

Supply Base Report: The Danish Nature Agency

Re-assessment

www.sbp-cert.org



Completed in accordance with the Supply Base Report Template Version 1.4

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1 published 22 February 2016

Version 1.2 published 23 June 2016

Version 1.3 published 14 January 2019; re-published 3 April 2020

Version 1.4 published 22 October 2020

© Copyright Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2020

Contents

| 1 | Overview |
|-----|---|
| 2 | Description of the Supply Base |
| 2.1 | General description |
| 2.2 | Description of countries included in the Supply Base |
| 2.3 | Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplied |
| 2.4 | Quantification of the Supply Base |
| 3 | Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation |
| 4 | Supply Base Evaluation |
| 4.1 | Scope |
| 4.2 | Justification |
| 4.3 | Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme |
| 4.4 | Conclusion |
| 5 | Supply Base Evaluation process |
| 6 | Stakeholder consultation |
| 6.1 | Response to stakeholder comments |
| 7 | Mitigation measures |
| 7.1 | Mitigation measures |
| 7.2 | Monitoring and outcomes |
| 8 | Detailed findings for indicators |
| 9 | Review of report |
| 9.1 | Peer review |
| 9.2 | Public or additional reviews |

Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators

Approval of report

10

1 Overview

Producer name: The Danish Nature Agency

Producer address: Førstballevej 2, DK-7183 Randbøl, Denmark

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-05-06

Geographic position: 55.707000, 9.275100

Primary contact: Andreas Holten Sejbjerg, N/A,aholt@nst.dk

Company website: www.naturstyrelsen.dk

Date report finalised: 15 Apr 2021

Close of last CB audit: 15 Apr 2021

Name of CB: DNV GL Business Assurance Finland Oy Ab

SBP Standard(s) used: SBP Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock, SBP

Standard 4: Chain of Custody, SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data Instruction,

Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data 1.4

Weblink to Standard(s) used: https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards

SBP Endorsed Regional Risk Assessment: N/A

Weblink to SBR on Company website: N/A

| Indicate h | Indicate how the current evaluation fits within the cycle of Supply Base Evaluations | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Main (Initial) Evaluation | First Surveillance | Second Surveillance | Third Surveillance | Fourth Surveillance | Re-assessment | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

2 Description of the Supply Base

2.1 General description

Feedstock types: Primary

Includes Supply Base evaluation (SBE): No

Feedstock origin (countries): Denmark

2.2 Description of countries included in the Supply Base

Country: Denmark

Area/Region: Europe

Exclusions: No

Danish forest area

According to Statistics Denmark (2019) the forest cover in Denmark is 633.353 ha which is equal to app. 14,7 % of the total land area and the forest area is increasing. A total of app. 75% of the forest area is under private ownership while 25% is managed by public organizations. There are many small forest owners (less than 20 ha), but the main part (more than 50%) of the forest area is owned by larger forest owner >250 ha.

| Earact | Aroo. | Denmark | 2016 |
|--------|-------|---------|------|
| Forest | Area | Denmark | ZUTD |

| Total forest area | 633.353 ha |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Broadleaves | 43 % |
| Conifers | 36 % |
| Mixtures of broadleaves and conifers | 10 % |
| Christmas trees and greenery | 5 % |
| Unstocked | 2 % |
| Temporarly unstocked | 3 % |

Table 1. Forest cover in Denmark (Thomas Nord-Larsen et al.2019)

| Ownership | | | |
|-------------------|----|--|--|
| Private forest | 56 | | |
| Private companies | 15 | | |

Foundations 4
State forest 18
Other state owned 1
Other public forest 4
Unknown 2
Total 100

Table 2. Forest ownership (Thomas Nord-Larsen et al.2019)

| Forestry farms sizes in Denmark | 2016 | % | |
|---------------------------------|--|-------|-----|
| | All forestry farms | 23974 | 100 |
| | Farms with 0,5-19,9 hectare forestry | 20007 | 83 |
| | Farms with 20,0-49,9 hectare forestry | 2463 | 10 |
| | Farms with 50,0-99,9 hectare forestry | 706 | 3 |
| | Farms with 100,0-249,9 hectare forestry | 417 | 1,6 |
| | Farms with 250,0-499,9 hectare forestry | 192 | 0,6 |
| | Farms with 500,0 hectare forestry and over | 190 | 0,6 |

Table 3. Forest ownership distributed on forest size (Thomas Nord-Larsen et al.2019)

The standing volume is app. 137 mio m3 which is equal to 216 m3/ha. Broadleaves account for 57 % and conifers for 43 % of the standing volume. Standing volume has increased for many years due to an increasing forest area, and properly also an increase in standing volume/ ha.

The total gross factorial income for forest products is app, 1 billion Danish Kroner (app. 133 mio euro). In 2015 the production of energy wood accounted for 300 mio Danish Kroner.

More than 85% of the Danish forest area is protected under the Danish forest legislation. Certain forest habitats are also protected under Nature 2000 legislation.

The Supply-base: State forest managed by the Danish Nature Agency

The Danish Nature Agency manages forest and nature areas owned by The Ministry of Food and Environment and only supply wood chips from these areas. The areas are managed in a holistic approach, where respect for nature protection, outdoor recreation, cultural history, production, etc. are all rated highly. However there is a special emphasis on nature protection and support of outdoor opportunities.

The total FSC certified area is 203.725 ha, while the PEFC certified and SBP compliant area is 204.898 ha.

The forest management is certified according to PEFC og FSCs standards for responsible forest management. Only a limited area is excluded from the certificates:

| Certificate | Areas excluded | Area excluded (ha) |
|-------------|--|--------------------|
| PEFC | Farmland, camping grounds, golf courts, nurseries, special project areas | 4.339 |
| FSC | Farmland, camping grounds, golf courts, nurseries, special project areas, christmas tree areas | 5.504 |
| SBP | Farmland, camping grounds, golf courts, nurseries, special project areas | 4.339 |

Table 4. List over areas excluded from certificates.

The Danish Nature Agency manages the forest according to principles for Nature Based Management. The means that clear felling in general is not used although exceptions can occur.

| Beech | Oak | Ash and mappl e | Other broadlea ves | Spruc e | Noble fir | Mounta in pine | Other conife rs | Forest areas | Open nature areas | Other areas e.g. roads | Total |
|-------|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| 21589 | 16281 | 2420 | 5464 | 30405 | 6566 | 6764 | 1750 3 | 10699 7 | 81586 | 15688 | 20427 2 |

2.3 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier

The Danish Nature Agency is sourcing the feedstock from own areas, which is chipped and sold as SBP compliant biomass. Only in connection with nature projects where neighbor areas are included, there may be woodchips from outside The Danish Nature Agency's ownership. Woodchip from outside The Danish Nature Agency's ownership is not and will not be claimed as SBP compliant. Areas managed by The Danish Nature Agency are certified against PEFC as well as FSC forest management standards. Furthermore, The Danish Nature Agency is participating in certification standard setting processes

2.4 Quantification of the Supply Base

Supply Base

- a. Total Supply Base area (million ha): 205000,00
- **b.** Tenure by type (million ha):0.21 (Public)
- **c.** Forest by type (million ha):0.21 (Temperate)
- d. Forest by management type (million ha):0.21 (Managed natural)
- e. Certified forest by scheme (million ha):0.20 (FSC), 0.21 (PEFC)

Explanation: The Danish Nature Agency only use clear fellings to a limited extend. A clear felling is a restricted coherent forest areas where all the threes are cut down at the same time. Instead the forest

Describe the harvesting type which best describes how your material is sourced: Thinning

management is based on principles for nature based forest management. Nature Based forest management aims to build stable forests that are rejuvenating naturally, and where forest climate is maintained. Forests will consist of locally adapted and especially native species and the forest will be characterized by high variation in age and species composition. In the management of state forest there is a focus on providing dead wood, natural hydrology, protect and provide key habitats and create recreational values. Furthermore, there is ban on the use of pesticides in the state's forests. The total wood chip production represents around 200,000 tonnes annually. The chips are preferably provided in connection with the initial thinning, and as a byproduct in later fellings. Thinnings from low grade stemwood 127.409 tons (2019 numbers). From the first thinnings where trees are cut down using a feller/- buncher. Wood chip are the only product from these operations. Chipping is carried out in the stand and the chips are transported to the power plant or to a storage. Some of the wood chip also come from nature projects (For example clearing of heath). Treetops from thinnings: 67.350 tons (2019 numbers). Treetops from harvesting mid age or older deciduous trees are chipped. Treetops are stacked and chipped at roadside. Other trees from parks and landscapes: 1791 tons (2019 numbers) Is produced as a product from landscape clearing as part of the open nature managedment. The wood chip is low quality wood which cannot be used for high quality products. The products are harvested using a harvester forwarded to road side, from where it is chipped along the roadside and

transported to the power plant.

Was the forest in the Supply Base managed for a purpose other than for energy markets? Yes -Majority

Explanation: N/A

For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling? Yes - Majority

Explanation: N/A

Was the feedstock used in the biomass removed from a forest as part of a pest/disease control measure or a salvage operation? No

Explanation: N/A

Feedstock

Reporting period from: 01 Jan 2020

Reporting period to: 31 Dec 2020

a. Total volume of Feedstock: 200,000-400,000 m3 b. Volume of primary feedstock: 200,000-400,000 m3

c. List percentage of primary feedstock, by the following categories.

- Certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 80% 100%
- Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0%
- d. List of all the species in primary feedstock, including scientific name: Abies procera (Noble fir spp); Larix spp (Larch spp); Picea spp (Spruce spp); Pinus spp (Pine spp); Pseudotsuga menziesii (Douglas fir); Thuja plicata (Thuja); Tsuga heterophylla (Tsuga); Acer pseudoplatanus (Mapple); Betula pendula (Birch); Fagus sylvatica (Beech); Fraxinus excelsior (Ash); Populus spp (Popple Spp); Prunus avium (Cherry); Quercus robur (Oak); Salix cinerea (Willow); Sorbus intermedia (Rowan); Quercus petraea (Oak); Abies alba (European silver fir);
- e. Is any of the feedstock used likely to have come from protected or threatened species? No
 - Name of species: N/A
 - Biomass proportion, by weight, that is likely to be composed of that species (%): N/A
- f. Hardwood (i.e. broadleaf trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%): 35.00
- g. Softwood (i.e. coniferous trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%): 65,00
- h. Proportion of biomass composed of or derived from saw logs (%): 0,00
- i. Specify the local regulations or industry standards that define saw logs: N/A
- j. Roundwood from final fellings from forests with > 40 yr rotation times Average % volume of fellings delivered to BP (%): 100,00
- k. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest: 0 N/A
- I. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest, by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
 - Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
 - Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
- m. Volume of secondary feedstock: 0 m3
 - Physical form of the feedstock: Chips
- n. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 0 N/A
 - Physical form of the feedstock: N/A

| Proportion of feedstock sourced per type of claim during the reporting period | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Feedstock type | Sourced by using Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) % | FSC % | PEFC % | SFI % | | |
| Primary | 0,00 | 50,00 | 50,00 | 0,00 | | |
| Secondary | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | | |
| Tertiary | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | | |
| Other | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | | |

3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation

Is Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) is completed? No

4 Supply Base Evaluation

4.1 Scope

Feedstock types included in SBE: N/A

SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: N/A

List of countries and regions included in the SBE:

Country: N/A

Indicator with specified risk in the risk assessment used:

N/A

Specific risk description:

4.2 Justification

N/A

4.3 Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme

N/A

4.4 Conclusion

5 Supply Base Evaluation process

6 Stakeholder consultation

N/A

6.1 Response to stakeholder comments

7 Mitigation measures

7.1 Mitigation measures

N/A

7.2 Monitoring and outcomes

8 Detailed findings for indicators

Detailed findings for each Indicator are given in Annex 1 in case the Regional Risk Assessment (RRA) is not used.

Is RRA used? N/A

9 Review of report

9.1 Peer review

N/A

9.2 Public or additional reviews

10 Approval of report

| Approval of Supply Base Report by senior management | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Report Prepared | Per Lynge Jensen | Team manager | 15 Apr 2021 | | | |
| by: | Name | Title | Date | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| and do her | The undersigned persons confirm that I/we are members of the organisation's senior management and do hereby affirm that the contents of this evaluation report were duly acknowledged by senior management as being accurate prior to approval and finalisation of the report. | | | | | |
| Report approved | Per Lynge Jensen | Team manager | 15 Apr 2021 | | | |
| by: | Name | Title | Date | | | |

Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators