



NEPCon Evaluation of Asesorias Eco Indef Ltda Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

Main (Initial) Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



The promise of good biomass



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.4

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1: published 30 January 2018

Version 1.2: published 4 April 2018

Version 1.3: published 10 May 2018

Version 1.4: published 16 August 2018

© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2018

Table of Contents

1	Overview
2	Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate
3	Specific objective
4	SBP Standards utilised
4.1	SBP Standards utilised
4.2	SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment
5	Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management
5.1	Description of Company
5.2	Description of Company's Supply Base
5.3	Detailed description of Supply Base
5.4	Chain of Custody system
6	Evaluation process
6.1	Timing of evaluation activities
6.2	Description of evaluation activities
6.3	Process for consultation with stakeholders
7	Results
7.1	Main strengths and weaknesses
7.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation
7.3	Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions
7.4	Competency of involved personnel
7.5	Stakeholder feedback
7.6	Preconditions
8	Review of Company's Risk Assessments
9	Review of Company's mitigation measures
10	Non-conformities and observations
11	Certification recommendation

1 Overview

CB Name and contact:	NEPCon OÜ, Filosoofi 31, 50108 Tartu, Estonia
Primary contact for SBP:	Ondrej Tarabus otarabus@nepcon.org, +34 605 638 383
Current report completion date:	19/Oct/2020
Report authors:	Ondrej Tarabus
Name of the Company:	Asesorias Eco Indef Ltda. Legal and production site address: KM 484, Ruta 5 Sur Interior, Chile.
Company contact for SBP:	Alvaro Mundaca, Corporate manager. Phone: +56977488727; Email: alvaromundaca@indef.cl
Certified Supply Base:	Chile
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-08-27
Date of certificate issue:	25/Nov/2020
Date of certificate expiry:	24/Nov/2025

This report relates to the Main (Initial) Audit

2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

Scope description: Production of wood pellets in Camino a Nacimiento KM 9 (Road 180), near Los Angeles, Chile for use in energy production, and its transportation to Puerto Cabo Froward and additionally transport by different means to different end points all over the world. The scope of the certificate does not include Supply Base Evaluation. The scope of the certificate includes communication of Dynamic Batch Sustainability Data

3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of the production processes, production site visit;
- Review of FSC system control points, analysis of the existing FSC CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients;
- GHG data collection analysis and assessment of compliance with ID 5E ver. 1.0.

4 SBP Standards utilised

4.1 SBP Standards utilised

Please select all SBP Standards used during this evaluation. All Standards can be accessed and downloaded from <https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards>

- ☐ SBP Framework Standard 1: Feedstock Compliance Standard (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- ☒ SBP Framework Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock (*Version 1.0, 26 March 2015*)
- ☒ SBP Framework Standard 4: Chain of Custody (*Version 1.0, 26 March 2015*)
- ☒ SBP Framework Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data (*Version 1.0, 26 March 2015*)

4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment

Not applicable.

5 Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management

5.1 Description of Company

Eco Indef Ltda is subsidiary company of Biomass Chile (founded in 2005). Biomass Chile was established with the aim to process the biomass residues after harvest. The production capacity of Biomass Chile is around 1.400.000 m3 per year.

Eco Indef Ltda (founded in 2019) is a pellet plant with designed production capacity 90.000 t of industrial wood pellets per year. The BP foresee to use following feedstock: forest residues such as branches and tree tops, small diameter logs and sawmill residues such as sawdust, shavings or wood chips. The scope of this certification covers the pellet plant .

At the moment of the assessment the BP is sourcing only secondary (sawdust) and tertiary (shavings or sawdust) feedstock. The drying process is outsourced at a CHP plant (located about 130 km far away). Forest residues sourced as FSC Controlled wood are used in the dryer. The BP has only one supplier wich is the mother company (biomasa Chile). In the future, the BP is planning to purchase chipper and dryer and use also primary feedstock in their production. All the feedstock received is either FSC certified or FSC controlled wood claim.

5.2 Description of Company's Supply Base

The Supply Base

Eco Indef Ltda purchases its feedstock from Chile.

Continental Chile has a surface area of 75.6 million hectares, 23% of which are forests. Native forests comprise 14.3 million hectares, while planted forests (FAO term) represent 2.45 million hectares. However, 98% of industrial supply comes from planted forests made up largely of Pinus and Eucalyptus plantations, says the Chile Forestry Institute (INFOR- Instituto Forestal Chile 2015). Native forests are grouped into 12 types, 70% of which are in the regions of Los Lagos, Aysén and Magallanes. Roughly 4.1 million hectares of native forests are protected in state nature reservations. Regarding land tenure almost all planted forests are in the hands of private companies, 60% being held by 3 big companies and the rest by more than 20,000 small and medium-sized producers. The state holds close to 20% of native forest tenure, while the rest is in the hands of producers of various sizes (INFOR Forest Statistics).

In general, the majority of forest land area is held through clear and undisputed property deeds. There are communes mainly in the regions of Biobío, Araucanía and Los Ríos, where there is overlapping ownership of land through rights called "land titles" belonging to the Mapuche people. In line with the interviews carried out by legal experts, in the majority of cases the agreement of customary rights is not recognised by Chilean legislation, thus there is no non-compliance per se with this overlapping. There are basically two pieces of legislation applicable to activities related to submitting management plans: Decree-Law 701 (Decreto Ley 701) on forest development and Act 20.283 on native forest recovery and forest development. Regarding forest management and use permits, a forest management plan must be submitted to the National Forestry Corporation (Corporación Nacional Forestal - CONAF), in order to carry out work in forests located on land suitable for forestry, pursuant to Section 21 of the Decree-Law 701 of 1974 (artículo 21 del Decreto Ley N° 701) and any felling as set out in Section 5 of Act 20.283.

The management plan includes namely the surface area to be managed and/or felled, forest parameters and guidelines of the plot and environmental specifications relating to the definition and care for protected areas,

as well as practices for pest control and fire prevention. To harvest or manage a forest the producer must submit a management plan and pay a tax on the area to be worked on, and await approval by CONAF.

CONAF oversees compliance with the management plans based on potential environmental risk criteria, sampling approximately 5% of management plans submitted every year. Regarding forest product transport in native forests, CONAF provides a Timber Extraction Contract if approval is given to the management plan, in order to safeguard against any harvesting from these forests. Moreover, the internal tax service (servicio de impuestos internos – SII) requires that the transportation of any product, forestry or otherwise, be accompanied with a dispatch note stating the origin of the timber, including for forests where there are areas in quarantine for pest control, as defined by the Agriculture and Livestock Service (servicio agrícola y ganadero - SAG). A special management plan must be submitted to CONAF. Regarding the substitution of natural forests, according to the Ministry of the Environment (Ministerio del Medio Ambiente) figures around 122 thousand hectares were substituted between 1993 and 2009 (especially in the regions of Maule and Los Lagos). 80 thousand were for forestry plantations, 40 thousand for agricultural use and the rest for urbanisation.

This substitution surged in the 90s and slowed down gradually, thanks to forest certification and increasing state restrictions. According to CONAF, between 2009 and 2014 an annual average of 1,700 hectares were felled illegally, 80% of which were native forest.

In Chile, the productive and intensive forestry sector points towards the introduced species such as the Pino radiata, Eucalytus Globulus and Eucalytus Nitens.

Currently, forest plantations cover an area of approximately 2.87 million hectares, equivalent to 17.2% of Chile's total forests, according to the update of the Natural Vegetative Resources of Chile, period 1997-2011 (CONAF, July 2011).

Approximately 68% of this area corresponds to radiata pine, 23% to species of the eucalyptus and the rest to other species: atriplex, tamarugo and oregon pine. The plantations are located mainly between the O'Higgins and Los Lagos regions.

The Biomass business corresponds to forest harvest by-products such as tree branches or tree tops. Another input used is smaller diameter logs that correspond to combustible wood and a third component that are the by-products of sawmills: sawdust, shavings or wood chips.

In Chile there are no businesses dedicated 100% to the biomass business for the manufacture of pellets, the material used for biomass production is always the by-product of some primary or secondary process.

The management, logging is regulated by Agricultural Ministry CONAF (www.conaf.cl) under current legislation that is formalized through the management plan or forest management standard.

The company has a chain of custody code FSC® SA-COC-006562 corresponding to a Mutisite scheme owned by Biomasa Chile S.A. and Indef Forestal Ltda both are suppliers of Ecoindex.

Thus, the products received through these two suppliers will arrive with statements of FSC 100% and FSC Mix Credit that would correspond to SBP Compliance Biomass and FSC Controlled Wood that corresponded to SBP Controlled Biomass.

The size of the supply base is limited to following regions Covering an approximate distance of 600 km in this distance is the direct providers as well as the indirect or sub providers.

- Maule region
- Ñuble region
- Bio bio region
- Araucania region

5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

Total Supply Base area (ha):	1.198.500 ha
Tenure by type (ha):	1.198.500 ha private, 0 ha public
Forest by type (ha):	1.198.500 ha correspond to Mediterranean-type forest
Forest by management type (ha):	1.198.500 ha plantation (22-year rotation cycle)
Certified forest by scheme (ha):	719.150 ha FSC-certified forest

For more details please see the Supply Base Report available in BP's website <http://indef.cl/unidades-negocio/EcoIndef/>

5.4 Chain of Custody system

The BP holds valid FSC Chain of certificate (SA-COC-006562) and implements FSC credit system of claims. Organisation sources feedstock with FSC 100% and FSC Mix claims or FSC Controlled wood. The BP has only one supplier who delivers all the material. First the material is delivered to the outsourced drying facility where and later on is delivered to the pellet plant where feedstock is measured and recorded. Conversion factor for pellet production is established and revised annually, based on actual production data. Non-certified and non-controlled feedstock is not accepted by Organisation.

6 Evaluation process

6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

Audit was conducted on August 26 and 27 with a follow up call on September 29, 2020 (total 13 hours). Audit activities included documents review, inspection of production facilities and staff interviews (all desk based).

Activity	Location	Auditors	Date/time
Wednesday, 25 August 2020			
Opening meeting*	Remote	Ondrej Tarabus	8:00 – 8:30
Evaluation of the material origin Documented procedures (Management system), including procedures for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Management review • SBR • Health and safety procedures and training 	Remote	Ondrej Tarabus	8:30 – 10:30
Interview with Purchasing department representative Evaluation of incoming delivery notes and invoices Critical control points of FSC CoC	Remote	Ondrej Tarabus	10:30-11:30
Review of the system for the collection and reporting of energy and emissions data <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reporting period • Transport data • Records of fuels, electricity, biofuel etc. use in production and storage • SAR 	Remote	Ondrej Tarabus	11:30-13:30
Presentation of the results of the first day of assessment	Remote	Ondrej Tarabus	13:30-14:00
Thursday, 27 August 2020			
Chain of custody review (site tour);	Remote	Ondrej Tarabus	8:00 – 10:00

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • interview with roundwood acceptance department • Production • Storage 			
Review of the system for the collection and reporting of energy and emissions data	Remote	Ondrej Tarabus	10:00 – 13:00
Tuesday 29 September 2020			
Follow up on open topics	Remote	Ondrej Tarabus	29 September 2020 09:00 – 12:00
Closing Meeting*	Remote	Ondrej Tarabus	12:00 – 12:30

6.2 Description of evaluation activities

Composition of audit team:

Auditor(s), roles	Qualifications
Ondrej Tarabus, audit team leader	Czech citizen, graduated in University of Life Sciences Prague, The Faculty of Forestry. He has participated in several FSC assessments in Czech Republic, Slovakia, Italy, Germany, Vietnam, Egypt, Spain, Romania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Austria, etc. and FSC FM audits in Czech Republic and Lithuania. Ondřej Tarabus successfully completed SBP training course and he has practical experience with carbon footprint certification as well as biofuels certification.

The evaluation was focused on management system evaluation: division of the responsibilities, document and system, input material classification (reception and registration), analysis of the existing FSC system and FSC system control points as well as GHG data availability.

Description of the audit evaluation:

Due to Covid-19 limitations, onsite assessment could not be conducted and was decided that the audit will take place remotely (in line with the COVID-19: Normative Requirements). Desk-based assessment started on August 26th, 2020 with the opening meeting in Skype with SBP responsible personnel and external consultant.

Audit team leader introduced himself, provided information about audit plan, methodology, auditor qualification, confidentiality issues, and assessment methodology and clarified certification scope. During the opening meeting the auditor explained CB's approval related issues.

After that auditor went through all applicable requirements of the SBP standards nr. 2, 4, 5 and instruction document 5E covering input clarification, existing chain of custody system, management system, CoC, recordkeeping/mass balance requirements, emission and energy data and categorisation of input and

verification of SBP-compliant biomass. During the process, overall responsible person for SBP system was interviewed.

Audit activities included roundtrip at the pellet plant, staff interviews, but it was also focused on verification of energy use data included in SAR.

Finally, at the end of the assessment, findings were summarised and conclusions based on use of 3 angle evaluation method were provided to SBP responsible person, during the closing meeting.

Impartiality commitment: NEPCon commits to using impartial auditors and our clients are encouraged to inform NEPCon management if violations of this are noted. Please see our Impartiality Policy here: <http://www.nepcon.org/impartiality-policy>

6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

The stakeholder consultation was carried out on June 22, 2020 by sending direct email to different stakeholder categories. No comments from the stakeholders have been received. List of informed stakeholders is the same which is used for FSC FM/COC assessments notification in Chile. This list includes such groups of stakeholders as FSC National Initiative, environmental and social NGOs, FSC-certified companies in the region, scientific and educational entities, indigenous peoples' communities (where applicable), state forestry authorities, trade unions etc..

7 Results

7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Strengths: Robust recordkeeping system. Good awareness of certification requirements by involved staff.

Weaknesses: The plant was established just recently and not all processes are implemented at full scale yet.

7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

Not applicable.

7.3 Collection and Communication of Data

The following energy sources are used by BP: electricity for pellet production; biofuel for drying the feedstock (in boiler); diesel for feedstock handling; diesel for biomass handling and transport. Energy use data is based on combination of actual consumption values and engineering calculations, it was reviewed and accepted by auditor. See also the NCR section for the report

7.4 Competency of involved personnel

Since SBP certification is something new for Organisation, it was decided by management that Dalton Rebolledo (plant manager) is assigned as a key person responsible for implementation of all relevant SBP requirements. He is controlling and monitoring performance of the other staff members involved in SBP certification. Other staff members involved in the SBP certification are Sergio Vera (from mother company Biomasa Chile), Alejandro Pacheco (quality manager) and Paulo Pino (production manager). Prior to, and during this assessment, Organisation was supported by external consultant Victor Cisterna Anacanao (Procer).

7.5 Stakeholder feedback

No feedback received from stakeholders prior, during or after this assessment.

7.6 Preconditions

There were 4 pre-conditions identified during the assessment, all of them were closed just after the audit and before completion of the assessment report.

8 Review of Company's Risk Assessments

Not applicable.

9 Review of Company's mitigation measures

Not applicable.

10 Non-conformities and observations

10.1 Open Non-Conformity Reports (NCRs)

NCR number: 48249 (02/20)	NC grading:	Major <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Standard #2 V1.0 - Verification of SBP-compliant feedstock - 6.2		
Description of Non-conformance:			
<p>The supply base includes forest areas located within Maule, Ñuble, Bio bio or Araucania region. Origin of the material is checked by the supplier (mother company Biomasa Chile) and the representative was presented at the audit and could provide the origin of the material for all material delivered as FSC Controlled Wood. However, for the material supplied as FSC certified the records about the origin were not provided. Additionally, the internal procedure did not cover the process how the origin shall be recorded for different types of material in terms of FSC claims. The BP has submitted the updated SBP manual after the first day of the audit and the section about the origin information was provided. The origin of the already received material and the functionality of the system however could not be checked and therefore NCR 02/20 is issued. This non-conformity is classified as minor as there is negligible risk that the material would be coming from outside the SB – it would not be economically feasible to transport material for such a long distance.</p>			
Corrective action request:	<p>Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.</p> <p>Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.</p>		
NCR conformance deadline:	By next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalisation date		
Client evidence:			
Evaluation of Evidence:			
NCR Status:	Open		
Comments (optional):			

NCR number: 48251 (04/20_	NC grading:	Major <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Standard #2 V1.0 - Verification of SBP-compliant feedstock - 15.1		
Description of Non-conformance:			
<p>Management and monitoring system is described in FSC documented procedure - Exhibit 2, section 14. However, SBP is not specifically mentioned in the process and the monitoring system is focusing mostly on FSC part of the process. While FSC system covers most of the SBP requirements, elements such as collection of energy data are not covered by this by this monitoring system</p>			

Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.
NCR conformance deadline:	By next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalisation date
Client evidence:	
Evaluation of Evidence:	
NCR Status:	Open
Comments (optional):	

NCR number: 48252 (05/20)	NC grading:	Major <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Standard #2 V1.0 - Verification of SBP-compliant feedstock - 15.2		
Description of Non-conformance:			
The BP's management system is appropriate to the type, range and volume of work performed. FSC and SBP procedures (exhibit 1 and 2) had been evaluated during the assessment. Staff interviews were conducted with an aim to make sure procedures are in compliance with the processes and practices implemented by the Organization. However, the SBP procedures does not cover the requirement to update SBR.			
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		
NCR conformance deadline:	By next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalisation date		
Client evidence:			
Evaluation of Evidence:			
NCR Status:	Open		
Comments (optional):			

NCR number: 48253 (06/20)	NC grading:	Major <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Standard #2 V1.0 - Verification of SBP-compliant feedstock - 15.7		
Description of Non-conformance:			
The training was conducted on 13.07.2020 and the responsible personnel was aware about the applicable requirements. However, the SBP procedure does not cover the requirement for conduction of the SBP training and keeping the personnel up to date with the changes to management system.			

Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.
NCR conformance deadline:	By next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalisation date
Client evidence:	
Evaluation of Evidence:	
NCR Status:	Open
Comments (optional):	

NCR number: 48254 (07/20)	NC grading:	Major <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Standard #2 V1.0 - Verification of SBP-compliant feedstock - 20.2		
Description of Non-conformance:			
The complaint procedure for FSC is in place (Exhibit 3) the organization claims that the FSC requirements will be applied for SBP as well. This procedure however does not contain the requirement to inform SBP about any substantial complaint.			
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		
NCR conformance deadline:	By next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalisation date		
Client evidence:			
Evaluation of Evidence:			
NCR Status:	Open		
Comments (optional):			

NCR number: 48255 (08/20)	NC grading:	Major <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Standard #4 V1.0 6.1.3		
Description of Non-conformance:			
Organization claims that they will support their customers in applying their DDS by collecting and providing the required information on species, origin and compliance with legal requirements. However, this is not specifically mentioned in the SBP procedure.			
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		

NCR conformance deadline:	By next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalisation date
Client evidence:	
Evaluation of Evidence:	
NCR Status:	Open
Comments (optional):	

10.2 Closed Non-Conformity Reports (NCRs)

NCR number: 48248 (01/20)	NC grading:	Major <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Standard #2 V1.0 - Verification of SBP-compliant feedstock - 5		
Description of Non-conformance:			
The supply base includes forest areas located within Maule, Ñuble, Bio bio or Araucania region. The SB is defined in the SBR (section 2.1) however the BP did not provide the quantification of the supply base in the SBR section 2.5.			
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		
NCR conformance deadline:	By next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalisation date		
Client evidence:	The BP has provided the updated version of the SBR before closing meeting.		
Evaluation of Evidence:	The updated SBR was reviewed and the section 2.5 was updated and contains all required details about the quantification of the supply base.		
NCR Status:	Closed		
Comments (optional):			

NCR number: 48250 (03/20)	NC grading:	Major <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Standard #2 V1.0 - Verification of SBP-compliant feedstock - 7.1		
Description of Non-conformance:			
Supply Base Report is prepared. The SBR is not published on the organization website at the time of the assessment			
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		
NCR conformance deadline:	Before issue/reissue of certificate		
Client evidence:	The BP has shared the link to their website where the SBR is published. http://indef.cl/unidades-negocio/EcoIndef/		
Evaluation of Evidence:	The website of the organization was checked http://indef.cl/unidades-negocio/EcoIndef/ were the SBR was published.		
NCR Status:	Closed		
Comments (optional):			

NCR number: 48256 (09/20)	NC grading:	Major <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Instruction Doc. 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data v1.1 - 6.2.1		
Description of Non-conformance:			
The organization has reporting period from March to August 2020 as confirmed during the interview. However, the reporting period is not specified in the SAR. Additionally the shorter reporting period was not justified in the SAR			
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		
NCR conformance deadline:	Before issue/reissue of certificate		
Client evidence:	The organization has updated the SAR and shared it with the auditor.		
Evaluation of Evidence:	The updated SAR was reviewed and the reporting period was correctly mentioned there and the justification for the shorter reporting period was provided.		
NCR Status:	Closed		
Comments (optional):			

NCR number: 48257 (10/20)	NC grading:	Major <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Instruction Doc. 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data v1.1 - 6.8.1		
Description of Non-conformance:			
The BP did not include the July data of electricity used in the SAR.			
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		
NCR conformance deadline:	By next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalisation date		
Client evidence:	The BP has submitted the updated SAR just after the audit where the values of electricity used in July were included.		
Evaluation of Evidence:	The updated SAR was reviewed together with the provided invoices for electricity and it was revealed that the values are correct.		
NCR Status:	Closed		
Comments (optional):			

NCR number: 48258 (11/20)	NC grading:	Major <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Instruction Doc. 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data v1.1 - 6.9.2		
Description of Non-conformance:			
The BP dries the material in the external CHP plant. The data were not included in the SAR			
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		
NCR conformance deadline:	Before issue/reissue of certificate		
Client evidence:	The BP has submitted the updated SAR just after the audit where the values of the drying process in the external CHP was mentioned.		
Evaluation of Evidence:	The updated SAR was reviewed together with the data provided by the supplier about the energy consumption. Data provided are correct.		
NCR Status:	Closed		
Comments (optional):			

NCR number: 48259 (12/20)	NC grading:	Major <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Instruction Doc. 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data v1.1 - 6.5.3		
Description of Non-conformance:			
The BP has not provide justification of the calculation of the efficiency of the CHP plant or shorter reporting period nor details about use of diesel in the production in the SAR.			
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		
NCR conformance deadline:	Before issue/reissue of certificate		
Client evidence:	The organization has updated the SAR and provided to the auditor just after the audit.		
Evaluation of Evidence:	The organization has provided the updated SAR where the justification for shorter reporting period as well as CHP use or diesel use was provided.		
NCR Status:	Closed		
Comments (optional):			

NCR number: 48260 (13/20)	NC grading:	Major <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Instruction Doc. 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data v1.1 - 6.5.2		
Description of Non-conformance:			
The BP has not provided the value of the fossil fuel which was used for production of electricity during February and March 2020			
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		
NCR conformance deadline:	By next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalisation date		
Client evidence:	The BP has submitted the updated SAR just after the audit where the values of the diesel oil used for production of electricity during March and February was included.		
Evaluation of Evidence:	The values of fossil fuels were provided in the SAR together with invoices as supportive documents. The evaluation revealed that the data reported are correct.		
NCR Status:	Closed		
Comments (optional):			

NCR number: 48261 (14/20)	NC grading:	Major <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Standard & Requirement:	Instruction Doc. 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data v1.1 - 6.10.3		
Description of Non-conformance:			
The distance of the feedstock between the forest and the BP was provided in the SAR. However, the material first goes to CHP plant to be dried and this distance was not reported in the SAR.			
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		
NCR conformance deadline:	By next audit, but not later than 12 months after report finalisation date		
Client evidence:	The organization has updated the SAR prior the report finalization and submitted it to the auditor.		
Evaluation of Evidence:	The auditor reviewed the updated SAR where the distance from the supplier to the CHP plant was included. The distance was doublechecked using the Google maps and it can be concluded that it is correctly calculated.		
NCR Status:	Closed		
Comments (optional):			

10.3 Observations

OBS number: 48262 OBS 01/20	Standard & Requirement:	Instruction Doc. 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data v1.1 - 6.10.3
Description of findings leading to observation:	The BP measures and records the m3 of material received. It is recommended to use the weighbridge and record the incoming material in tonnes.	
Observation:	The BP should implement a system to record the input material in weight to avoid conversion problems from m3 to tonnes.	

11 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's quality review, the following certification decision is taken:

Certification decision:	Certification approved
Certification decision by (name of the person):	Olesja Puiso
Date of decision:	19/Oct/2020
Other comments:	An on-site surveillance audit which is additional to the annual surveillance audit requirements is required within 6 months of the last date of the remote audit (by March 28, 2021). Notification from BP needs to be done after the sales are made. Until an on-site audit is completed, the CB shall review the first 10 DTS claims made by the certificate holder within 7 days of each of those claims being made.