



NEPCon OÜ Evaluation of Zhitkovichsky Ileshoz (mill №1) Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

Main (Initial) Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



The promise of good biomass



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.5

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1: published 30 January 2018

Version 1.2: published 4 April 2018

Version 1.3: published 10 May 2018

Version 1.4: published 16 August 2018

Version 1.5: published 22 October 2020

© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2020

Table of Contents

- 1 Overview**
- 2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate**
 - 2.1 Description of the company
 - 2.2 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system
- 3 Specific objective**
- 4 Evaluation process**
 - 4.1 Timing of evaluation activities
 - 4.2 Description of evaluation activities
 - 4.3 Sampling methodology
 - 4.4 CB stakeholder engagement
 - 4.5 Stakeholder feedback
- 5 Results**
 - 5.1 Main strengths and weaknesses
 - 5.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation
 - 5.3 Collection and communication of data
 - 5.4 Competency of involved personnel
- 6 Review of company's risk assessments**
 - 6.1 Overview of company's risk assessments and mitigation measures
 - 6.2 Specified risk indicators and mitigation measures
- 7 Non-conformities and observations**
- 8 Certification decision**

1 Overview

Certification Body (CB) Name:	NEPCon OÜ
Primary CB contact for SBP:	Ondrej Tarabus
Primary CB contact email:	otarabus@preferredbynature.org
Audit team leader:	Aliaksandr Zubkevich
Audit team members:	Aliaksandr Zubkevich
Name of the Company:	Zhitkovichsky Ieshoz (mill №1)
Company legal address:	62 Oktyabrskaya, 247960 Zhitkovichi, Gomel region, Belarus
Company contact for SBP:	Mikhail Levkovich
Company contact email:	zhitklesxoz@tut.by
Company website:	N/A
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-08-46
Date of certificate issue:	27 Jul 2021
Date of certificate expiry:	26 Jul 2026
Audit closing meeting date:	14 Jun 2021
Audit cycle:	Main (Initial) Audit

2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

Scope Item	Check all that apply to the Certificate Scope	Change in scope (N/A for Assessments)
Primary Activity:	Biomass Producer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved Standards:	SBP Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock; SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody; SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data Instruction; Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data 1.4	<input type="checkbox"/>
Includes Supply Base Evaluation (SBE):	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
Includes communication of Dynamic Batch Sustainability Data (DBSD)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Includes Group Scheme	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
Products	Pellets	<input type="checkbox"/>

Feedstock types:	Secondary	<input type="checkbox"/>
Feedstock origin (countries):	Belarus	<input type="checkbox"/>
SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used:	Not applicable	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public link: https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/risk-assessments/		<input type="checkbox"/>
Chain of custody system implemented:	FSC: NC-FM/COC-005001	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/>

2.1 Description of the company

GLHU "Zhitkovichsky leshoz" is consist of the following forestriess: Berezhnyanskoye, Milevichskoye, Zalyutichskoye, Lyakhovichskoye, Khvoikovskoye, Leninskoye, Yurkevichskoye, Belovskoye, Zhitkovichskoye, Lyudenevichskoye, Berezhenskoye, 2 sawmills and 2 pellet productions which holds separate SBP certificate. GLHU "Zhitkovichsky leshoz" is located in the western part of the Gomel region on the territory of the Zhytkovichy administrative region. The total area of the forestry establishment is 117.8 thousand hectares, including 96.8 thousand hectares of forested area. The Organisation holds valid FSC FM/Chain of Custody certificate with FSC transfer system in the scope and all above mentioned forestries and sites are covered by the scope of the certificate. The BP for the production of pellets uses only the FSC 100% certified wood which grows in the territory of forest fund of the entity. The following categories of raw materials are used for production of pellets: wood chips and slab wood (chipped on-site). The following categories of raw materials are used for drier: wood chips. The BP implements FSC transfer system and produced biomass is sold with FSC 100% claim. Pellet plant was commissioned in 2020. Annual production capacity of pellet plant is 19800 tone

2.2 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system

The BP is included as a FMU in the single certificate with multiple FMU of Gomel Regional Forest Board. In the scope of the certificate are included both sawmills and pellet plant.

<https://info.fsc.org/details.php?id=a023300000VAnOeAAL&type=certificate> The Organisation has implemented FSC transfer system. The input material used by the Organisation for biomass production as well as for drier contains secondary feedstock – wood chips and slab wood for pellet production. All feedstock is FSC certified and originates only from forest area of the BP, which FSC certified.

3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of the production processes, production site visit;
- Review of FSC system control points, analysis of the existing FSC CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients;
- GHG data collection analysis
- DTS data review

4 Evaluation process

4.1 Timing of evaluation activities

<i>Audit Level of Effort (LoE)</i>		
Activity	Auditors	Auditor hours
1. Preparation	Aliaksandr Zubkevich	2,0
2. On-site (excl. travel time)	Aliaksandr Zubkevich	8,0
3. Report writing	Aliaksandr Zubkevich	8,0
4. Other	N/A	N/A

Audit Schedule			
Activity	Location	Auditor name	Date/time
<i>Opening meeting</i>	Office	Aliaksandr Zubkevich	14 Jun 2021/09:00
<i>Documents and procedures review (SAR, GHG calculation)</i>	Office	Aliaksandr Zubkevich	14 Jun 2021/09:20
<i>Site tour; staff interview, Chain of custody review</i>	Production facilities	Aliaksandr Zubkevich	14 Jun 2021/15:00
<i>Closing meeting</i>	Office	Aliaksandr	14 Jun 2021/16:30

		Zubkevich	
--	--	-----------	--

Auditor qualification		
Auditor name	Role	Qualification
Aliaksandr Zubkevich	Team leader	NEPCon SBP lead auditor. He has successfully passed SBP auditor training in Tallinn in January 2015; previous experience with more than 30 SBP assessments and annual audits in Russia and Europe

4.2 Description of evaluation activities

Description of the audit evaluation:

Audit started with an opening meeting attended by the representatives from Organisation's management and staff.

Audit team leader introduced himself, provided information about audit plan, methodology, auditor qualification, confidentiality issues, and assessment methodology and clarified certification scope. During the opening meeting the auditor explained CB's approval related issues. The auditor has reviewed documents provided by the BP.

After that auditor went through all applicable requirements of the SBP standards nr. 2, 4, 5 and instruction document 5E covering input clarification, existing chain of custody system, management system, CoC, recordkeeping, emission and energy data and categorisation of input and verification of SBP-compliant biomass. During the process, overall responsible person for SBP system and other staff were interviewed. The auditor reviewed data registered in DTS. Chain of Custody implementation was reviewed focusing in the Critical Control Points, in particular it was verified reception of the material and it's classification, identification of feedstock origin, production process with the conversion factors associated, final product storage and sales.

After a roundtrip around BP's pellet production was undertaken. During the site tour, staff was interviewed and FSC system critical control points were analysed.

At the end of the audit findings were summarised and assessment conclusions based on use of 3 angle evaluation method were provided to the management and SBP responsible person.

4.3 Sampling methodology

Auditor has visited office, pellet mill. All responsible for SBP certification were interviewed. Documents were samples for several months of 2020 and 2021.

4.4 CB stakeholder engagement

The stakeholder consultation was carried out on 29.04.2021 by sending direct email to different stakeholder categories (more than 120 recipients). No comments from the stakeholders have been received. List of informed stakeholders includes such groups of stakeholders as FSC National Initiative, environmental and social NGOs, FSC-certified companies in the region, scientific and educational entities, indigenous peoples' communities (where applicable), state forestry authorities, trade unions etc.

4.5 Stakeholder feedback

None

5 Results

5.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Strengths: Use of the FSC transfer system with FSC 100% input feedstock. Effective recordkeeping system. Small number of the management staff and clearly designated responsibilities within the staff members.

Weaknesses: No significant weaknesses identified by auditor. See also NCR section of the report

5.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

Not applicable

5.3 Collection and communication of data

The following energy sources are used by BP: electricity for pellet production; diesel for feedstock handling, shipping and for biomass transportation to customer. Electricity consumption value is based on theoretical calculation; diesel consumption value is based on diesel consumption monitoring as well as on theoretical calculations.

5.4 Competency of involved personnel

Overall, BP staff showed good understanding of knowledge of all applicable SBP requirements. The following key staff members are involved to SBP certification: SBP related staff responsibilities are presented in Section 3 of the SBP Procedure. Interviewed staff was well familiar with their responsibilities. Generally, very few staff members are involved into SBP certification: SBP responsible/Logging and processing engineer (maintaining of the management system, staff training, trademark use, DTS), mechanical engineer (moisture measurements, volumes of biomass registering, quality issue), bookkeeper (accounting system). Prior and during SBP audit, BP was supported by external consultant, who also have provided relevant training to BP staff

6 Review of company's risk assessments

6.1 Overview of company's risk assessments and mitigation measures

Not applicable

6.2 Specified risk indicators and mitigation measures

Country/Area	Indicator	Specified risk description	Mitigation measure
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

7 Non-conformities and observations

NC number NC-000468 (01/21)	NC Grading: Major
Standard:	SBP Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock
Requirement:	IN2C; 4.1 The report shall be concise, covering the most important features, and shall be completed using the latest version of the SBR template for Biomass Producers downloaded from the SBP website.
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
The Supply Base Report do not cover all information required by SBP standard, for example: 1) the version of Instruction document 5E is 1.4 while the BP mentioned 1.3 2) in section "Description of the Supply Base" stated that resource base is only own forest territory, but in section 3.4 the total area is for entire country (Belarus) 3) there is no comparison of the scale of harvesting to other wood based industries in section "Description of the Supply Base" 4) section 3.4 is not fully filled in, some section are marked as not applicable while they are applicable.	
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior to (re)certification
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	Updated SBR
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	During the period from closing meeting to report approval the BP has updated SBR. Review of SBR confirmed that information in report is correct and meet standard requirement
NC Status:	Closed

8 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's quality review, the following certification decision is taken:	
Certification decision:	Certification approved
Certification decision by (name of the person):	Ondrej Tarabus
Date of decision:	16 Jul 2021
Other comments:	N/A