripening, ripe and overripe stands is observed. The share component of ripe and mature forests is 14.7%. The average age of stands is 56 years.

In the forests of Belarus 28 species of trees and about 70 species of shrubs grow. The most common tree species are: ordinary pine - 50.3%, birch - 23.2%, European spruce - 9.2%, black alder - 8.5%, oak - 3.4%, aspen - 2.1%.

Depending on the functions performed, the lands of the forest fund are divided into forests of the first and second groups. The first group includes specially protected natural territories, the share of which is 52%, the second group includes production forests intended for timber harvesting (48%).

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions



In accordance with the legislation of the Republic of Belarus, all the lands of the forest fund are in state ownership and transferred to the use and management of state forestry institutions. Forest management in Belarus is carried out according to the principle continuity and inexhaustibility. The average annual wood harvest is about 18 million cubic meters per year, of which:

- · main cutting (in ripe stands) 40%;
- thinning and sanitary felling (in young, middle-aged and ripening stands 48%);
- other felling 12%.

Ensuring high-quality reproduction of forest resources and protective afforestation is a prerequisite for the use of forests. So in 2018 reforestation and afforestation carried out on a total area of 41.82 thousand hectares, including 34.8 thousand ha of new forests laid due to sowing and planting forests.

When harvesting wood, according to the forest legislation of the Republic of Belarus, individuals listed in the Red Book and their habitats are subject to conservation. Cutting of valuable, endangered and specially protected tree species is prohibited.

In Belarus there are two republican reserves - the Berezinsky Biosphere Reserve (85.2 thousand ha) and the Polessky State Radiation and Ecological Reserve (216.1 thousand ha), and four national parks - BelovezhskayaPushcha (152.962 thousand ha), Braslav Lakes (69.115 thousand hectares), Narochansky (93.3 thousand hectares) and Pripyatsky (85.841 thousand hectares), 334 reserves of republican and local significance and 874 natural monuments.

Forest certification is an effective tool to combat illegal logging and illegal timber trafficking. Two schemes of forest certification have found their place in the Republic of Belarus - the forest certification system FSC (Forest Stewardship Council) and the forest certification system of the National Conformity Certification System, recognized by the Pan-European Forest Certification Council (PEFC).

In Belarus, the forest industry consists of forestry (13.5%), woodworking (69.5%) and pulp and paper industry (16.4%). The woodworking industry is one of the largest industries in Belarus. Woodworking accounts for approximately 2% of the total manufacturing industry of the Republic of Belarus. Forest share industry in the country's GDP is approximately 1.1%. Timber products and services are exported to 30 countries. No CITES species are identified within the supply base.

5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

Total Supply Base area (ha): 9,582 mln. ha
Tenure by type (ha): public 9,582 mln. ha
Forest by type (ha): temperate 9,582 mln. ha

Forest by management type (ha): managed natural 9,582 mln. ha Certified forest by scheme (ha): 9,4 mln. ha FSC-certified forest

Detailed information about BP's supply base may be found in their Supply Base Report available in Internet: https://yugum-drev.by/books/

5.4 Chain of Custody system

The BP holds valid FSC Chain of certificate

https://info.fsc.org/details.php?id=a023300000fr3u0AAA&type=certificate

SBP Sustainable Biomass Program

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions

BP implements FSC transfer system of claims. The input material used by the Organisation for biomass production contains only secondary feedstock - sawdust and chips for pellet production and for dryer. Secondary feedstock (sawdust and wood chips) is sourced both from own production as well as from external suppliers. The BP sourced for pellet production FSC 100% feedstock. The organization has the segregation system in place. Physical separation is implemented – FSC certified raw material is stored in special place and processed separately in time when production line is cleaned of non-certified product, final products are segregated also. Incoming sawdust/ wood chips reception register, and supplier list are maintained. All material is checked during the arrival and correctly recorded in the internal system.



6 Evaluation process

6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

Onsite assessment was conducted on 31.03.2020 (7h). Evaluation activities included documents review at office, inspection of production facilities and staff interviews. Document review was conducted during 1 hours on 30.03.2020.

| Activity | Location | Date/time |
|--|-----------------------|-------------|
| Opening meeting and brief documents review. | Office in Gronov | 31/03/2020 |
| | | 10.00-10.20 |
| Documents and procedures review (feedstock inputs, SBR, CoC control system and critical control points (CCP), compliance with legal requirements, H&S), staff interview. | Office in Gronov | 31/03/2020 |
| | | 10.20-15.00 |
| Chain of custody review (site tour); staff interview, evaluation of CCP | Production facilities | 31/03/2020 |
| | | 15.00-16.30 |
| Closing meeting | Office in Gronov | 31/03/2020 |
| | | 16.30-17.00 |

6.2 Description of evaluation activities

Composition of audit team:

| Auditor(s), roles | Qualifications |
|------------------------|---|
| Aliaksandr Zubkevich | Mr Aliaksandr Zubkevich has education of engineer-economist in timber industry. |
| Lead auditor | He had postgraduate study at the Belarusian State Technological University. A. |
| Evaluation against all | Zubkevich has passed FSC CoC/ FM lead auditor training course, Legal Source, |
| applicable | ISO 14001 and SBP training coursed. Previous experience in woodworking |
| requirements | industry and SBP pre-assessment and assessments in Belarus. |

The evaluation visit was focused on management system evaluation: division of the responsibilities, document and system, input material classification (reception and registration), analysis of the existing FSC system and FSC system critical control points as well as GHG data availability.

Focusing on sustainable sourcing solutions



Description of the audit evaluation:

All SBP related documentation connected to the SBP as well as FSC CoC system of the organisation, including SBP Procedure, SAR and GHG data calculations, Supply Base Report and FSC system description was provided by the company prior the assessment. Assessment started with an opening meeting attended by the representatives from Organisation's management and staff.

Auditor introduced himself, provided information about audit plan, methodology, auditor qualification, confidentiality issues, and assessment methodology and clarified certification scope. During the opening meeting the auditor explained CB's approval related issues.

After that auditor went through all applicable requirements of the SBP standards nr. 2, 4, 5 and instruction document 5E covering input clarification, existing chain of custody system, management system, CoC, recordkeeping/mass balance requirements, emission and energy data and categorisation of input and verification of SBP-compliant biomass. During the process, overall responsible person for SBP system and other staff were interviewed.

Then a roundtrip around BP's pellet production was undertaken. During the site tour, applicable records were reviewed, staff was interviewed and FSC system critical control points were analysed.

At the end of the assessment findings were summarised and assessment conclusions based on use of 3 angle evaluation method were provided to the management and SBP responsible person.

Impartiality commitment: NEPCon commits to using impartial auditors and our clients are encouraged to inform NEPCon management if violations of this are noted. Please see our Impartiality Policy here: http://www.nepcon.org/impartiality-policy

6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

The stakeholder consultation was carried out on 13.02.2020 by sending direct email to different stakeholder categories (more than 120 recipients). No comments from the stakeholders have been received. List of informed stakeholders includes such groups of stakeholders as FSC National Initiative, environmental and social NGOs, FSC-certified companies in the region, scientific and educational entities, indigenous peoples' communities (where applicable), state forestry authorities, trade unions etc.



7 Results

7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Strengths: Use of the FSC transfer system. Effective recordkeeping system. Small number of the management staff and clearly designated responsibilities within the staff members.

Weaknesses: No significant weaknesses identified by auditor. See also NCR section of the report.

7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

Not applicable.

7.3 Collection and Communication of Data

The following energy sources are used by BP: electricity for pellet production; diesel for feedstock handling, biomass transportation to railway station. Electricity consumption value is based invoicing from supplier; diesel consumption value is based on accounting system as well as theoretically calculated.

7.4 Competency of involved personnel

Overall, BP staff showed good understanding of knowledge of all applicable SBP requirements. The following key staff members are involved to SBP certification: SBP related staff responsibilities are presented in Section 4 of the SBP Procedure. Interviewed staff was well familiar with their responsibilities. Generally, very few staff members are involved into SBP certification: SBP responsible/deputy director (maintaining of the management system, staff training, volume recording, DTS), chief of production of pellet plant (moisture measurements, weight of biomass produced), accountant (performance of incoming and outcoming invoices and transport documents). Prior SBP assessment, BP was supported by external consultant.

7.5 Stakeholder feedback

No feedback from stakeholders have been received prior, during and after this assessment.

7.6 Preconditions

None



8 Review of Company's Risk Assessments

Describe how the Certification Body assessed risk for the Indicators. Summarise the CB's final risk ratings in Table 1, together with the Company's final risk ratings. Default for each indicator is 'Low', click on the rating to change. Note: this summary should show the risk ratings before AND <u>after</u> the SVP has been performed and after any mitigation measures have been implemented.

Not applicable.



9 Review of Company's mitigation measures

Not applicable.



10 Non-conformities and observations

Identify all non-conformities and observations raised/closed during the evaluation (a tabular format below may be used here). <u>Please use as many copies of the table as needed</u>. For each, give details to include at least the following:

- applicable requirement(s)
- grading of the non-conformity (major or minor) or observation with supporting rationale
- timeframe for resolution of the non-conformity
- a statement as to whether the non-conformity is likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks.



11 Certification decision

| Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's quality review, the following certification decision is taken: | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Certification decision: | Certification approved | |
| Certification decision by (name of the person): | Olesja Puiso | |
| Date of decision: | 07/Apr/2020 | |
| Other comments: | Click or tap here to enter text. | |