



# SCS Global Services Evaluation of Jasper Pellets, LLC. Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

First Surveillance Audit

[www.sbp-cert.org](http://www.sbp-cert.org)



**The promise of good biomass**



# Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.4

*For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see  
[www.sbp-cert.org](http://www.sbp-cert.org)*

## *Document history*

*Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015*

*Version 1.1: published 30 January 2018*

*Version 1.2: published 4 April 2018*

*Version 1.3: published 10 May 2018*

*Version 1.4: published 16 August 2018*

*© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2018*

# Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Overview</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Specific objective</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>SBP Standards utilised</b>
4.1	SBP Standards utilised
4.2	SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment
<b>5</b>	<b>Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management</b>
5.1	Description of Company
5.2	Description of Company's Supply Base
5.3	Detailed description of Supply Base
5.4	Chain of Custody system
<b>6</b>	<b>Evaluation process</b>
6.1	Timing of evaluation activities
6.2	Description of evaluation activities
6.3	Process for consultation with stakeholders
<b>7</b>	<b>Results</b>
7.1	Main strengths and weaknesses
7.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation
7.3	Compilation of data on Greenhouse Gas emissions
7.4	Competency of involved personnel
7.5	Stakeholder feedback
7.6	Preconditions
<b>8</b>	<b>Review of Company's Risk Assessments</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Review of Company's mitigation measures</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Non-conformities and observations</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Certification recommendation</b>

# 1 Overview

CB Name and contact: SCS Global Services, 2000 Powell St. Ste 600 Emeryville, CA 94608

Primary contact for SBP: Theodore Brauer, [tbrauer@scsglobalservices.com](mailto:tbrauer@scsglobalservices.com)

Current report completion date: 10/Feb/2021

Report authors: Shannon Wilks

Name of the Company: Jasper Pellets, LLC.

Company contact for SBP: Chase Knight, 770-856-0240, [chase.knight@jasperpellets.com](mailto:chase.knight@jasperpellets.com)

Certified Supply Base: Designated counties in AL, FL, GA, NC, SC and VA within USA

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-04-51

Date of certificate issue: 31/Jan/2020

Date of certificate expiry: 30/Jan/2025

This report relates to the First Surveillance Audit

## 2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

The certificate covers the production of wood pellets, for use in energy production, manufactured at Jasper Pellets, LLC. and transported to the Port of Savannah for storage, aggregation, vessel loading and shipping. It also covers a Supply Base Evaluation for the sourcing of feedstock from designated counties in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina and Virginia all within the USA. The scope also covers the communication of Dynamic Batch Sustainability data.

The scope of this main evaluation audit included a review of procedures, documentation, records and databases to ensure the organization's management system is appropriate for ensuring conformance to SBP Standards 1, 2, 4, and 5. Other audit methods used were site walkthrough of pellet mill and port operations, interviews with relevant staff, port representative and secondary supplier representatives. The evaluation included a review of documentation such as the Supply Base Report including the Risk Assessment, Due Diligence System and SAR, among others.

### 3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this surveillance audit was to confirm the Biomass Producer's management system continues to be in compliance with all requirements of SBP Standards 1: Feedstock Compliance Standard, 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock, 4: Chain of Custody, and 5: Collection and Communication of Data (including the expansion of scope to communicate Static Biomass Profiling Data) are within conformance across the entire scope of certification. This was achieved by remote review of risk assessments, procedures, GHG and other data and remote observation of BP facility with GPS/Timestamped photos. Interviews with key personnel and stakeholders were also conducted remotely by phone.

The following critical control points were identified and evaluated:

\*Feedstock procurement: All feedstock delivered to the mill is tracked in a centralized system. Prior to delivery of single feedstock-dry pine shavings, the owner name, origin (Lat/Long), product type, etc. are obtained from the supplier. Organization will require all vendors to execute a Purchase Agreement with specific terms and conditions.

\*Storage and processing: Dry pine shavings are stored on-site, hammered and pelletized. The conversion factors used to allocate shavings into pellets are reasonable.

\*Volume Accounting: The procedures detail the process to properly maintain the volume credit spreadsheet, with provisions for subtracting certified product sold and for carrying only the past 24 months of credits, in accordance with FSC standards. BP is certified to FSC Chain of Custody Standards and the audit was conducted in conjunction with SBP audit.

\*Outgoing transactions: Invoices are issued to single customer. Transactions of SBP-certified biomass were recorded in the DTS as required.

\*Energy data collection and reporting: The organization has internal systems to record data values and calculate energy data as required by Standard 5, records were maintained that substantiate the data.

## 4 SBP Standards utilised

### 4.1 SBP Standards utilised

*Please select all SBP Standards used during this evaluation. All Standards can be accessed and downloaded from <https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards>*

- ☒ SBP Framework Standard 1: Feedstock Compliance Standard (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- ☒ SBP Framework Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- ☒ SBP Framework Standard 4: Chain of Custody (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)
- ☒ SBP Framework Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data (Version 1.0, 26 March 2015)

### 4.2 SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment

Not Applicable

## 5 Description of Company, Supply Base and Forest Management

### 5.1 Description of Company

Jasper Pellets, LLC. purchased the facility from Low Country Biomass in December of 2018. The pellet facility currently only purchases dry pine shavings as sole feedstock. The facility has a total of 4 pellet mills; one new and 3 existing. Future plans include adding dryer, increasing feedstock purchases to include green pine chips and sawdust, adding one additional pellet mill. The facility began producing finished pellets around March 1, 2019. Annual production is estimated at 45,000 metric tons currently. The organization has on-site storage capacity of 600 metric tons. Pellets are loaded into trucks for shipment to Port of Savannah, GA. Ownership of facility is a partnership between four (4) entities.

### 5.2 Description of Company's Supply Base

Jasper Pellets, LLC (JP) purchases secondary & tertiary feedstock from ten (10) secondary suppliers and four (4) tertiary suppliers originating from twenty-three (23) pine sawmills in the form of pine shavings located in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina & Virginia. The supply base for the pellet mill and its secondary suppliers includes two hundred sixty (260) counties (33,313,940 hectares) in Alabama (12 counties), Florida (11 counties), Georgia (134 counties), North Carolina (49 counties), South Carolina (46 counties) & Virginia (8 counties) within the United States. This supply base is based on a 75-mile radius applied to its 23 supplier/sub-supplier mills. Forests are the predominant land use in this supply base (63.7%). Pine forests comprise the largest forest type (45.8%) of the supply area's forestland followed by hardwood forests (41.2%). The pine/oak forest comprises 11.6% of the supply area's forestland while about 1% of the forestland is considered non-stocked. About 77.6% of the supply area's forests are managed as natural forests while the remaining 22.4% of the supply area's forests are artificially regenerated.

### 5.3 Detailed description of Supply Base

JP only uses pine dry shavings from sawmills. Primary species used include loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*), slash pine (*Pinus elliotii*), shortleaf pine (*Pinus echinata*) and longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris*). None of these species are listed on the CITES list. Longleaf pine is on the IUCN Red List and is classified as endangered.

The vast majority of forests in the JP supply area are managed according to state forestry best management practices (BMPs). Overall BMP compliance reported for the various states within the supply base are: AL-98.2% (2016); FL-99.6% (2017); GA-93.17% (2017); NC-85% (2016), SC-95.5% (2016) & VA-91.1% (2017).

### 5.4 Chain of Custody system

Jasper Pellets, LLC. has implemented documented Chain of Custody (COC) procedures to determine feedstock compliance to SBP requirements. The organization is certified to FSC Chain of Custody Standard. Feedstock is tracked from the origin, through the pellet mill, and to the port. Feedstock is brought in via trucks to the mill. After pelletizing the material is loaded into trucks and transported to the Port of Savannah, GA for sale to customer. The legal point of sale is once pellets are unloaded at the Port. BP uses internal systems to gather and control information related to feedstock such as supplier name, scale tickets, fibre type, certification



(if applicable), and origin. The internal systems are utilized to track output volumes. Trademark/logo use is controlled by Jasper Pellets management as identified in procedures and no use of trademarks was observed or planned.

## 6 Evaluation process

### 6.1 Timing of evaluation activities

<b>Site Name or Location:</b>	Jasper Pellets, LLC.	
<b>Date and Time of Audit:</b>	February 3-10, 2021	
<b>Audit Activity</b>	<b>Items to Review / Actions</b>	<b>Approx. Start Time</b>
<b>Day 1</b> Opening meeting	Introductions, auditor review of audit scope, audit plan and intro/update to FSC/SBP and SCS standards and protocols, client description of organization.	<b>February 3, 2021</b> 9:00 AM
Review of previous nonconformities	Review of evidence of corrective actions taken by organization since previous audit (records, documents, pictures, etc.)	9:30 AM
<b>FSC Chain of Custody</b> Review of CoC procedures, products and material accounting	Written procedures, work instructions, product group list, accounting system (transfer, percentage or credit; physical separation, percentage method).	10:00 AM
Virtual walkthrough of facility	The virtual walkthrough will be conducted through the review of photographs and/or videos provided by the certificate holder. The pictures and/or videos need to be taken very close to the audit, preferably on the day(s) of the audit. Photos / videos need to feature a time stamp and be geo-tagged, i.e. include GPS data to verify location. All media provided by the certificate holder needs to allow the auditor to cover the entire scope of the certificate. Use of Information Communication Technology (ICT) to review physical inputs and outputs, material receipt, processing, storage, credit account (if applicable), sale, and overall control; review of any on-site trademark uses such as banners, posters, entryway signs.	11:00 AM
Lunch	Lunch Break	12:30 PM
Staff interviews	Use of ICT to conduct interviews with appropriate number and diversity of staff to assess knowledge of CoC procedures related to their position.	1:30 PM
Verification of calculations	Auditor-selected sample and verification of calculations for conversion factors, percentage claims, and credit accounts, as applicable.	2:30 PM

Evaluation of trademarks	Review of auditor-selected sample of FSC/SBP and/or SCS on-product and/or promotional trademark uses.	3:30 PM
Daily Summary and Review	Convene with all relevant staff to summarize day's audit findings and discuss next day's agenda.	4:00 PM
<b>Day 2</b>  <b>SBP</b>  Staff Interviews (Jasper Pellets-Conducted via Phone)	Jasper Pellets, LLC: Interviews with EHS Manager and Human Resources Manager (or designated representatives)	<b>February 4, 2021</b>  1:00 PM
Secondary/Tertiary Supplier Interviews (Conducted via Phone)	Desk Interviews with 4 Raw Material Vendors- 2 Secondary & 2 Tertiary Suppliers (Estimated at 20 minutes/call)  Secondary <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Elliott/Canfor</li> <li>• Dempsey</li> </ul> Tertiary <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Marwin Company</li> <li>• Queen Wood</li> </ul>	2:30 PM
Daily Summary and Review	Convene with all relevant staff to summarize day's audit findings and discuss next day's agenda.	4:00 PM
<b>Day 3</b>  <b>SBP</b>  Auditor Review of SBP Checklists (STD 1,2,4 & 5)	Auditor review of SBP Checklists for Standards 1, 2, 4 & 5 (Conducted Offline)	<b>February 5, 2021</b>  9:00 AM
SBP ST 5, ID5A, ID5B, ID5C & ID5E	Use of ICT: Review of GHG data collection, SAR, SREG (if applicable) and interviews with relevant staff	1:00 PM
<b>Day 4</b>  <b>SBP</b>  Review of SBP Checklists (STD 1,2,4 & 5)	Review of SBP Checklists for Standards 1, 2, 4 & 5 with Jasper Pellet personnel.	<b>February 10, 2021</b>  9:00 AM
Auditor review of notes and preparation for closing meeting	Auditor review of notes and preparation for closing meeting.	2:00 PM

Closing meeting and review of findings	Virtually convene with all relevant staff to summarize audit findings, review identified nonconformities, and discuss next steps.	3:00 PM
End		

*Total audit time was approximately 40h.*

## 6.2 Description of evaluation activities

The remote Surveillance Audit was conducted over the course of seven days and included an audit of the Supply Base Evaluation, Documented Management System, Collection and Communication of Greenhouse Gas data, site tour, interviews with BP personnel and secondary suppliers. Four randomly selected suppliers of Fourteen suppliers were selected based on SCS Global Services scoping data.

Audit methods consisted of review of documentation, studies, assessments, surveys, websites, and staff interviews. The virtual site tour was conducted by GPS/Time Stamped photos taken on January 27, 2021. BP was evaluated by review of documentation, monitoring results, observations, and interviews. Significant time was spent on the Supply Base Report, Chain of Custody System, Documented Management System and Greenhouse Gases.

## 6.3 Process for consultation with stakeholders

SCS relies on its Master Stakeholder List, which contains stakeholders that are identified by type, e.g. ENGO, Government/regulatory, Educational/Academic, Industry, Indigenous/Aboriginal/Tribal, etc... This list is categorized by country and state/province at the very least, and for this consultation was filtered to omit any stakeholders that were not geographically relevant to the certificate-holder/applicant's supply base. SCS conducted a stakeholder consultation prior to the audit date. No comments were received or came to the attention of SCS or the auditor.

## 7 Results

### 7.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

The main strengths of Jasper Pellets, LLC. includes an effective management system, knowledgeable personnel and greenhouse gas record keeping controls. Personnel involved in the SBP program at Jasper Pellets are knowledgeable and demonstrated understanding of SBP procedures. Jasper Pellets maintains an effective program to monitor origin of feedstock for all suppliers of tertiary feedstock. Review of Sustainability Policy communicates the commitment of Biomass Producer to source feedstock sustainably. Supplier audits and Due Diligence Annual Summary's were utilized to ensure the origin of feedstock was within Supply Base. The weaknesses are described in section 10.

### 7.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

The supply base was determined based on secondary feedstock suppliers to ensure the complete geography of the supply area. USDA Forest Service Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) data based on this established supply base was used to verify forest growth and harvest levels, forest ownership and overall forest composition (species, age, stand structure). Ecosystem and biodiversity data from WWF, GreenPeace, World Resources Institute (WRI), Conservation International (CI), NatureServe and the various state natural heritage programs from within the supply base was also reviewed to determine potential high conversation value (HCV) areas and the level of protection for these HCVs.

Forest management regimes for the supply base were determined from information gathered from local forestry professionals and contractors within the region. Regional economic and forest health information was gathered from state forestry agencies and forestry associations.

Jasper Pellet suppliers are visited at least annually to confirm their supply base and the species they purchase for their operations.

### 7.3 Collection and Communication of Data

Jasper Pellets maintains detailed records of all greenhouse gas data records on-site. The records are maintained by the office manager and were observed during the remote audit. The electricity energy use was invoiced by month and requires adjustment to match the reporting period for one meter. Other energy use such as diesel and propane does not require adjustments. Records of feedstock, volumes and distances are maintained by organization.

### 7.4 Competency of involved personnel

The Supply Base Evaluation was completed in partnership with Greener Options Inc., a sustainability consulting company specializing in sustainable forest certification.

Gary Boyd, Greener Options Inc. is a Society of American Foresters (SAF) Certified Forester, a Georgia Registered Forester and an ISO 14001 Environmental Management Lead Auditor. He is also a lead auditor and conducts audits to the FSC, SFI and Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC) chain

of custody, controlled wood, fiber sourcing and forest management standards. He had more than 35 years of experience in the forestry profession.

BP personnel interviewed were knowledgeable about the SBP requirements and utilized management systems to maintain records and evidence to verify.

## 7.5 Stakeholder feedback

One response from the evaluation stakeholder consultation was received. Response by the Biomass Producer was not required but initiated reply of “Thank You” was observed. There was no response to SCS Global Services stakeholder consultation.

## 7.6 Preconditions

Not Applicable

## 8 Review of Company's Risk Assessments

*Describe how the Certification Body assessed risk for the Indicators. Summarise the CB's final risk ratings in Table 1, together with the Company's final risk ratings. Default for each indicator is 'Low', click on the rating to change. Note: this summary should show the risk ratings before AND after the SVP has been performed and after any mitigation measures have been implemented.*

The results of the Supply Base Evaluation determined a low risk to all indicators within the SBP Framework Standard 1 Feedstock Compliance except for indicators 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.2.3, 2.2.4 and 2.4.1, which were determined as "specified risk". Risk ratings were determined by review of SBE, SBR and other supporting evidence such as SBP procedures, High Conservation Value Risk Assessment, Secondar Supplier Audit Checklist and Due Diligence Annual Summary.

**Table 1. Final risk ratings of Indicators as determined BEFORE the SVP and any mitigation measures.**

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
1.1.1	Low	Low
1.1.2	Low	Low
1.1.3	Low	Low
1.2.1	Low	Low
1.3.1	Low	Low
1.4.1	Low	Low
1.5.1	Low	Low
1.6.1	Low	Low
2.1.1	Low	Low
2.1.2	Specified	Specified
2.1.3	Specified	Specified
2.2.1	Low	Low
2.2.2	Low	Low
2.2.3	Specified	Specified
2.2.4	Specified	Specified
2.2.5	Low	Low
2.2.6	Low	Low
2.2.7	Low	Low
2.2.8	Low	Low
2.2.9	Low	Low
2.3.1	Low	Low
2.3.2	Low	Low

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
2.3.3	Low	Low
2.4.1	Specified	Specified
2.4.2	Low	Low
2.4.3	Low	Low
2.5.1	Low	Low
2.5.2	Low	Low
2.6.1	Low	Low
2.7.1	Low	Low
2.7.2	Low	Low
2.7.3	Low	Low
2.7.4	Low	Low
2.7.5	Low	Low
2.8.1	Low	Low
2.9.1	Low	Low
2.9.2	Low	Low
2.10.1	Low	Low

**Table 2. Final risk ratings of Indicators as determined AFTER the SVP and any mitigation measures.**

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
1.1.1	Low	Low
1.1.2	Low	Low
1.1.3	Low	Low
1.2.1	Low	Low
1.3.1	Low	Low
1.4.1	Low	Low
1.5.1	Low	Low
1.6.1	Low	Low
2.1.1	Low	Low
2.1.2	Low	Low
2.1.3	Low	Low
2.2.1	Low	Low
2.2.2	Low	Low
2.2.3	Low	Low
2.2.4	Low	Low
2.2.5	Low	Low
2.2.6	Low	Low
2.2.7	Low	Low
2.2.8	Low	Low
2.2.9	Low	Low
2.3.1	Low	Low
2.3.2	Low	Low

Indicator	Risk rating (Low or Specified)	
	Producer	CB
2.3.3	Low	Low
2.4.1	Low	Low
2.4.2	Low	Low
2.4.3	Low	Low
2.5.1	Low	Low
2.5.2	Low	Low
2.6.1	Low	Low
2.7.1	Low	Low
2.7.2	Low	Low
2.7.3	Low	Low
2.7.4	Low	Low
2.7.5	Low	Low
2.8.1	Low	Low
2.9.1	Low	Low
2.9.2	Low	Low
2.10.1	Low	Low



## 9 Review of Company's mitigation measures

2.1.2: *The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to identify and address potential threats to forests and other areas with high conservation values from forest management activities.*

*Mitigation Measure:* World Wildlife Fund (WWF) Global 200 Ecoregion - NA517 Mid-Atlantic Coastal Forests. WWF has declared about 88% of this ecoregion having been converted

- a) Remaining examples occur on protected lands and mapped on JP-DOC-005 High Conservation Value Risk Assessment.
- b) Feedstock Purchase Contracts/ Supply Agreements/Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- c) Education and awareness through representation at FSC CW Regional Meetings conducted in 2018 for Cape Fear Arch CBA.
- d) Sharing of information with suppliers and documented through Secondary Supplier Audit Checklist.

*Mitigation Measure:* Global 200 Ecoregion - NA529 Southeastern Conifer Forests. WWF has declared over 98% of this ecoregion having been converted.

- a) Remaining examples occur on protected lands and mapped on JP-DOC-005 High Conservation Value Risk Assessment.
- b) Education and awareness through representation at FSC CW Regional Meetings conducted in 2018 for Native Long Leaf Pine Systems (NLPS).
- c) Sharing of information with suppliers and documented through Secondary Supplier Audit Checklist.
- d) Engagement, monetary or in-kind resource support to conservation organizations such as the Longleaf Alliance that are facilitating active, on-the-ground implementation of management activities to restore or maintain existing examples of NLPS, with a goal of long-term conservation of this system within the specified risk area and the company's supply area.

*Mitigation Measure:* GreenPeace Intact Forest

- a) There is a strong system of protection (effective protected areas and legislation) in place within the Company's supply area that ensures survival of this intact forest.
- b) No wood is harvested out of the described National Wilderness Area which is managed by the Department of Interior. If wood is harvested from the surrounding forests described above, The Department of Interior or the Georgia Forestry Commission conducts environment impact studies and oversees all timber harvesting on these forests within the HCV providing complete protection of the site.

*Mitigation Measure:* FSC US Controlled Wood National Risk Assessment (US NRA) - Category 3: HCV1 - Cape Fear Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA).

- a) Verification of compliance is accomplished through supplier audits using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- b) Training records document education and awareness efforts of the Cape Fear Arch CBA.
- c) Education & outreach measure is documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.

*Mitigation Measure:* US NRA - Category 3: HCV1 - Florida Panhandle CBA

- a) Verification of compliance is accomplished through supplier audits using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- b) Training records document education and awareness efforts of the Florida Panhandle CBA.
- c) Education & outreach measure is documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.

*Mitigation Measure:* US NRA - Category 3: HCV3 - Natural Longleaf Pine Systems

- a) Training records document education and awareness efforts of Native Long Leaf Pine Systems.
- b) This education and outreach measure will be documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- c) Maintain records of involvement with conservation organizations such as the Longleaf Alliance.

*2.1.3 The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that feedstock is not sourced from forests converted to production plantation forest or non-forest lands after January 2008.*

*Mitigation Measure:* FSC US Controlled Wood National Risk Assessment (US NRA) - Category 4: Forestland Conversion.

- a) Written agreements with its feedstock suppliers.
- b) Training records document education and awareness efforts of Forestland Conversion.
- c) Education and outreach measure will be documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- d) Maintain membership records in the South Carolina Forestry Association.

*2.4.1 The Biomass Producer has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that the health, vitality and other services provided by forest ecosystems are maintained or improved (CPET S7a).*

*Mitigation Measure:* World Wildlife Fund (WWF) Ecoregions - NA517 Mid-Atlantic Coastal Forests

- a) Protected lands mapped on JP-DOC-005 High Conservation Value Risk Assessment.
- b) Verification of compliance is accomplished through supplier audits using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- c) Training records document education and awareness efforts of this ecoregion.
- d) Education & outreach measure is documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.

*Mitigation Measure:* World Wildlife Fund (WWF) Ecoregion - NA529 Southeastern Conifer Forests

- a) Protected lands mapped on JP-DOC-005 High Conservation Value Risk Assessment.
- b) Training records document education and awareness efforts of this ecoregion.

- c) Education and outreach measure will be documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- d) Maintain records of involvement with conservation organizations such as the Longleaf Alliance.

*Mitigation Measure: GreenPeace Intact Forest*

- a) Verification of compliance is accomplished through supplier audits using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.

*Mitigation Measure: US NRA - Category 3: HCV1 - Cape Fear Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA)*

- a) Verification of compliance is accomplished through supplier audits using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- b) Training records document education and awareness efforts of the Cape Fear Arch CBA.
- c) Education & outreach measure is documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.

*Mitigation Measure: US NRA - Category 3: HCV1 - Florida Panhandle CBA*

- a) Verification of compliance is accomplished through supplier audits using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- b) Training records document education and awareness efforts of the Florida Panhandle CBA.
- c) Education & outreach measure is documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.

*Mitigation Measure: US NRA - Category 3: HCV3 - Natural Longleaf Pine Systems*

- a) Training records document education and awareness efforts of Native Long Leaf Pine Systems.
- b) Education and outreach measure will be documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- c) Maintain records of involvement with conservation organizations such as the Longleaf Alliance.

2.2.3 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that key ecosystems and habitats are conserved or set aside in their natural state (CPET S8b).

*Mitigation Measure: Mid-Atlantic Coastal Forests NA517*

- a) Protected lands mapped on JP-DOC-005 High Conservation Value Risk Assessment.
- b) Verification of compliance is accomplished through supplier audits using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- c) Training records document education and awareness efforts of this ecoregion.
- d) Education & outreach measure is documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.

*Mitigation Measures: NA529 Southeastern Conifer Forests*

- a) Protected lands mapped on JP-DOC-005 High Conservation Value Risk Assessment.
- b) Training records document education and awareness efforts of this ecoregion.
- c) Education and outreach measure will be documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- d) Maintain records of involvement with conservation organizations such as the Longleaf Alliance.

Mitigation Measures: GreenPeace Intact Forest

- a) There is a strong system of protection (effective protected areas and legislation) in place within the Company's supply area that ensures survival of this intact forest.
- b) No wood is harvested out of the described National Wilderness Area which is managed by the Department of Interior. If wood is harvested from the surrounding forests described above, The Department of Interior or the Georgia Forestry Commission conducts environment impact studies and oversees all timber harvesting on these forests within the HCV providing complete protection of the site.

Mitigation Measures: US NRA - Category 3: HCV1 - Cape Fear Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA)

- a) Verification of compliance is accomplished through supplier audits using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- b) Training records document education and awareness efforts of the Cape Fear Arch CBA.
- c) Education & outreach measure is documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.

Mitigation Measures: US NRA - Category 3: HCV1 - Florida Panhandle CBA

- a) Verification of compliance is accomplished through supplier audits using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- b) Training records document education and awareness efforts of the Florida Panhandle CBA.
- c) Education & outreach measure is documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.

Mitigation Measures: US NRA - Category 3: HCV3 - Natural Longleaf Pine Systems

- a) Training records document education and awareness efforts of Native Long Leaf Pine Systems.
- b) This education and outreach measure will be documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- c) Maintain records of involvement with conservation organizations such as the Longleaf Alliance.

2.2.4 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that biodiversity is protected (CPET S5b).

Mitigation Measures: *Mid-Atlantic Coastal Forests NA517*

- a) Protected lands mapped on JP-DOC-005 High Conservation Value Risk Assessment.
- b) Verification of compliance is accomplished through supplier audits using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- c) Training records document education and awareness efforts of this ecoregion.
- d) Education & outreach measure is documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.

Mitigation Measures: NA529 Southeastern Conifer Forests

- a) Protected lands mapped on JP-DOC-005 High Conservation Value Risk Assessment.
- b) Training records document education and awareness efforts of this ecoregion.
- c) Education and outreach measure will be documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- d) Maintain records of involvement with conservation organizations such as the Longleaf Alliance.

Mitigation Measures: GreenPeace Intact Forest

- a) There is a strong system of protection (effective protected areas and legislation) in place within the Company's supply area that ensures survival of this intact forest.
- b) No wood is harvested out of the described National Wilderness Area which is managed by the Department of Interior. If wood is harvested from the surrounding forests described above, The Department of Interior or the Georgia Forestry Commission conducts environment impact studies and oversees all timber harvesting on these forests within the HCV providing complete protection of the site.

Mitigation Measures: US NRA - Category 3: HCV1 - Cape Fear Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA)

- a) Verification of compliance is accomplished through supplier audits using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- b) Training records document education and awareness efforts of the Cape Fear Arch CBA.
- c) Education & outreach measure is documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.

Mitigation Measures: US NRA - Category 3: HCV1 - Florida Panhandle CBA

- a) Verification of compliance is accomplished through supplier audits using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- b) Training records document education and awareness efforts of the Florida Panhandle CBA.
- c) Education & outreach measure is documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.

Mitigation Measures: US NRA - Category 3: HCV3 - Natural Longleaf Pine Systems

- a) Training records document education and awareness efforts of Native Long Leaf Pine Systems.
- b) This education and outreach measure will be documented using JP-DOC-012 Secondary Supplier Audit Checklists.
- c) Maintain records of involvement with conservation organizations such as the Longleaf Alliance.

Review of mitigation measures for BP during remote audit confirmed compliance.

## 10 Non-conformities and observations

Identify all non-conformities and observations raised/closed during the evaluation (a tabular format below may be used here). Please use as many copies of the table as needed. For each, give details to include at least the following:

- applicable requirement(s)
- grading of the non-conformity (major or minor) or observation with supporting rationale
- timeframe for resolution of the non-conformity
- a statement as to whether the non-conformity is likely to impact upon the integrity of the affected SBP-certified products and the credibility of the SBP trademarks.

NC number 2020.1	NC Grading: Minor
<b>Standard &amp; Requirement:</b>	Standard 5; Indicator 5.1: All data submissions must be supported by appropriate evidence.
<b>Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:</b>	
Values for moisture content on feedstock, lower heating value and pellets listed on SAR are inconsistent with BP records. (Closed prior to Closing Meeting). Revised SAR received	
<b>Timeline for Conformance:</b>	By the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12 months from report finalisation date
<b>Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:</b>	BP revised SAR to reflect actual documented values for Feedstock/ Pellet Moisture content and lower heating value.
<b>Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:</b>	Revised SAR observed by auditor prior to closing meeting is correct based on BP data observed during remote audit. CAR is closed
<b>NC Status:</b>	Closed

## 11 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's quality review, the following certification decision is taken:

<b>Certification decision:</b>	Certification approved
<b>Certification decision by (name of the person):</b>	Theodore Brauer
<b>Date of decision:</b>	07/Mar/2021
<b>Other comments:</b>	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>